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In the Newsletter for 2004, the Vice Master explained the process of election followed to choose a new Master. As he remarked, it had nothing of the intrigue and scheming of C P Snow’s novels. Everything was handled with great care and sensitivity, and as soon as my election was announced in January 2004, I was immediately made welcome by the Fellowship. The calendar year covered by this Report was therefore initially one of my learning about the Hall and its residents, its alumni and its traditions. By the time Claire and I moved into the Lodge in September, we already felt fully part of the Hall and its community. Peter Clarke could not have been more helpful in easing me into the Mastership, explaining the background of past decisions and involving me in debates over the future. The Hall owes much to him for establishing the strategic vision that informs our thinking over the next decade.

The major decision, confirmed in September 2004 at a meeting of the Finance Committee chaired by Peter Clarke and with my full involvement, was to proceed with the exciting development at Wychfield. At the end of the year, the contractors appeared on site, and all is set for completion for the academic year 2006/7. Wychfield will not only offer us modern accommodation in attractive surroundings; it will also provide flexibility in housing students in order to embark on the regeneration of the central site, where many staircases are in urgent need of improvement. Preserving and enhancing the ancient buildings on Trinity Lane will be a major task during my period as Master.

Sadly, John Pegler retired as Bursar as I started my Mastership and I did not have the benefit of his wise and shrewd guidance. However, I was involved in the vital task of selecting his successor. The field was strong and the competition intense. The new Bursar, Paul ffolkes Davis, reports on his experiences later in this Newsletter. He has thrown himself into the role with enthusiasm and dedication, and we have enjoyed working together as two novices learning the ways of the Hall. Fortunately, there has also been continuity – above all, the Senior Tutor (Dr Nick Bampos), Graduate Tutor (Dr Christopher Padfield), the Dean (the Revd Dr Jeremy Morris) and the Development Director (Mrs Jocelyn Poulton). They, and many Fellows, have guided us away from error and into the paths of virtue.

Personally, I am also deeply grateful to the many alumni who wrote with words of encouragement and welcome, or talked to me of their memories at various gatherings. Not least, the publication of The Hidden Hall was a wonderful achievement during the year, celebrated at a highly
enjoyable dinner where so many reminiscences and anecdotes were exchanged. As the Hall looks ahead to the future at a time of unusual uncertainty and of financial strain, both myself and the Fellows are encouraged and inspired by the affection and interest of so many alumni.

Professor Martin Daunton

Report from the Senior Tutor

Last year, as I am sure has been the case with Senior Tutors every previous year, I sat down to prepare my contribution for the Newsletter, thinking to myself that the academic year 2003–04 was going to offer highlights too difficult to surpass in subsequent years. However, here I am preparing my report for 2004–05, and I am happy to say that yet again, the news is all good. Despite the increasing pressures on the College from various sectors, I am convinced that we are satisfying the expectations of our Founders – to admit and educate talented young scholars. The mantra in College is now, as always, ‘work hard, play hard’, and the desire to be head of the academic tables and head of the river, embodies the spirit of our graduate and undergraduate communities.

Since my last report, my colleagues and I have had the pleasure of working with a new Master and Bursar. The Master (Martin Daunton) is an eminent historian who sits on some of the most important decision making bodies in the University. His experience and commitment will help the College confront the challenges ahead. The Bursar (Paul ffolkes Davis, an Oxford graduate!) comes to Cambridge via London, with extensive financial experience, and a constructive sense of what the College community is really about. The Fellows, students and staff warmly welcome them both.

There have been changes at all levels of the Fellowship over the past twelve months. We bid farewell to the retiring Master and his wife, Peter Clarke and Maria Tippett, who will both spend much of their time in Canada completing the scholarly works they have always wanted to write. John Pegler retired as Bursar and Fellow after three rewarding years, to spend more time enjoying life at home. Graham Howes also retired from the Fellowship, having served the College successfully as Director of Studies in SPS, Picture Steward and as one of the wise Fellows to whom the junior Fellows so often turned to for advice; although he seems to be as busy as ever. A number of younger Fellows sadly left us
for challenges away from Cambridge. Sarah Cooper moved to a lectureship at King’s College, London, having supported MML as Fellow in French for a number of years. Martin Bucher was appointed to a Professorship in Paris, no doubt vindicating the decision of the College to appoint him as our first Stephen Hawking Fellow in Mathematics. Three of our Junior Research Fellows either came to the end of their Fellowships, or were recruited to permanent positions elsewhere – Andrew Harkins to a research Fellowship at Imperial College, London, Mario Kozah to a teaching position in Lebanon and Dirk Slotboom to a lectureship in his native Netherlands. We wish them all the very best. However, accompanying our ‘goodbyes’ are a set of ‘welcomes’. David Runciman joined the College as Fellow in Politics, while Tadashi Tokieda was appointed to a teaching Fellowship in Mathematics, a subject that under Tom Körner’s stewardship has been one in which our students have done so very well. David and Tadashi come to the Hall with an outstanding research profile, and an enviable reputation as teachers. Two Junior Research Fellows were elected, Martin Ellwood as the Walter Scott Research Fellow in Zoology, and Andrew Lang (another Australian) as the Gott Research Fellow in Development Law. Graham Pullan formally became a Fellow in Engineering, after a number of years as Fellow-Commoner, while Sam Williams retired from a teaching Fellowship, but stays on as Fellow-Commoner. During the year, Professor Prober from the prestigious Yale Medical School, joined the College for one term as the first Sir Roy Calne Visiting Fellow in Medicine.

It is difficult to distil into a couple of sentences what the College achieved last year, but I shall try. Academically, the undergraduate community performed extremely well, with a number of finalists coming top in their year. Relative to the other colleges (if such measures mean anything), the College had one of the most dramatic improvements in academic performance. However, the well-being of our students is paramount, and the breadth of their experience in Cambridge is the sum of many parts. Some of these are in drama, sport or music. In all these areas our students had a very high profile in the University. The rowers did very well, the rugby team moved up a division and our tennis team won Cuppers, while our actors, directors, writers and musicians contributed at every level of student life in Cambridge. Without the support of the Tutors and Directors of Studies, providing the balanced environment necessary for a fulfilling education in Cambridge would be close to impossible, and my job would be so much harder. The College is fortunate to have a committed Admissions Tutor (Richard Miles) and Graduate Tutor (Christopher Padfield), both of whom are central to the demanding
admissions policy that gives Trinity Hall its very distinctive character at both undergraduate and graduate level. Under Richard, the number of applicants to the Hall has increased significantly, making us one of the more popular colleges in Cambridge. Our admissions policy is open, accountable, and in my opinion as a non-Oxbridge graduate, fair. Despite the confusing messages coming from the press and various government and non-government bodies, the College is singularly committed to admitting students who are bright, and likely to make the most of the many opportunities afforded to them. There are no tricks, no glass-ceilings and no agendas to satisfy. The same applies to our graduate community, which is one of the largest and happiest in Cambridge, thanks to Christopher’s (and Julie’s) tireless efforts on their behalf.

The College would not be in a position to support its objectives without the support of the alumni. Their generosity has established numerous hardship bursaries and funded various important projects, which are difficult to support otherwise. No doubt the most exciting project on the horizon is the new accommodation facility at Wychfield, which for the first time in almost a generation will allow the College to house all its undergraduates and most of the graduates, in a level of accommodation not previously offered to our students. The next big project is to upgrade the rooms and facilities on the historic site next to the river, where the facilities and quality of rooms are … medieval! Of course, Trinity Hall would not be the same without the teams of hardworking Porters, bedders, secretaries, kitchen staff and gardeners. I would personally like to thank the team in the Tutorial Office – Jackie Harmon, Fran Sutton (who has left to work for the University), Doreen Kunze (who replaced Fran), Vicky Mills (Admissions Officer) and Julie Powley (Graduate Officer) for their invaluable help. We are all grateful for their commitment to the College. The Fellowship is also grateful to the JCR and MCR Committees who participate so creatively in the development of the College.

So, what makes us the best college at which to undertake a degree? If I had to point to one event in the academic year that makes Trinity Hall different from all other colleges, it would have to be General Admission (graduation day to the rest of the world). No other college matches the wonderful way in which we celebrate the achievements of our Graduands, from the moment we applaud them out of Front Court on their way to the Senate House, to the way they are received by the row of Fellows that wait for them as they individually leave the Senate House clutching their degrees, to the food and drink and music that sees us enjoy the beauty of Latham Lawn into the early hours of the morning. Every year, I find myself cycling home that evening with a sense of clarity about
why the Fellows, students and staff enjoy their connection to Trinity Hall. I only hope that friends and former students of the College visit us often, as we are always happy to see them, and always keen to show them that Trinity Hall is still the place they remember it to be.

Dr Nick Bampos

Report from the Graduate Tutor

Perhaps no news is good news in some contexts, as the rare disaster (such as the loss of Chris McMenemy in a maritime accident on the North Sea in November 2000, and the death in PhD harness of Raul Rivadeneyra a year ago of brain cancer) certainly stands out. At the moment we have a very fine and cohesive graduate community who seem to be enjoying themselves enormously – certainly they put a great deal into the College – and working hard. The waters seem calm at present. But the infrastructure around them is undergoing change that may come to be seen, in retrospect, as having been revolutionary.

In College, grads have become fully integrated. In extremely welcome recognition of this wholehearted commitment, the College’s investment in new student housing at Wychfield will have the most dramatic impact on grads. We were already able to house all our undergraduates a decade ago, and only ceased to be able to do so when 4-year courses were introduced. But while we have slowly incremented the proportion of grads we can house, this has not risen above 55%. With the new accommodation that will become available in late Summer 2006, we will be able to house more of those fee-paying students who wish to be accommodated by College, and a proportion of those who are still here, writing up their PhDs. We will have a realistic stock of flats for the increasing number of grads who come with their spouse.

The College is already extremely well-regarded (and thus sought-after) among grads in the University. This accommodation will make us even more competitive. Apart from the fact they are globally better-known than Trinity Hall, the only thing that will then separate us, in terms of our attractiveness as a first-choice college for the best students in the world, from the two or three big-name colleges, will be our relative poverty in scholarships. We can offer, at most, three full-cost studentships
per year, against several times more in the richer colleges. Students apply preferentially to the college where they appear to stand a better chance of success. Perhaps increasing the number of studentships we can offer is our next grad strategic target.

Further evidence of the full integration of grads in the self-perception of the College has been the heartening introduction of a Mentoring Scheme, whereby each grad is allocated a Fellow with common disciplinary interests. Fellows have come forward to volunteer for this role, and have enjoyed it. The grads have appreciated it very much. It takes us much closer to our ambition to make the College an academic community for our grads, as well as a social and residential venue.

In the University the pace of change in graduate affairs is also unprecedented, and the changes for the better. The University has realised, almost as if by surprise, that it is now as much a world-class centre of graduate study as for undergraduates. Faculties and Departments are introducing more and more one-year MPhil courses, both as a way of communicating with their professional communities around the world, and as a proving-ground for PhD aspirants. Research students are widely seen as absolutely vital to the University’s research performance, as well as to the pipeline of young talent for an academic career. Industry cannot recruit enough Cambridge PhDs, whether into their domain of specialisation, or for retraining into a very different profession. In recognition, systems are being greatly strengthened to support and train graduate students, and to ensure the consistent quality, over time, of their programmes.

The colleges are pulling increasingly closely together, among themselves, and with University institutions, in their administration and provision for graduate students. Departments are thinking revolutionary thoughts about graduate study – the part-time PhD is already established; there is a strong possibility that (at least in the Arts, Humanities and Social Sciences) grads might in future be employed as teaching Assistants, taking longer over their PhDs, but having the benefit of teaching undergraduates, and of relieving some of their money worries in the process.

Cambridge and Trinity Hall, both, are fully involved in world-class graduate provision, and with the proportion of graduate students persistently increasing within the University, that pattern is set to remain. We are delighted that so many grads leave Trinity Hall with such good memories, and go on to do (as we repeatedly learn) great works outside. In their time here they contribute magnificently to the atmosphere.

Dr Christopher Padfield
Report from the Bursar

This is the first report I have written for the College Newsletter and it is a rather difficult one. Not for any sinister reason or because we have gone bust (not yet, anyway), but because it covers the period of the last calendar year and I have only been in post since October. As many of you know, John Pegler, my predecessor, was unwell in the early part of last year, and although he recovered bravely, his medical advice and his own intuition persuaded him it was time to go. The search for his replacement took place in the spring and early summer and I took over at his retirement with the beginning of the new academic year. My first duty therefore, must be to thank John for all his considerable efforts on behalf of Trinity Hall over several years and to wish him well for the future. I should also mention the invaluable support both he and the Bursary staff received from every member of College during the difficult period of his illness, and in particular, the sterling service of Dr Peter Hutchinson, who played the role of Bursar’s ‘locum’ for the second time in his career!

Last year in these pages, John Pegler trailed the coming of the new RCCA form of Cambridge college accounts. Trinity Hall has now published its first set of these. They are being collated with those of other colleges by the University for release ‘en masse’ in the next few months, after which we will be posting them on our website. Unfortunately, despite the high hopes held for this more modern accounting approach, the results are strangely disappointing and anachronistic. The principal reason for this is that, in order to achieve general adoption of the new format amongst colleges with very different traditions and viewpoints, too many subjective areas were left open to wide interpretation by finance officers.

How to treat the depreciation of ancient buildings is a case in point. It is possible to argue to depreciate them at all is a nonsense (‘they have been standing for hundreds of years already’), while at the opposite extreme, a decision to treat them in the same way as recent structures is equally valid (after all, they both need to be maintained – particularly the old ones!). Sadly, when the new rules were agreed, tight guidelines on how to treat the very fabric of our institution were not laid down. For noting, Trinity Hall has decided to go for the most onerous policy it could, less out of a desire to be ‘holier than thou’, and more because another anomaly of the new system causes our ‘cash in hand’ (or the money realised from sales of land at Milton South, which was immediately earmarked for spending on the Wychfield accommodation project and academic
purposes), now appears (ridiculously) to swell our income column. The whole effect of the new regime, as it affects the Hall, is, therefore, to completely distort the true financial picture.

For the record, this latter proved to be slightly better than John had expected writing this time last year. He had anticipated a small deficit, but in the event, our income and expenditure were broadly in balance, thanks to the general recovery in the stock market and the specific out performance of the College’s endowment portfolio. Once again we are indebted to the shrewd management of our advisors. The outlook for the future is less certain. Trinity Hall’s expenses continue to rise ineluctably at a rate far exceeding inflation, and we have no choice but to rely on income from our investments to plug the gap created by insufficient government education funding and the ongoing need for the College to subsidise student rents and catering. In a stagnant environment for equities, this is becoming an increasingly uncomfortable situation to be in. It is ironic that the majority of Oxbridge colleges are still forced to use their endowments to subsidise student living, something specifically outlawed by the Royal Commission of 1922, which was supposed to govern our activities.

We are taking the opportunity provided by the need to produce accounts in a more conventional accounting format, to overhaul our internal financial processes. When completed, we will have developed far more sophisticated systems for generating management accounts, local reports and departmental budgeting. Trinity Hall has been in business for over 650 years, long enough for the reasons we do certain things the way we do them to have been lost in the mists of time. If superior MIS is a consequence of compliance with the new accounting rules, my successors and I will believe that all the effort has been very well worth it. In the meantime, I would just like to thank and congratulate the College Accountants (and our auditors) for their heroic efforts in producing our results on time and under very difficult circumstances.

As has been outlined in these pages previously, the College has embarked on a comprehensive programme of capital projects that will be keeping us busy and various parts of the Hall in scaffolding for the foreseeable future. With the completion of one building at Wychfield, the splendid new sports Pavilion, which was signed off just before Christmas, comes the commencement of another, bigger enterprise. The Finance Committee decided in September to authorise the most ambitious of the plans for the new student and Fellow accommodation on what was the old practice pitch. Builders have been selected, pricing painstakingly negotiated, and, most importantly, piling already begun – we are finally
on our way to satisfy the College’s present and medium-term needs for housing. The Development Director has already issued a challenge for donors to match the £1 million gift we have already received to enable us to complete what will be a £15 million complex. The Wychfield project is currently the largest under way in any Cambridge college and will provide our benchmark quality accommodation – it deserves to be supported by our alumni.

There will be another consequence of the creation of class-leading facilities at our second site; it will highlight the shortcomings and tawdry condition of much of the space at the historic centre of the College in Trinity Lane. I am deeply aware that this is, for many alumni, the very essence of the Hall. The sad truth is that much of both our student and teaching accommodation is increasingly unattractive and uncompetitive, especially when compared with our remodelling peer group of neighbouring colleges. To continue to attract the best, on both sides of the academic divide, we must improve our showcase facilities at the heart of the College. We have many plans to do this, commencing with the regeneration of the staircases on Front Court, but we cannot start until Wychfield is finished and able to take ‘decanted’ students, while their rooms are being upgraded. Please help us complete the funding for Wychfield, and in due time we will be in touch with our ideas to protect and transform the ancient core of the Hall.

As many of you already realise, in order to preserve the look and traditions of our College, it is necessary to be constantly on the move. John Pegler has said, Trinity Hall is neither rich nor poor and in a good year it generates enough money to pay its bills and stand still. But standing still will not be good enough! The consequences for our benefactors are clear. Trinity Hall is on the up; we are rising through the academic tables and are becoming ever more sought-after as a destination for the cream of the student crop, from wherever they hail. We must maintain this momentum, by the constant renewal of our buildings, our facilities, our outlook and our society. We will need the help and support of our old members and friends more intensely in the future than ever before, but, as they say in the ads, ‘we’re worth it’. I may have only been here a short time, but I earnestly believe this is one of the most exciting, energised institutions with which I have had the privilege to be associated. Many of you have also had that privilege – your continued engagement will be essential to preserve the best and improve the worst of this wonderful Hall.

Paul ffolkes Davis
Report from the Development Director

Just before the start of the 2004/2005 academic year, I attended the opening Reception of the University’s Alumni Weekend at which the University’s Vice Chancellor, Professor Alison Richard, spoke. She briefly summarised her first year in office. I was struck by her engaging manner, her eloquence, her passion and belief in education. But what she really impressed on me was that Cambridge has had – and continues to have – a profound influence on transforming the world.

Trinity Hall, too, has through its quality of education and its intimate caring atmosphere, transformed the lives of many of its students, who in turn have gone on to transform their own communities and spheres of work.

All reasonable expectations of a world class university and an exceptional college. But if this is to be sustained, if we are to continue to provide the best facilities to attract the best students and teaching staff, and if we are to provide adequate funding to help those students facing financial hardship, we need to continue to seek the support of our alumni, friends, Trusts and Foundations.

Since my last report in the Newsletter, much has happened as the College continues to transform and evolve. Perhaps the most dramatic are the changes and additions taking place at our Wychfield Site.

Recently opened with a friendly Students vs Fellows/Staff Football Match, the new Sports Pavilion has not just been refurbished, it has been transformed. Providing two competition standard squash courts and state of the art changing facilities, the Pavilion now also boasts a spectacular studio, which only awaits the arrival of gym equipment to make it a superb facility for students, staff and Fellows alike. This can only become a reality if we receive funds from outside sources. Would you like to help us keep the whole Trinity Hall community healthy and fit?

Building work started in November on the major development to provide new student accommodation at Wychfield. Thanks to the generosity of Trinity Hall alumni amounting to some £2.2 million, the College has made the decision to complete the entire development in one build. But we are still £1 million short and the challenge mentioned in Front Court remains open. This project is crucial for the future of the Hall. If you can help us meet this important challenge, either by making a donation yourself, or introducing us to others who may be interested, please do get in touch.

Transformations of a more modest scale are also possible this year thanks to the wonderful result of our telephone campaign held in July.
2004. Just under half of those called kindly offered to make a gift, and of these nearly three-quarters were new donors. As of today, we have received in cash and pledges the handsome sum of £140,000 – and some of those called are still considering making a gift. We are so grateful to everyone who took the call, to those who made a gift, and to those who have volunteered to help with our career network, or have provided ideas and advice for our events and publications.

Legacies, too, have continued to have a transforming effect on Trinity Hall. The telephone campaign, in addition to the £140,000 mentioned above, resulted in a handful of legacies being left to the College. These are the mainstay of our future, allowing us to plan ahead. Indeed, it is thanks to the extraordinary generosity of the late Guy Hamilton-Clarke (1929) who made Trinity Hall the main beneficiary of his estate, that we are able to proceed with the new student accommodation at Wychfield. If you would like to receive information on giving legacies, please let me know and a copy of our legacy leaflet will be sent to you.

Finally, a synopsis of the changes made and the challenges faced by the Hall since its foundation in 1350, together with anecdotes on the impact of the Hall on its past and present Fellows, students, staff and alumni, is gathered within The Hidden Hall. Published in November 2004, after 18 months of research and preparation, those of you who have subscribed or already purchased a copy will know what a terrific publication this is. I’d like to extend my warmest thanks to Peter Pagnamenta (1960) for his sharp insight and visionary editing, and a special thanks to my colleague Victoria Fangen Hall for coordinating all aspects of the book. Copies will be available for sale at all forthcoming events. It is a true delight.

As The Hidden Hall clearly demonstrates, Trinity Hall, with respect to the traditions of the past, has always looked forward. Front Court and Latham Lawn may outwardly appear as one of the few true constants in the lives of Trinity Hall alumni, but behind the serene stone and beautiful gardens, great plans for consolidation and regeneration continue as they always have.

This office feels very proud to be playing its part, with your support and encouragement, in ensuring the College retains its reputation as one of the best in Cambridge. Trinity Hall has evolved over 650 years, and the responsibility to nurture this unique community for future generations is now in our hands. If you would like to join us in helping transform not only the fabric of the Hall, but also the lives of students, who in their own way will go on to transform the communities in which they live and work, please consider a donation to the College. It is within your gift to make a difference.
For further information on any of the above projects, please contact Jocelyn on +44 (0)1223 332563. A gift form can be found in the cream section of the Newsletter.

Mrs Jocelyn Poulton

Roll of Benefactors

1 January 2004 – 31 December 2004

The Master, Fellows and students of Trinity Hall wish to thank the following members and friends who have so kindly and generously made donations, legacy pledges or gifts in kind to the Hall during the last year. The College also wishes to thank those many benefactors who wish to remain anonymous.

1928  Mr Patrick Stuart-Williams
1929  Mr Guy Hamilton Clarke
1935  Mr Michael Page
1937  Mr Hugh Parker
1938  Dr Peter Trier
       Mr Ian Wallace
1939  Professor Frederick Eirich
1940  Revd John Green
1941  Dr Tony Chase
1942  Group Captain Roy Morris
       Mr John Travers Clarke
1943  Mr Alan Milne
1944  Mr Dick Butterworth
       Mr Hamish Maxwell
1945  Mr Gerry Frank
       Venerable Ronald Scruby
1946  Colonel Ian Lister
       Mr Norman Reeves
1947  Professor David Allan
       Mr Philip Purcell
1948  Mr Roy Barter
       Mr Anthony Evans
       Mr John Falk
       Revd John Guttridge
       Revd Canon John Hodgkinson
       Mr John King
       Mr John Moore
1949  Mr Dennis Carey
       Professor Bob Churchhouse
       Mr Antony Cox
Mr John Crathorne
Mr Kenneth Creese
Mr Kenneth Emsden
Mr Mark Forster Brown
Mr Ted Greenfield
Mr Stan Larcombe
Revd John Naylor
Mr David Rees
Mr Neil Roach

1950
Mr Alan Cohen
Mr Bob Ely
Mr Brian Hartley
Venerable Christopher Laurence

1951
Dr Michael Carlile
Mr Alan Elliot
Mr Richard Hudson
Mr Roger Lavelle
Mr Timothy Lawford
Revd David Ridgway

1952
Mr Rodney Barker
Dr Martin Davies
His Honour Josh Lait
Mr Michael Laurens
Revd James Miller
Mr Roger Mitchell
Dr David Thouless

1953
Mr Barry Clements
Mr James Crerar
Mr Peter Gardner
Mr Michael Howe-Smith
Mr David Jordan
Mr Martin Morgan
Mr Charles Ortner
Air Commodore Peter Owen
Mr Kenneth Rimmer
Mr Philip Scowcroft

1954
Dr Robin Adams
Mr Michael Lucas
Mr David Markham
Mr Michael Redmayne

1955
Mr David Alexander
Mr John Cunningham
Mr David Evans
Dr Nigel Fawcett
Mr Christopher Hipwell
Mr Jim Rowley
Mr Philip Rumney

1956
Mr Geoffrey Berry
Dr Robert Franklin
Hon Donald Macdonald
His Honour David Marshall Evans
Mr Tony Miller

1957
Mr Ron Balaam
Mr John Brown
Mr Timothy Cook
Mr Brian Donaldson
His Honour Simon Fawcus
Mr John Gregory
Mr Colin Hamer
Revd Graham Harrison
Mr Andrew Hemming
Dr Peter Monahan
Mr Keith Neal
Mr John Pickering
Mr David Raistrick
Mr David Rothera

1958
Mr John Belcher
Professor Tony Briggs
Dr Ralph Cantor
Mr Andrew Curtis
Mr David Ford
Mr Clive Harrison
Mr Roderick Hole
Mr Patrick Mackie
Mr Douglas Miller
Mr Peter Needham
Dr Roger Reavill

1959
Mr David Bowyer
Mr Ivor Daymond
Mr Michael Eddershaw
Mr Peter Freeman
Mr Desmond Hampton
Hon Sir Michael Harrison
Mr John King
Mr Richard Pearce
Mr John Pyke
Dr John Rees

1960
Mr Francis Annett
Dr Michael Boulton-Jones
Mr Peter Brown
Mr John Champion
Professor Philip Chatwin
Mr Bob Friedlander
Mr David Godfrey
Mr Bill Griffith-Jones
Mr Stephen Hale
Mr George Hill
Professor Donald Wesling

1961
Mr Robin Bather
Mr Ian Hoggarth
Mr David Kleeman
Mr Magnus Linklater

1962
Dr Christopher Anderson
Mr Keith Blair
Mr David Brewerton
Mr Stephen Brown
Mr Robert Cumming
Mr Walter Eglington
Mr Colin Hayes
Mr Michael Holloway
Mr Richard Peters
Mr David Smith
Mr Chris Wakefield

1963
Mr David Albert
Mr Ian Barritt
Professor Philip Dawid
Mr Bruce Drew
Professor Hugh McLeod-Roberts
Mr Maurice Pigott

1964
Dr Tom Bigge
Mr Derek Capon
Mr Frank Conley
Mr Stanley Hooper

Professor John Langbein
Mr Andy Lankester
Mr Alan Newman
Professor Chris Scruby

1965
Dr John Broome
Mr David Burnell
Dr Tony Cox
Mr Charles Heller
Mr Richard Hine
Mr Robert Hudson
Dr Hugh Morris
Dr Michael Mullett
Dr Nick Patterson
Professor Chris Pollock
Dr Colin Whitby-Strevens

1966
Mr Christopher Catlin
Mr Pat Elder
Mr im Morris
Mr R Ridge

1967
Dr Chris Angus
Mr Anthony Butler
Mr Michael Hawkins
Mr Irving Igra

1968
Mr Robert Arnold
Dr David Billett
Mr Anthony Henman
Mr Peter Howell
Dr Michael Jordan
Mr Peter Mansfield
Mr David Moseley
Mr Michael Newman
Mr Graham Nicholson
Dr Peter Robson

1969
Revd James Davies
Mr Bob Harrap
Mr Robert McKenzie Johnston
Dr Duncan Robertson

1970
Professor Christopher Carr
Dr James Ferguson
Mr David Fison
Mr Ben Paster
Mr Phil Riddle

1971
Mr Robert Brodie
Mr Andrew Cooper
Mr Vince Gilbert
Mr Tim Guest
Mr Dominic King

1972
Mr Phillip Dann
Mr Geoff Gardiner
Mr Richard Griffiths
Dr Michael Lexton
Dr Mike Miller
Mr James Robertson
Dr Ellis Wasson

1973
Dr Robert Bradley
Dr Howard Freeman
Mr Martin Howe
Mr Duncan Keeley
Dr John Marshall
Mr Nigel Morley
Dr Nick Reading

1974
Revd Alan Bolton
Mr John Chartres
Mr Peter Gray
Dr Mike Heap
Mr Frank Morgan

1975
Mr Patrick Beazley
Mr Jerry Clewett
Mr Neill Fraser
Mr Stephen Hancock
Mr David Johnson
Mr Stephen Lane
Mr Michael Meyer
Dr George Nurser
Dr John Stroughair

1976
Mr Andy Downs
Mr John Gale
The Hon Daniel Janner
Mr Simon Jeffreys

Mr Richard Lewis
Dr Robert Ross Russell

1977
Ms Frances Daley
Mrs Anna Evans
Mrs Lizzie Iron
Mr Joel Junker
Mr Pieter Knook
Mr Alan Lawther
Dr David Lindsay
Mr John McCaughran
Mr Martin Williams

1978
Ms Rosie Beaufoy
Mr Peter Cole
Dr Fiona Cornish
Ms Julia Dias
Miss Sally Gray
Mr Rupert Green
Dr Fionna Grigg
Mrs Sarah Hopkins
Mr Evan Lavelle
Ms Amanda Nichols
Dr Paul Williams

1979
Mr Paul Bradford
Dr Jonathan Cullis
Mr David Eyton
Dr Richard Fluck
Mr David Grant
Dr Oliver Kerr
Mr Richard Parrino
Professor Colin Pillinger
Ms Katrina Porteous

1980
Mr Dennis Avery
Dr Charles Elworthy
Mr Hugh Hillyard-Parker
Mr Anthony Nash

1981
Mrs Elizabeth Bone
Mr Julian Davies
Miss Joanne Eccleshall
Mrs Emma Haukeland
Dr Jenny Hawkins
Ms Mary Hockaday
Dr Ian Jackson
Ms Belinda Macauley Bett
Mrs Frances Richards
Mrs Sarah Roberts

1982
Mr Patrick Beasley
Mr Alan Brinson
Dr Karen Chiappe
Mr Paul Coldridge
Mr Andrew Kelly
Hon John Nicholls
Mr Alan Raymant

1983
Ms Jennifer Burdett
Mr Adrian Collins
Mrs Rebecca Hathaway
Mr Andrew Herring

1984
Miss Sue Biddle
Mrs Wendy Caton
Mr Steven Collins
Revd Paul Hutchinson
Mr Charles Rowley
Mrs Sue Whalley

1985
Dr Anne Butlin
Dr Esther Chung
Mr Graham Doe
Mr John Doran
Mr Tim Hall
Mr Chris Jones
Mr Ian Kelly
Mr Jonathan Pearce
Ms Tanya Roussopoulos Williams

1986
Mr Christopher Aujard
Mr Conan Chitham-Mosley
Mr Martin Green
Mr Paul Hare
Mrs Rachel Hatfield
Mr Jasper Kent
Mr Simon Pudsey

1987
Mr Roger Aldridge
Mr David Barlow

Mr Jeremy Callman
Mr Ross Cann
Miss Julia Durham
Mr Jason Green
Mr Andrew Munn
Dr Lawrence Shields

1988
Mr Jonathan Aris
Mrs Fiona Blair
Dr Henry Huntington
Mr Ade Odunsi

1989
Dr Susan Cable
Mr Chris Dale
Ms Penny Davenport
Dr Richard Dorling
Mr Richard Flowers
Mr Peter Latham
Ms Kate Manton
Miss Emma Owens
Mr Brandon Parkes
Dr Quentin Roberts

1990
Miss Nicky Bunn
Mrs Jules Day
Mr Glen Long
Mr Peter Ormerod
Dr Chris Pickup
Miss Giselle Rowlinson

1991
Miss Lara Borlenghi
Dr Ben Brown
Dr Rafael Chavez-Cartaya
Dr Lucy Coles
Miss Carolyn Marriott

1992
Mr Chaz Dheer
Mrs Olga Geroulanos-Votis
Dr Kate Haywood
Dr Richard Jones
Mr Richard Jones
Mr Nicholas Reed
Ms Wendy Russell Barter

1994
Dr Lleona Lee
Mr Brian Moss
1996
Mr Matthew Goldin

1998
Dr Andrew Lennon

1999
Ms Jacqueline Ahn
Ms Christy Karras

2000
Miss Pippa Dudley
Miss Julia McKittrick

2001
Dr Ralph Elias

2002
Mr Cameron Duncan
Mr John Miller
Mr James Thomas

2003
Mr Amir Baghdadchi
Mr Edward Carr
Mr Douglas Guilfoyle
Miss Ruth Hughes
Miss Hannah Kilduff
Miss Helen Morgan
Miss Rumbi Moyo
Ms Natalie Ridgard

Organisations
The Aula Club
The R Stanton Avery Foundation
Baillie Gifford & Co
Cambridge in America
Microsoft
Procter & Gamble (USA)
UBS Warburg

Friends of Trinity Hall
Mr S Bickmore
Mr Jim Brandi
Dr Michael Howley
Mr Thad Kemp

Current & Former Fellows and Staff of Trinity Hall
Dr Sarah Cooper
Mrs Jocelyn Poulton
Dr Dirk Slotboom
Mrs Joanna Womack
Revd Professor George Newlands

If you would like to make a gift to the College via the Annual Fund, or support one of our special projects, please complete the relevant form in the cream section. All donations of whatever size make a real difference to the College. Thank you for your support.
Report from the Chapel

The Chapel continues to be a strong focus for many members of College, whether it is to worship there regularly on a Sunday, or as a place for reflection and prayer, the physically inconspicuous Chapel holds a significant presence in the life of our College.

Sunday morning services continue to be held at 9am, followed by the traditional cooked breakfast. Our sacristans, Nick Vanston-Rumney (2002) and Simon Beaumont (2003), assist Jeremy with the preparation of the Chapel before the services and ensure that all of the weekly, and any extraordinary, services run smoothly. Their valuable contribution is – as ever – greatly appreciated.

Over the last year we have welcomed many guest preachers to our Sunday Evensong, where the choir, led by Organ Scholars Edd Capewell (2002) and Gary Davies (2003), set the service to beautiful music. The preachers this last year have included the Revd Professor Dan Hardy (Cambridge), the Revd Tony Barker (founder of Jimmy’s Night Shelter), the Revd Stephen Williams (Senior Anglican Chaplain, London University), Canon Dr Edmund Newell (St Paul’s Cathedral), the Revd Debbie Ford (Cambridge), Canon Dr Graham Kings (St Mary’s, Islington), Canon Michael Roberts (Westcott House), and the Venerable Sheila Watson (Archdeacon of Buckingham). Thursday Evensong with the choir also continues, and Morning and Evening Prayer are said by various members of Chapel every weekday during term.

Commemoration of Benefactors was again held in February and was celebrated by a large congregation of current and former students and guests, with a special dinner afterwards. 2004’s preacher was Canon Anthony Phillips, Dean from 1969 to 1974. At the end of the Easter Term a special service was held for the students leaving Trinity Hall; it was very well attended and provided many people with their first experience of a Chapel service and the music of the choir.

Charity Lunch, a Chapel-led initiative continues to grow in popularity. A simple alternative to hall lunch on a Monday, anyone is welcome to join us for a meal of soup, bread, cheese and fruit and then donate what they would normally pay for their lunch to charity. Over the last year we have raised money for Armonia, a charity working in South America. The main Chapel services have continued to support a local, national and international charity each term.

At the end of Michaelmas term the Chapel was once again filled with candles for the traditional Advent Carol Service. It was, as always, a full house and everyone who attended enjoyed welcoming in the season of
hope and anticipation by singing carols and listening to the choir, who performed an extensive repertoire of 20th century carols under the direction of Edd Capewell.

This year we also celebrated the baptism of one of our members, Alice Barrington-Barnes (2001), which was a very special occasion for us all, and also of Benedict Steele, the son of Tim (1982), a Trinity Hall alumnus, and his wife Angela, who are both active members of the Chapel community.

It is always lovely to meet friends and former members of Trinity Hall in Chapel and I would encourage you to join us for a service if you are in Cambridge. Details of services are available on the Chapel pages of the College website.

Finally as we look forward to the coming year we continue to ask for God’s blessing on our Chapel community – that we will continue to grow in God’s love and that every member may be a faithful witness to Him in every aspect of our lives.

Claire Hooper
Chairman, Chapel Council

Chapel Choir

The strength of the Chapel choir this year has been much aided by the introduction of a choral scholarship scheme that has allowed us to appoint three undergraduate students as choral scholars by internal audition. This is thanks to the generosity of alumni over the past year or so. In the next few years we hope to expand the scholarship scheme to enable us to appoint up to eight choral scholars at any one time, and for this we thank all the alumni for their continuing support. Last July, members of the choir sang to a very appreciative audience at the Annual Gathering, which was followed by an opportunity for alumni to quiz me and other members of the choir about the new organ which is to be installed in spring 2006. This, together with the introduction of the choral scholarships, will very much help to invigorate an already thriving Chapel music programme within the College.

In September 2004, the choir toured to Rome where we enjoyed a week of singing and sightseeing, only slightly marred by the unusual rain! After spending four days rehearsing in Cambridge beforehand, we sang at the Anglican Centre to a group of Roman Catholic pilgrims from Wakefield, sang Mass at the Anglican Church in Rome (where we met with the Hall’s own John Pollard, in Rome for research) and also sang Mass at the Pontifical Irish College where we were staying. We were also fortunate
enough to sing a short private concert for HM Ambassador to the Holy See and her guests at her official residence.

We began the Michaelmas term with the addition of four new altos, a tenor and a bass to the ranks of the choir. Once again this year we have broadened and deepened our repertoire to encompass some more challenging and extended pieces of music. On Remembrance Sunday the Chapel was packed for a liturgical performance of the Fauré Requiem and our traditional Advent Carol Service was extended to a Nine Lessons and Carols service with music drawn exclusively from the 20th century English repertoire. Both this and the service in St Edward’s on the following Sunday were very well attended and the choir received many compliments on their singing. At the end of term we all benefited greatly from a workshop led by the renowned conductor and composer Bob Chilcott on German and English a cappella repertoire, some of which was heard at the Commemoration of Benefactors in the Lent term.

Members of the choir continue to take lessons from our visiting singing teacher Robert Jones, Director of Music at St Bride’s, Fleet Street and a professional counter-tenor. The Chapel music list is produced at the beginning of each term, copies of which are available in the ante-chapel or by post. Please contact the Alumni Office. Alternatively, details are always available on our website www.thmusic.co.uk

Edd Capewell
Senior Organ Scholar
College Statistics

Undergraduates

During the year ending September 2004, the total number of undergraduates in residence was 362. The numbers reading for degrees in the main subjects were as follows:

- Natural Sciences: 60
- Law: 43
- Engineering: 28
- Medicine: 32
- Economics: 10
- Modern languages: 32
- Mathematics: 22
- English: 20
- History: 21
- Social and Political Sciences: 18

The number of undergraduates taking classified examinations in 2004 was 327 of whom 62 were placed in the First Class and 249 in the Second Class. At present, there are 359 undergraduates in residence.

Scholarships

The following elections and awards have been made in the academic year 2004/2005:

**Elected to Bateman Scholarships**

- **Computer Science**: S R Horne
- **Education**: J R T Rogers
- **English**: H L Crawforth
- **Engineering**: R C Harris, C L Hooper, E J Pooley
- **History**: C L Evans
- **Law**: D J A Guilfoyle, M C Leistner, S Lemer, H Lloyd-Jukes, S J Tan
- **Mathematics**: J R Bourne, A J Gardner, G C Wrennall
- **Natural Sciences**: D A M Anderson, A R Barr, R B J Benson, J M Dutton, G C Girdler, R S Paton, J F Roberts
- **Modern and Medieval Languages**: V Hart, K Rees, K E Robertshaw
- **Social and Political Sciences**: D C Elton, N H Gaukroger, L A Geissendorfer, O J Lo, B C Rawlings

**Elected to Scholarships**

- **Classics**: O J M Cummings
- **Computer Science**: M A Scott
- **Engineering**: T Brandvik, M M Mohd Mukhtar, H Pinder, D F Wyatt
- **Economics**: C E Gunn, S R A Keen, G White
- **English**: H E A David
- **History**: C L Evans
Law: N S Sivakumaran, J C Willan
Mathematics: I G Abel, B Adcock, D G Ferguson, M Mani, I N Mathieson, D R Third
Medicine: J J Hilton, D L Ifan, R Srinivasan
Modern and Medieval Languages: M Abbott, H J Kilduff, J A Miller, J W Platt, C H Smale
Social and Political Sciences: A S Adams
Theology: E Paine

Named College prizes awarded in 2004 were as follows:

Angus Prize for Classics: O J M Cummings
Harcourt Prize for Economics: C E Gunn, S R A Keen, G White
Baker Prize for Engineering: R C Harris, C L Hooper, E J Pooley
R A Hayes Prize for Engineering: H Pinder
Ernst Frankl Prize for Engineering: T Brandvik, M M Mohd Mukhtar, D F Wyatt
E G Harwood Prize for English: H L Crawforth, E A Court
Cressingham Prize for English Essay: H E A David
Graham Storey Prize for English: C M Malcolmson
C W Crawley Prize for History: C L Evans
Kitty Crawley Prize for History: K M C Beal-Preston
Henry Bond Prize for Law: J C Willan
David Clement Davies Prize for Law: S J Tan
Dr Ellis Lewis Prize for English Law: N S Sivakumaran
Ian Malcolm Lewis Prize for Law: M C Leistner
Lovell’s Prize: Not awarded this year
Wylie Prize for Mathematics: A J Gardner
Parks Prize for Mathematics: G C Wrennall
Henry and Irene Dean Prize for Medicine: K A MacGloin
Bill Grundy Prize for Medicine: L C Harrison
Elmore Travel Exhibition [Modern Languages]: J W Platt
Sylvia Olive Stearn Prize for Music: Not awarded this year
Reginald Pillai Prize for Natural Sciences [Physical]: J F Roberts
Karen Thorne Prize for Biological Science: A R Barr, G C Girdler
Michael Stobbs Prize for Natural Sciences: R S Paton
N R Pillai Travel Scholarship [Oriental Studies]: Not awarded this year
Kitty Crawley Prize for Philosophy: Not awarded this year
Dean Nurser Prize for Sociology: L A Geissendorfer
Excelect Awards: K M C Beal-Preston, J C Willan

Dr Cooper’s Law Studentships: I Bandaranayake, M E Carson, R D Lesser, T Y Lyons, I P Philipova, N E Robinson, M F Roy, L Warren
Trinity Hall Studentships: S Lemer, D D Peters, S J Tan
Postgraduate Students

At present there are 233 graduate students in College, working on a wide range of advanced degrees. Of these, 60 are working towards PhD degrees in arts subjects and 74 in science subjects. Nearly all the remaining students are pursuing the Postgraduate Certificate in Education, the LLM degree and the MPhil degree in Criminology, European Studies or International Relations. There are 16 students enrolled in clinical courses in Medicine or Veterinary Medicine. The College also has 2 postgraduate students in the MBA programme, 10 in the MEd Programme and 3 in the MSt programme.

In the academic year 2004–2005, College scholarships or prizes were awarded to the following graduate students:

Trinity Hall Research Studentships
- A Baghdadchi (2 years 2004–2006)
- P A Booth (2 years 2004–2006)
- N Reeves (3 years 2004–2007)
- R Singaravelu Naidu (2 years 2004–2006)
- K A van Ryneveld (3 years 2004–2007)

Trinity Hall Research Bursary
- F Bengtsson (3 years 2004–2007)
- M Johnson (3 years 2004–2007)
- G Kert (1 year 2004–2005)
- D Rohner (3 years 2004–2007)
- R S Freedman, Honorary Bursary*

Brockhouse Scholarship
- D Excell (3 years 2004–2007)

Nightingale Studentship
- C M Falter (3 years 2004–2007)
- S L Tait (3 years 2004–2007)

Lord Morris of Borth-Y-Gest Studentship
- V Musilova (1 year 2004–2005)

*Honorary bursaries are awarded to those to whom we offered a bursary, but who in the event obtained funding from a Research Council.
## Students who Matriculated in 2004

### Undergraduates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mr Spiros Adams-Florou</th>
<th>Mr Martin Harris</th>
<th>Miss Afi Narh-Saam</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Miss Jenny Angell</td>
<td>Miss Niamh Haughey</td>
<td>Miss Catherine Negus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miss Kate Armstrong</td>
<td>Miss Danielle Hayward-Bradley</td>
<td>Mr Toby O’Connor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Matthew Armstrong</td>
<td>Miss Emily Hilton</td>
<td>Miss Heather Ong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miss Lucy Bell</td>
<td>Mr Callum Hind</td>
<td>Miss Daisy Organ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Thomas Bennett</td>
<td>Mr Robert Hodgkinson</td>
<td>Miss Charlotte Parker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miss Dharini Bhuvanendra</td>
<td>Mr Richard Holroyd</td>
<td>Mr Edmund Perry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Gareth Bland</td>
<td>Miss Samantha Hulme</td>
<td>Miss Shabana Pervez</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Oliver Bournat</td>
<td>Miss Elizabeth Jackson</td>
<td>Miss Rachel Phythian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miss Beth Brignall</td>
<td>Miss Judith Jackson</td>
<td>Mr Christopher Prekop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miss Clare Button</td>
<td>Miss Sharon Jacobs</td>
<td>Miss Lydia Prior-Jones</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Raymond Cheung</td>
<td>Miss Katrina Jones</td>
<td>Miss Tracey Roberts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miss Harriet Clark</td>
<td>Miss Sara Kaltz</td>
<td>Mr Thomas Robinson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Thomas Clark</td>
<td>Miss Charlotte Keane</td>
<td>Mr Benjamin Ryves</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Thomas Cock</td>
<td>Mr John Keeping</td>
<td>Mr Iain Schofield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miss Rebecca Cowen</td>
<td>Mr Richard Kimmens</td>
<td>Miss Eleanor Scull</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miss Claire Dawkins</td>
<td>Miss Isabella Kirkwood</td>
<td>Mr Blake Sherwin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms Marianne Dicker</td>
<td>Mr Nicholas Laux</td>
<td>Miss Felicity Smith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr James Dixon</td>
<td>Miss Nadia Lawes</td>
<td>Mr Luke Smith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miss Rachael Droog</td>
<td>Mr David Lewis-Smith</td>
<td>Mr William Sorby</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Alexander Dustan</td>
<td>Miss Sara Lightowlers</td>
<td>Mr Theo Spears</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Jack Eaton</td>
<td>Mr David Lum</td>
<td>Mr Graeme Spence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms Laura Evans</td>
<td>Miss Hannah Mabbutt</td>
<td>Miss Emily Stokes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Matthew Evans</td>
<td>Miss Genevieve</td>
<td>Miss Alice Swift</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miss Carly Farthing</td>
<td>MacDonald</td>
<td>Mr Johnny Tang</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miss Erica Foskett</td>
<td>Mr Lech Madryzk</td>
<td>Miss Catherine Taylor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miss Isabel Gammie</td>
<td>Mr Chong Mah</td>
<td>Mr David Taylor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miss Adias Gerbaud</td>
<td>Mr Arun Mahakuperan</td>
<td>Mr Christopher Thompson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miss Ksenia Germanovich</td>
<td>Mr Ben Mananey</td>
<td>Mr Jack Thorne</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Miss Rosalind Marshall</td>
<td>Mr Andrew Turner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Miss Sally McGrath</td>
<td>Miss Antonia Turrell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Miss Lucy McSherry</td>
<td>Miss Ellen Van Baak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Miss Isabel McTiffin</td>
<td>Miss Aqeela Wadiwala</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mr David Merrick</td>
<td>Miss Natalie Whitty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mr Joseph Moed</td>
<td>Mr Stephen Williams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mr Simon Morley</td>
<td>Mr Thomas Wood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Miss Harriet Morris</td>
<td>Miss Xue Yao</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mr Michael Mount</td>
<td>Mr Richard Zito</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms Clare Harris</td>
<td>Mr James Munk</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

31
Postgraduates

Ms Ruth Armstrong
Mr Wo-Shing Au
Mr Paul Baines
Mr Adedayo Banwo
Mr Frederick Bengtsson
Mr Jean-Jacques Bernard
Mr Jonas Binding
Mr Jonathan Blower
Miss Tugce Bulut
Mr Roberto Carabeo
Mr Nicolas Casini
Mr Kuan Chee
Miss Rosalind Clark
Miss Rui Cui
Miss Lejla Demiri
Mr Stephan Diestelhorst
Miss Anja Drozd
Mr Diepak Elmer
Mr David Excell
Miss Christine Falter
Dr Francisco Fernandez Alcazar
Miss Antje Gajewsky
Mr Johan Gold
Ms Imogen Gunn
Ms Yan Hai
Ms Miranda Hamilton
Miss Rebecca Hitchings
Mrs Gloria Holland
Mr Florian Huc
Mr Nathanael Hudson
Ms Tala Jarjour
Ms Yinglin Ji
Ms Maria Joseph
Mr Eamonn Joyce
Mr Carsten Keller
Mr Shahzad Khan
Miss Elisabeth Kingma
Miss Laura Kirkley
Mr David Kupper

Mr Emmanuel Levy
Miss Li Li
Mr Kasper Lou
Miss Julie Macarthur
Miss Kaisa Maki-Petaja
Miss Maria Malksoo
Miss Florence Marie
Miss Rebecca Miles
Miss Veronika Musilova
Miss Poh Neo
Mr James Newton
Mr Sheehan Olver
Miss Cleantha Paine
Mr Damjan Pfajfar
Miss Alyson Pitts
Miss Rachel Posner
Mr Adam Pounds
Ms Dara Purvis
Miss Katherine Rickus
Mr Dominic Rohner
Mr Daniel Schuldes
Miss Leslie Shope
Mr Daniel Smith
Mr Jiang Su
Ms Sarah Tait
Dr Gareth Thomas
Dr Marc Thommen
Mr Emmanouil Tountas
Ms Jasmine Tsen
Miss Fenna van Marle
Miss Kirsten van Ryneveld
Mr Jamie Vicary
Mr Benjamin Weinthal
Ms Shakima Wells
Mr Ze Weng
Ms Johanna Winant
Miss Sze Wong
Mr Adrian Zenz
Ms Heng Zhang
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Professor John Edwards FRCP FRS (1946)
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Martin Williams (1966)
Dr Nicholas Reading MRCP (1973)
Neil Tidmarsh (1978)
Sarah Webbe (1981)
Dr Sarah Barrett Jones (1992)
Amol Prabhu (1998)

Trinity Hall Association

89th Annual General Meeting

In the Chair: Mr Dennis Avery, President

1. Minutes of the previous Annual General Meeting held on 17 May 2003, which had been published in the Newsletter, were approved and signed by the President.

2. Mr Dennis Avery (1980), having been duly nominated, was unanimously re-elected President of the Association for 2004–2005.

3. The following, having been duly nominated, were unanimously re-elected to serve as Officers for 2004–2005:

   London Secretary       Mr Barry Lewis (1959)
   Hon Treasurer           Ms Frances Daley (1977)
   Hon Auditor             Mr Rory Silkin (1976)
4. The extension by one further year of the tenure of Professor John Edwards (1946), Mr Martin Williams (1966), Dr Nicholas Reading (1973), Mr Neil Tidmarsh (1978), Ms Sarah Webbe (1981) and Dr Sarah Barrett Jones (1992) as committee members, notwithstanding that they had already served 5 years tenure, was ratified.

5. The Hon Treasurer, Ms Frances Daley, summarised her Statement of Accounts published with the Agenda. It was explained that the zero figure for 2003 subscriptions was a direct result of the proposed new Rules providing for free membership and that it had been considered unfair by the committee to impose a charge on students just as free membership was being adopted. The larger figure for 2002 was a reflection of the timing of the various payments. The figure for interest was, as ever, nominal. The Association had contributed to the June event and subsidised the younger members attending the Cambridge dinner.

6. Mr Barry Lewis referred to his report published with the Agenda and added to it by:
   • Thanking the Alumni Officer, Mrs Liz Pentlow, very warmly for all the work done in preparing for and organising the AGM and for the help and support she had calmly given to him over the year.
   • Thanking Mr Neil Tidmarsh, Committee member with responsibility for the Centenary celebrations, for all his good ideas and work over a considerable period of time.
   • Indicating that the Committee had met on four occasions during the year which was probably a record and singled out:
     a) Mr Dennis Avery, the President for coming over from San Diego, California on each and every occasion, preparing himself for the meetings and handling them courteously and efficiently.
     b) Mr Rory Silkin, the Hon Auditor, for over some years trying to draft a new written Constitution for the Association.
     c) Mr Martin Williams for drafting the new proposals and Ms Sarah Webbe for all her assistance in this task.
   • Drawing the attention of the membership to an unseconded Motion in absentia by Mr John Charter (1951), which had been circulated by the Alumni Officer, Mrs Liz Pentlow, to all
attending the meeting and endorsed on the reverse to indicate its provenance. Copies of such Motion and of the email sent by Mr Charter to Mr Barry Lewis, London Secretary, timed 4.35 pm Friday 25 June, which was read out to the meeting, are available from the Alumni Office. After some considerable debate, including the validity of an unseconded Motion received less than 24 hours before the AGM, a Motion was proposed and seconded that the issue of Mr Charter’s Motion be deferred until the next AGM. An amendment to this Motion by His Honour Judge Angus Macdonald was then proposed and seconded that the Motion should be noted. It was pointed out that the concerns of Mr Charter seem to have been met by alumni representation with the Fellows on the Alumni Liaison Committee, which in turn was a sub-Committee of the Governing Body, giving the alumni a powerful voice in College affairs. The amendment to the original Motion was put to the vote and was carried unanimously and the original Motion was withdrawn from the floor. It was then agreed that a letter should be sent to Mr Charter advising him of the tenor of the discussion and its outcome. It was particularly regretted that Mr Charter was unable to attend to speak to his Motion and that it had no seconder. It was explained by Mrs Liz Pentlow that Mr Charter was unable to attend by reason of ill-health but it was further observed that this did not prevent him sending a seconder to the meeting to speak to the Motion.

- Informing the meeting that Mr Thomas Cannon-Brookes was instrumental in founding the THA of which he was London Secretary for some 25 years and also ultimately President. The Cannon-Brookes family has always been very well represented at the College and it was a pleasure to note that Mr Peter Cannon-Brookes was in attendance.
- Indicating to the meeting that having served as London Secretary for 29 years and embarking on the 30th year he was looking for a successor when he retired in a couple of years or more, to give way to a younger person.
- Passing on the good wishes of Sir John and Lady Lyons, who unfortunately had not been enjoying particularly good health, and being requested to pass on the Association’s best wishes for better days for them.
- Reading out a letter from Dr McMullan apologising for his absence and likewise a card from His Honour Alan King-
Hamilton QC who in his hundredth year found it too much to attend both the AGM and the Reunion Dinner on the 3 July at which he would certainly be present. Advising the meeting of the election of His Honour Alan King-Hamilton QC as an Honorary Fellow of the College and being requested to pass on the Association’s congratulations and best wishes.

- Thanking the Master for all his tireless efforts, sometimes frustrating, to ensure that the new Rules were satisfactory and could be put in place to ensure the safe and harmonious future of the THA and on behalf of the Association wishing him and his wife Dr Maria Tippett a happy and restful retirement in British Columbia.

7. The proposed changes to the Rules, as set out in the blue pamphlet circulated by mail to the membership prior to the meeting, and including, inter alia, a letter from Mr Barry Lewis, the London Secretary, Agenda for the AGM and Proxy Voting Forms, were then put to the membership for discussion. A point was raised as to the date of the AGM and the wish expressed that this be on the same specific Saturday each year. It was explained that it was now taking place at the General Admissions weekend to take advantage of the facilities in College arranged for Graduation day but that as these Graduation days were either at the end of June or the beginning of July they could be identified in advance with relative accuracy. Furthermore the dates were published on the University website and these dates could therefore be published by the College perhaps in Front Court. A Motion was then proposed by Mr Tim Nixon (1999) and seconded by Mr Bob Ely (1950) that the Changes in the Rules of the Trinity Hall Association as published both in the blue pamphlet and with the Agenda in draft form be adopted and be put into immediate effect. The Motion was put to the vote and approved unanimously by those present. The Meeting was then informed by the Alumni Officer, Mrs Liz Pentlow, that there had been 173 proxy voters in favour of the Changes and 5 against it. Furthermore there had been 177 proxy votes in favour of the re-election of Mr Dennis Avery as President, 174 votes in favour of the re-election of the Committee members and 173 votes in favour of the tenure of the existing Committee members named in paragraph 2 of these Minutes being extended by one year. There were no votes against any of these three proposals.
8. **The Alumni Officer**, Mrs Liz Pentlow, informed the meeting that attendances at the London Event and Annual Gathering were considerably increased to about 150 people at each. The expanded programme for the AGM would be continued in subsequent years. Suggestions were sought for a venue for the 2005 London Event. 800 members had so far registered with the on-line directory at www.thalumni.net The Cambridge dinner would be well attended as it was not only the Centenary dinner but the 1954 Golden Anniversary and others would be there having participated in a football match. Mrs Pentlow thanked the Committee for its help in the past year, which had been busy with a number of changes being made.

**The Development Assistant**, Ms Victoria Fangen, who had now been at the Hall for one year, expressed her pleasure at *The Hidden Hall*, which will be full of thoughts and anecdotes. The first proof was ready and it has an excellent structure. There were 1,300 subscribers so far and a few pages and subscription forms were to be found in the Master’s Lodge.

**The Development Director**, Mrs Jocelyn Poulton, expressed great enthusiasm about the new publication *The Hidden Hall*, which was progressing well towards final printing. It was, she said, “a most fantastic book.” The College relied on benefactions from alumni and friends to finance new projects and to enable the College to thrive beyond the very basic subsistence provided by the State. Facilities were now in place for an Annual Fund to finance new projects and this was proving most successful. If every alumnus contributed £20, the College would raise £130,000. The students were involved in a telephone campaign resulting in a mutual enjoyment of calls and anecdotes and £85,000 to date. The members assistance in all this was much appreciated.

**The Master**, Professor Peter Clarke, echoed the remarks of each member of this most professional team. The changes had been much improved through discussion at all levels. The name of the Association had been retained, whilst the vitality of voluntary effort would be linked to the professional Executive Team in College. This would be a harmonious and effective combination sealed by the decision taken at this Meeting. Mr Barry Lewis, Mr Martin Williams and Ms Sarah Webbe backed up by their Committee were...
warmly thanked by the Master for their efforts culminating in a most satisfactory result. He was now in a position to hand over to his successor, Professor Martin Daunton, with everything in good order. The Master added that his successor was fully committed to the strategic plan. They were meeting to put their own close understanding as colleagues to the benefit of the College. The Master and his wife Dr Maria Tippett were moving in July and Professor Daunton would move in on 14 September. The Master would attend his last meeting of the Governing Body Finance Committee on 14 September and Professor Daunton would be in post for the Reunion Dinner on 18 September and the Annual Dinner on 25 September. Wychfield remained central to the plan, unlocking, once fully developed, other possibilities elsewhere and enabling funds to be used more effectively. The Master added a special word of thanks to the President, Mr Dennis Avery for his outstanding support of the College and the Association. The Master bid his farewells and was delighted to leave with the Association in good shape, heading for the next centenary under good leadership. The President replied briefly, extolling the Master’s wonderful effort in rekindling interest on his many journeys to the United States and elsewhere.

9. Key calendar dates were confirmed as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Annual Dinner 2004</td>
<td>Saturday 25 September 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>London Event 2005 tbc</td>
<td>(venue to be confirmed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Gathering 2005</td>
<td>Saturday 2 July 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Dinner 2005</td>
<td>Saturday 24 September 2005</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10. There being no other business the Meeting was declared closed by the President.
In my report of 2004 I sketched out the proposals for the reform of the THA. These far-reaching and imaginative proposals are aimed at keeping the Association in step with the requirements of the student body, the College and the former members of the College known for some time now as the alumni. These proposals, formulated by Martin Williams (1966), were explained by him to those present at the AGM on 26 June 2004 and unanimously adopted. The Committee in its old form will have met twice since the AGM and the new Committee will be put forward for ratification at the AGM on 2 July 2005. Dennis Avery (1980), who has been such a vital force in these changes, and Colin Hayes (1962) have kindly agreed to stand for President and Secretary respectively of the reconstituted THA. All but two of the other members of the Committee will be drawn from the Year Reps. It is proposed that these shall include Martin Williams, Sarah Webbe (1981) and Sarah Barrett Jones (1992), who are all members of the existing Committee. Furthermore, Sir Alan Donald (1950) and I, both members of the existing Committee, will stand for election as the two non-Year Rep members. The rationale behind these nominations is to provide a measure of continuity at all levels in the initial stages. All nominations are of course subject to approval and ratification by the membership at the AGM.

The Year Reps are playing an increasingly important role in alumni affairs and the annual Year Rep Conference held in College on 25 September 2004 achieved what Liz Pentlow, our indefatigable Alumni Officer, has rightly described as “an incredibly useful discussion on a variety of subjects.” These included the increased use of the alumni website (THAAlumni.net) and how it should best be used, the distinction between fund-raising and alumni activities, guidelines for the Year Reps (including what assistance they needed from the College), and a reminder and clarification of members’ dining rights. It was also an opportunity for the Master and Bursar to introduce themselves to the assembled company, who then attended the THA Annual Dinner.

The year saw the 100th birthday of our oldest alumnus and Honorary Fellow, His Honour Alan King-Hamilton QC (1923). This event was recorded for posterity by the endowment by Dennis Avery of the King-Hamilton Bursary, which will provide financial assistance to at least two undergraduate law students each year. Dennis Avery also presented Alan King-Hamilton with a beautifully worked and inscribed silver plate commemorating the occasion. This was given to him by Dennis Avery and his wife Sally Wong-Avery at his home, when I was lucky enough to
be present. A photograph of the presentation was reproduced in the autumn edition of *Front Court*. The formal ceremony had occurred at the Cambridge Centenary dinner on the day before, when I accepted the plate from Dennis Avery on behalf of Alan King-Hamilton, who was unable to attend because he was with his family celebrating the end of the Jewish Day of Atonement and the dawn of a New Year.

The dinner had a record turn out and several members had to spill over to the SCR where speeches were relayed by loudspeaker. Judging by decibel levels and indeed subsequent attendance at the Buttery Bar, the overflow enjoyed themselves as much as those of us in the Hall!

We had a successful careers evening in January thanks in no small part to the efforts of Sarah Webbe and to the active participation of the Nicholson family. The Centenary London Event took place at the Royal Society of Arts in February thanks to the introduction of Frank Conley FSA (1964). The venue was the Society’s picturesque vaults and to mark the occasion, the President and I made short speeches, whilst Frank Conley gave us a very interesting potted history of the Society.

The Centenary AGM was a splendid affair with innumerable activities. The actual meeting was well attended and the debate lively and constructive. The day’s rain was not enough to dampen any spirits and the lectures given by Jonathan Steinberg and Amir Baghdadchi (2003) on “The View from O4” and Ronald Firbank respectively were of the highest quality. There was a concert, wine tasting, silver display, tour of the Old Library and Victorian costumed interpreters as well as both a light lunch and buffet dinner. The organisation was, as ever, perfect thanks to our Alumni Officer Liz Pentlow and many other members of the Trinity Hall staff, of whom Joseph was as always to the fore. He must have been in charge of countless THA events and I am delighted to express our thanks to him and his assistants for all the pleasure they have given to us over the years.

Like Alan King-Hamilton, we at the THA are celebrating the dawn of a new year and new era. At his birthday party at Middle Temple Hall, Alan King-Hamilton likened advancing years to an escalator, upon which one assumes that the next step is the last but is delighted to find that more arrive as one progresses upwards. My own progress as joint London Secretary and ultimately London Secretary has been rather like a person on that escalator, except that after 30 years I have decided that it is time for me to step off it, not least because, thanks to the energy of so many people involved with the Association, that escalator will never stop!

My years as an Officer of the Association, preceded by two as a Committee member, have been a source of great pleasure to me and most rewarding. I have been particularly interested in widening the body of
the alumni, originally by extending the events to include wives, at a time when the College was an all-male preserve and no guests were invited. To this end I organised a fork supper at Trinity House in March 1977 attended by several members with their wives. This was unfortunately something of a flash in the pan and we had to wait several years, and the eminently sensible decision to open the College to ladies, before its successor, the London event, became an annual fixture. I have also been concerned that the Association should cater for and act in the best interests of the student body of the College. In 1997 a dinner was arranged in College with Chris Hancock (1994) and Nick Forbes (1995) of the JCR and Lyn Carnochan (1994) and Catherine Aboud (1995) of the MCR, when there was a very helpful two-way discussion leading to a better understanding of what the Association should be doing. One proposal emerging from that dinner was to give careers advice to the students. This was the beginning of the careers initiative and its merger with the activities of the Nick Nicholson fund, thanks to the kind support of Mrs Joy Nicholson and family. The baton has now been taken up by Sarah Webbe, who puts the Careers Seminar together every year with Liz Pentlow, who cheerfully organises so much for the Association. It has become an annual event and I believe one of the Association’s most useful achievements. The evening presentation to Graduands, which was another idea that emerged from that dinner, was initiated in 1998 and repeated since then, but is now replaced by the Annual Gathering at its new date in July, offering Graduands their first experience of an alumni event.

Forgive me if I have kept the overview of the year relatively short but expatiated upon my years in office and my vision of the Association. I regard it principally as the vehicle not only for keeping members in touch with the College and each other but also for seeking to promote the interests and welfare of the student generation. My good wishes go to Colin Hayes for taking on an interesting and rewarding job although, under the new constitution, a much shorter maximum period of office is foreseen. It looked for a time as if the Secretary was to be the Finance Officer as well but the Committee, charged as it is with regulating its own affairs, has very sensibly constituted a financial sub-Committee to look after the finances, thus mercifully relieving the Secretary of any such additional responsibility.

On behalf of the Association, and indeed for myself, I would like to wish Professor Martin Daunton, together with his wife Claire, a very happy and successful time as Head of the family of Trinity Hall. They have all the attributes that are required of them and have got off to a fine start.
I cannot close without expressing my thanks to John Barratt (1949), whose successful tenure as a London Secretary was some 25 years, for showing me by example what the London Secretary should do. I also wish to pay tribute to Graham Storey (1939) who as a Cambridge Secretary guided the Association for many years and to Jonathan Steinberg, who created the whole concept of Year Reps. Without their tireless efforts the Association would not have been the force it is today.

My thanks finally to all of you who have suffered my interruptions in Committee and perorations at Annual General Meetings. I loved my 3 years as an undergraduate and I have never lost that feeling of belonging to a diverse and splendid family known to us all as the Hall. I am proud to have been able to put something back into an institution, which has given me so much and am grateful to the Association for having given me that opportunity.

Barry Lewis (1959)
Trinity Hall Association London Secretary (1975–2005)

Report from the Alumni Officer

Working in the Trinity Hall Alumni Office is both enjoyable and varied, and 2004 was yet another busy and exciting year. The events calendar was enhanced due to the centenary celebrations of the Trinity Hall Association, with numbers attending College events stretching facilities to the full. The London Event at the Royal Society of Arts saw 150 gather to celebrate 100 years to the day of the founding of the THA. More than 150 gathered in Cambridge for the Annual Dinner in September, including a table of those from 1954 celebrating their Golden Anniversary. 1999 also came back in force, encouraged either by the generous subsidy for younger members, or was it by their Year Reps Tim and Amy? It is wonderful to see such a wide spread of years attending all the events, from 1923 all the way up to 2003. Some of our oldest alumni came back for their Reunion in June, many sharing the occasion with their wives. Some of the gentlemen hadn’t seen each other since they left Trinity Hall, so had a lot of catching up to do!

The Centenary of the THA gave us a great excuse to revamp the programme of events at the Annual Gathering, and to move it to a new slot.
Previously the date had been in May, and we were restricted by the fact that the students were still here, and studying for their exams. Now we will be taking advantage of marquees hired for General Admissions, and the new date will be at the end of June/early July. In 2004 we had two talks, one from Professor Jonathan Steinberg, and another by grad student Amir Baghdadchi. Both were extremely interesting and well received. There was also wine tasting, visits to the Old Library, a viewing of the silver collection, a chance to talk to costumed interpreters about life in 1904, and a fabulous performance by the choir in the Chapel. An evening buffet rounded off the festivities, which were only slightly dampened by the rain. Thank you to all of you who help make our events so successful.

Alumni contact with each other and with the College has been greatly improved by THAAlumni.net, which has now exceeded 1,100 members. The site will be used to post Guest Lists for events, so you can check who else has signed up for forthcoming gatherings, and to keep you updated with news and happenings at the College. The site will be developed over the coming years, so we would welcome any comments and feedback about it.

The publication of The Hidden Hall has supplied the College and its members with a wonderful record of life at Trinity Hall. If you haven’t seen a copy, we will be displaying them at our events, and if you want to join the 1,400 people who already own the book, there is an order form in the Cream Section of this Newsletter.

We are considering a proposal to revise our publication schedule to enable the Newsletter to become a record of the academic year (1 October – 31 September) rather than the traditional calendar year. The proposal is that Front Court would be sent out in the summer, and the Newsletter in the winter (rather than autumn and spring respectively). If the proposal is accepted, the next issue of Front Court will be with you in July/August 2005. The content will remain the same in each publication, with notices of forthcoming events as before.

Finally I would like to say a huge thank you to those alumni that have helped in so many ways over the past year. The THA Committee has been especially active, and their commitment to the College is much appreciated. The Year Reps too have been working hard behind the scenes, and are always happy to hear from members of their year, so do get in touch with them (details at the end of the Gazette section). We always appreciate alumni input, whether it is for the Careers Network, or suggesting venues for events beyond College. I look forward to seeing many of you at our events over the coming year.

Mrs Liz Pentlow
Year Rep Meeting, Saturday 25 September 2004

There was another great turn out for the 2004 Conference, providing an incredibly useful discussion on a variety of subjects:

1. Brief outline of alumni and development activities:
   - Numbers attending events are still increasing, and following a very successful London Event at the Royal Society of Arts, ideas for venues for 2005 were requested.
   - Dining Rights have been updated to allow ALL members of the College to exercise them, with the concession that a guest will be allowed in exchange for one of the 4 entitlements. The once termly dinners will continue as an opportunity for alumni to exercise their rights – dates are on the website.
   - A telephone fundraising campaign held in June had proved to be extremely successful, raising over £135,000. Letters had originally been sent to almost 2,000 people offering them the chance to remove themselves from the call list. Only 100 people asked not to be called.

2. The suggestions for Year Reps willing to form the new THA Committee were accepted. They are:
   - Bob Ely (1950)
   - John Russell (1953)
   - Martin Williams (1966)
   - Andrew Burr (1977)
   - Sarah Webbe (1981)
   - Tim Nixon (1999)
   - Sarah Barrett-Jones (1994 Grad)
   - Marina Terkourafi (1998 Grad)

3. The Guidelines for Year Reps were still relevant, and needed very little alteration. The main area that needed updating was the section on the website.

Other issues that came out of the discussion were:
   - If a Rep sends a message to their year, they shouldn’t be disappointed if they receive limited replies. Rather than sending general information, it was suggested that they include details
about get togethers, whether more formal meetings in College, or informal drinks in a pub. This is more likely to prompt a response.

- It would be useful for Year Reps to be sent a sheet at the beginning of the year, outlining what will be required of them and when, eg submissions for *Black & White News*, plugging events etc.

4. The meeting split into groups to discuss the College websites. The feedback was as follows:

**Suggestions for THAlumni.net**

- It is vital to have one look across any College websites, and they should interact with each other seamlessly.
- The alumni site needs to be more interactive, especially for Year Reps. They should be able to post messages for their year directly on to the site, as well as have the facility to email their year group through the site.
- A College electronic Newsletter could be sent out to those who requested it including news from the College, eg “New Master elected”. Leading on from this would be a subscription service providing news from specific groups eg the Boat Club or the Choir.
- The front page of THAlumni should be for College notices such as events, info on dining rights, etc, and then there should be separate pages for year groups to go to for news from their Year Reps. These could be their home page when logged in.
- There has to be a reason for people to come back to the site – there could be a system where an email is sent to people when someone else from their year signs up, or a chat board.
- The site should be used for tracking down lost people. The lost list could be posted on the site so it is readily accessible to all.
- There should be links to other College societies, especially those that want alumni involvement, eg Boat Club and Crescent Club. These clubs could even have a page on the site for them to post their news or events.
- It is vital that people know about the site before they leave. Year Reps should be involved as soon as they are elected, and they can then encourage their year to sign up, and to register for cantab.net email addresses. There should be a link to the cantab.net site from THAlumni.
More General thoughts

- It was felt that fundraising should be kept separate from the alumni site.
- Friends Reunited could provide ideas of how to get people involved, as well as giving details of Trinity Hall alumni.
- Any changes should work perfectly before they are publicised to avoid frustration and embarrassment.
- It was felt that the College website is more for marketing to prospective students, whereas THAlumni.net is more of a Club Site for those already involved.

5. Conclusions
The Alumni website was extremely welcome, but needed to be more interactive, providing more incentive for alumni to keep logging on. The suggestions were all extremely useful, but budget restrictions, and time constraints must be taken into consideration. The more features the site has, the more it costs, and the more time it takes to manage!

Following a meeting with FX Technology who designed the site, the following improvements will be made:

- Immediately the contact details for Year Reps will be included on the page members see when they log in, so it will only be available to registered users.
- In the long term the plan is to give each Year Rep an extended page that can be used as a place to leave messages for their year, and maybe even post photos. This would be highlighted in the year list (probably appearing at the top of the list) so that people can open it up when they log in. This would be suitable for those who want to leave a lot of messages, or those who only want to include their basic details, as it won’t be obvious if the page is particularly empty or stagnant! For those few Year Reps without an email address, it should be possible to create an address through the site, and the pages could be managed by the Alumni Officer.
- The only slight complication with the above option concerns Grad Reps who are often reps for years other than their matriculation year. This could be highlighted on the general Year Rep list.
- Any additional emailing facilities will be costly, and as Year Reps can already be supplied with a list of email addresses for their year very easily (this can even be separated into those who are registered on the site), this will not be a priority development.
This also applies to the idea of a Chat Board, something that could be introduced in the future if it was felt to be necessary.

- A links page will be added to the Home Page and will include links to other College Societies and the University (including links to the cantab email address registration page).

Mrs Liz Pentlow
Calendar of Events and Important Dates in 2005

26 April  Full Term Commences
5 May    Alumni Dining Evening (see p 50)
14–18 June May Bumps (last day on the Saturday)
17 June  Full Term Ends
18 June  Benefactors Brunch (invitations will be sent to those
        who have recently made a donation to the College)
22 June  June Event
        (further details in the cream section)
30 June  General Admissions Lunch & Graduation
        (‘Degree Day’)
2 July   Annual Gathering and Trinity Hall Association AGM
17 September Reunion Dinner for 1987 & 1988
24 September Year Reps’ Conference
            THA Annual Dinner in Cambridge
23–25 September University Alumni Weekend
            (further details in the cream section)
4 October  Full Term Commences
November Milestone Lecture
2 December Full Term Ends
5–9 December Master to visit the East Coast of America
1 April 2006 (tbc) MA Congregation for 1999 matriculation year –
        letters will be sent out in December 2005

Please refer to THAlumni.net for further details.
Dining Rights

Trinity Hall dining rights restrictions have changed to include ALL alumni, and to allow you to bring a guest.

In June 2004, the Governing Body ratified the following amendment to the dining rights:

“MA dining rights should be altered to enable MAs to vire part of their rights to enable them to be accompanied by one guest on one or two occasions, and that these dining rights should be extended to all alumni who have matriculated, without requiring them to have obtained a degree”

This means that all Trinity Hall alumni (apart from those currently in statu pupillari and in residence) are entitled to dine at the High Table free of charge (except for wine which usually amounts to around £6) on any four Wednesdays, Thursdays or Sundays each year during Full Term, and may bring a guest in place of one or two of these four occasions.

Unless the Master, one of the Fellows or a resident Honorary or Emeritus Fellow is there to preside, there will not normally be High Table.

Anyone wishing to dine should give notice to the Butler (who will be able to say whether or not there is to be a High Table) not later than 10 am on the day in question (on Saturday if it is for dinner on Sunday). Tel: +44 (0)1223 766333.

Dining Rights Evenings

As it can be difficult to know in advance whether there will be a High Table on a particular night, and to find out who will be dining, we have set up one night per term when a High Table and good company can be guaranteed.

All alumni are welcome to exercise their dining rights on these set nights in the Hall, together with current students and Fellows. There may be a guest room available for the night, but accommodation will be limited as it is term time. Do come along, especially if you have never exercised your dining rights before, and take the opportunity to bring a guest as well.

The meal itself will be free, but you will be charged for wine if you wish to drink with dinner. The evenings begin over drinks in the SCR from 7pm, and gowns should be worn if you have one. Please contact the Alumni Officer on +44 (0) 1223 332567, or alumni@trinhall.cam.ac.uk if you wish to attend.
Section Three

Lectures & Research
Milestones Lecture, 20 November 2004

Dr Alison Liebling is a University Lecturer in Criminal Justice and Director of the Prisons Research Centre at Cambridge University’s Institute of Criminology. She was appointed as a Fellow of Trinity Hall in 1991. Alison read Politics at York, before doing a Masters’ degree in Criminology at the University of Hull. She came to Cambridge in 1987 to do her PhD on suicides and suicide attempts amongst young prisoners. She published her first book, Suicides in Prison, in 1992 and has just completed a book called Prisons and their Moral Performance. Her research includes a detailed evaluation of the relationship between prison quality and prison suicide.

Prison Life and Prison Effects: Opening the Black Box¹

Many thanks to the Master, the staff and Fellows for this invitation to give the Milestones Lecture today. The questions I have set myself, rather ambitiously, for this lecture, are: do changes in the emotional tone of penal policy have effects on prison life? Are prisons intended to punish or reform, and how effectively do they accomplish either goal? Why do suicides occur disproportionately in some prisons? Does the private sector ‘do it better’? What are the risks of private sector competition in the ‘provision of correctional services’? The underlying question is what is the role of the prison?

In the field of prisons research, as in all social science, there are some special problems and constraints: securing access, official preferences, and therefore preferential funding, for quantitative data (that is, figures), a complete lack of consensus about the purpose of the prison (which makes research questions trickier to formulate), and the specially complex, politically and organisationally sensitive, and sometimes volatile nature of the setting. Politicians, the public, and policy-makers have extraordinarily high expectations of the prison, and thanks to technology and managerialism, a reach into prisons from a distance that was unimaginable thirty years ago. The prison is used as a means to various ends, with high stakes when it fails to achieve these ends.

People in prison are undergoing punishment. They may feel especially powerless, or angry, or exposed. Prison staff have a demanding, high risk

¹ The subtitle of this paper is taken from an article by Harachi et al (1999): ‘Opening the Black Box: Using Process Evaluation Measures to Assess Implementation and Theory Building’.
occupation, which means they don’t want to have to worry about the safety of researchers, or the threats to safety and security that researchers may pose. Senior managers see certain types of research as potentially helpful – but they may know less than the research team come to know about the distance between their vision of how the prison works, and what is really going on. There are all the usual complexities of human engagement inherent in empirical social science research: the participants are agents, not subjects. They shape the interview; draw you in. A Colombian prisoner once took my pen from my hand, ‘I could kill you with this!’ he said, then added, ‘and they won’t let me have a needle to mend my shirt.’ He was expressing his frustration during the tightening up period I will describe later – both of us were instantly aware of the problematic nature of this turn of phrase in a maximum security prison setting. Like prison officers, you come to judge every action via the feeling in your skin. There are a million ethical dilemmas to face in this setting: the researcher becomes a knowledgeable agent, with reciprocal exchanges taking place all over the prison. I’ll give you time, and an opportunity to talk, if you tell me at a very deep level, how things go on here.

All this needs navigating if you want to enter the prison world and emerge from it with respectable data, and explanatory accounts that you can report.

The term ‘black box’ is used in criticisms of evaluation research, which often takes before-after measures – or outcomes – at face value, without investigating in any detail the processes, mechanisms, or contexts in which policy operates. This criticism is true of much of our knowledge of the prison. So at a general level, for example, we tend to judge the effectiveness or otherwise of the prison using reconviction rates following release as outcomes.

We have today 75,000 people in prison, 6 per cent of whom are women. We had a relatively lower population around 1992, at about 40,000. The population has almost doubled in 14 years. The number of women in prison has increased by 150%. We have the second highest imprisonment rate in ‘old’ Europe, next to Spain.

If we take the homicide rate as a reasonably reliable indicator of what’s happening to violent crime, you will see a slight incline – or you would if Harold Shipman hadn’t contributed 179 homicides to last year’s figures. There is an increase – and more important, there is a major public concern about safety – but figures from other countries confirm that there is no simple relationship between crime and punishment. The lower of the 2 lines on the following graph is the prison suicide rate: about 100 prisoners a year, on current figures, take their own lives. Some, like Harold
Shipman, are facing life sentences (about 20%). The rest are usually young, short term or remand prisoners and half are within one month of entering custody.

What happens on release? 55% of the adult male population released from prison in 1999 were reconvicted within two years (the standard follow up period). Rates were higher for the young (at 75%), and slightly, although decreasingly, lower for women. 36% of adult males were recommitted to prison within two years. Because of the ‘black box’ problem, we have little idea whether those who escaped reconviction just escaped, were effectively deterred, or effectively rehabilitated. Reconviction rates seem higher when the population grows, and lower when the population falls (this might be an artefact of length of stay). There are other reasons to believe that as we use the prison more, it becomes less effective. There is more to be said on these figures, and on changes in the composition of the prison population over time – but I want to take us inside prison. I want to say a brief word about the purpose of the prison, and the concept of effectiveness, before getting us to where I am most qualified to talk.

What do we mean by the term ‘effective’? It is obviously necessary to have some view about the purpose of the prison, if we are evaluating its effectiveness. The commonly accepted purposes are, on the one hand, to punish and deter, and on the other, to reform and rehabilitate. So we have
two hypotheses: prison is experienced as punishing and painful, deter-
rence in this case is individual (the offender suffers and therefore chooses
never to return). Deterrence can also be general (the offender’s fate is
noted by others, who are thereby confirmed in their law abiding behav-
iour). Or, prisons offer an opportunity for reflection and learning, and
resocialisation. These mechanisms may be complementary (so you can
increase them both together), or they may be in conflict. Penal strategies
have changed dramatically over time, so that regimes have been harsh,
therapeutic, demanding, and inactive, or all of these things together.

Some observers have noted that what happens in an institution has
very little impact on what happens after release: what shapes our behav-
iour is our current environment. There is little evidence for the effective-
ness of deterrence or reform at a general level, although certain programs,
and certain individuals, sometimes show positive effects. Sociologists
and others have suggested that to conceive of the prison in these instru-
mental or technical terms is to completely misunderstand the prison’s
true function, which is unrelated to its impact on the individual offender.
Prisons exist to communicate meaning, express public sentiment, shape
culture, and articulate moral boundaries. In other words, punishment,
whether in prison or out of it, has deeply social meanings and expressive
functions (Garland, 1990). As one critic put it, confined to its ‘instrumen-
tal crime-control pretensions’, the prison is ‘not comprehensible, not least
because, considered within these limited technical horizons, it does not
work’ (Morgan, 1991). It is possible that the prison is much more effec-
tive in these deeply social tasks than in any of its instrumental aims.

So there are tensions, ambiguities and uncertainties about what the
prison does and how it works or what it is for. Its deeper meaning (and I
will argue, its internal structure) may change quite dramatically over time
as we demand different things of it.

The first question I posed was whether changes in the emotional tone
of penal policy have effects on prison life. This one is relatively easy: the
answer is yes. If we return to 1990–1992, this was, in hindsight, a period
of liberal optimism: prison populations were declining, Lord Woolf had
written his 600 page analysis of the importance of justice in prison, follow-
ing the most serious prison disturbances this country has ever seen. The
Conservative Home Secretary Douglas Hurd (now, incidentally the

2 There seems to be marginally more support for the effectiveness of rehabilitation than for
deterrence. A German study has identified two types of offenders in prison: the hedonistic,
rational choice group, and the ‘irrational’ group, who suffer more from the pains of
imprisonment but return with greatest frequency.
Chairman of the Prison Reform Trust) had declared that prisons were ‘an expensive way of making bad people worse’. Prison officers understood that one way of improving order in prisons was to treat prisoners more carefully. This is a very long story, and I am telling it too briefly, but for all sorts of reasons, Woolf’s careful concept of justice was translated into the slippery concept of ‘care’. His report’s mantra was ‘security, control and justice’, but the White Paper to follow had the title, ‘custody care and justice’. Terrible consequences followed. All you need to know is that two sets of escapes from maximum security prisons in 1994 and 1995 were linked to officers under-enforcing certain security procedures in the interests of good relationships, under the explicit guidance of their managers, and influenced by an ideology that linked collective disorder to a sense of unfairness. The theory is right, but few practitioners understood how the concept of fairness operated or applied in prison. Fairness is not the same as care. The climate of the time can make a difference to, for example, whether prison officers allow prisoners to put up ‘modesty curtains’ over spy-holes in the door. When six prisoners escaped from Whitemoor’s Special Security Unit, an investigation found that one prisoner had accumulated 84 boxes of property, a small amount of semtex and a bicycle. If this was justice, Michael Howard declared, then the Prison Service should be wary of it. It wasn’t justice at all, it was laxity – but little attention was paid at the time to the meaning of words. The backlash that followed constituted one of the most dramatic transformations of the inner life of prisons ever witnessed. Some of you may remember the term ‘decent but austere’. Prisoners’ prison lives were completely redrawn. The reassertion of penal authority was made all the more dramatic because it coincided with a redrawing of the boundaries of tolerance towards offenders in general.

When what David Garland calls penal sensibilities changed dramatically during the mid 1990s (in other words, there was a marked hardening of the emotional tone of penal policy), dramatic changes also took place inside prison. There was a deepening of the prison experience – so that numbers increased, but prisoners also felt the weight or psychological burden of prison life more acutely. There were some specific policies that contributed to this transformation (the introduction of earned privileges, mandatory drug testing, the control of prisoners’ personal possessions, the use of CCTV, and so on), but my analysis of the period showed that a significant changes in the tone of prison life was brought about by changing ideas. The moral status of the offender transformed, and this led to changes in the moral, emotional, relational climate of prisons. Prison officers are members of the public, and their understanding of who
prisoners are and what they deserve is influenced by shifting public perceptions as well as by policy instructions, which hardly anyone ever reads. One of the explanations for the significance of ideas in shaping prison life is that policies and official reports live in a cupboard, and what takes place out there on the landing is a popular conception of what the policy or the report is all about.

From 1995 onwards, prisoners’ perceptions of relationships between staff and prisoners declined, suicide rates went up, and instances of staff violence against prisoners began to reappear. Changes in the popular meaning of imprisonment can also influence prisoners directly. Psychological experiments have shown that placing volunteers in isolation has different effects depending on whether or not the volunteers are told that the isolation experience is intended to be punishing. Prisoners understand the changes in their own moral status, and they recognise the precariousness of their claims to rights and freedoms.

Prison life is subject to oscillations – between security-oriented and punitive sentiments and justice or rehabilitative sentiments – all the more so since Ministers and managers have discovered the necessary tools, and the interest, to shape prison life. The Prisons Minister is perfectly able to consult performance and other data on individual prisons and discover for himself that, for example, although there have been 90 suicides in prison this year so far, none have occurred in contracted out or private prisons. He can see that Liverpool prison, despite winning a performance test (that is, competing for its life against the private sector), is still failing to unlock its prisoners for more than half an hour a day.

Despite this information revolution, not enough is known about what goes on in prison, how prisons operate, or what their effects might be. It is difficult to build theories about how specific penal strategies work (or why they don’t) without a deeper level understanding of what shapes prison life. It is impossible to be sure whether failures are due to program failures – it’s the wrong strategy – or implementation failure – it was never applied properly in practice. These criticisms are made in relation to research on schools, health care reform, and strategies for the prevention of delinquency in the community, as well as the operation of prisons.

Much of my own work has involved policy evaluation, but I have also worked on broader areas: for example, looking ethnographically at how prison officers go about their work. For this morning’s talk, I shall draw on two areas in particular. One is relatively general, and the other is narrower and of more obvious policy concern, but its design would not have been possible without the broader non policy-driven research behind it. The first is the question of prison quality: what is a good prison,
what is a bad prison? In the language of managerialism, what is a failing prison, and what is a high performing prison? How do we know? Does it matter? The second area is suicide prevention. Why do some prisons have more suicides than others, and to what extent does a recently launched new strategy help to prevent such deaths? I hope to be able to show that the findings from both of these studies have implications for the questions about prison effects that I started with earlier.

It is impossible to talk about prisons at the moment without some reference to private prisons, since we have 10, run by 4 different companies, and new ones are opening shortly, including one in Peterborough next February (run by UKDS). The first was Wolds, opened in 1992, so we have been opening a private prison roughly once a year since then. I was involved in the evaluation of Wolds during its early years – that is a story I don’t have time to tell you today, but let’s just say there have been no other evaluations since, despite the words ‘as an experiment’ in the legislation. I will come back to privatisation later, however. You may know, but perhaps you won’t, that earlier this year the Prison Service was completely reconceived: we now have a National Offender Management Service (NOMS), consisting of the public sector prison service, contracted out prisons, and the national probation service. Increased emphasis is being placed on ‘contestability’ – that is competition, value for money, and innovation. Questions of the quality of provision, and outcomes, have become a commercial as well as managerial and intellectual question.

So what does a good prison look like? There is a healthy scepticism about the increasing quantities of performance data available on individual prisons, just as there is in hospitals, universities and other public services. It is commonly accepted that official performance data is partial, limited, and often misleading. One of the first key performance indicators used to judge the performance of a prison was ‘hours per week spent in purposeful activity’. Prison officers could unlock prisoners, escort them to the workshops where there were no staff and little work, show them a video and claim those hours as purposeful activity because they met the relevant criteria. They have become sharper, and more focused on outcomes. They include escapes, assaults, suicide, drug testing, staff composition and sickness levels, offending behaviour courses, basic skills and having a job or education placement on release. The new sociologi-
cally significant activities of audit and performance measurement certainly lead to some fabrication, innovation and distortion. They constitute a powerful management tool, and they are certainly shaping prison life, but they do not tell a good enough story about the quality of a prison. As one prison governor put it, ‘they tell you how far you have moved through the jungle, but not whether you are heading further into or out of the jungle’.

I became interested in the question of how to evaluate prison quality for several reasons, some of which were related to developments we were making in previous research. But a particular event set it all off. Some time in 1999, I was sitting at my desk, amidst the usual chaos, when a phone call from the Director General’s office came through. An Area Manager from London North said that the Prison Service had a problem. The Chief Inspector of Prisons, then Sir David Rambsotham, had just left Wandsworth prison in North London with his Inspection team, declaring it to have, in his words, ‘a culture of brutality’. These words were going to be highly prominent in the preface of his report. The Director General, then Martin Narey, told him he was wrong. A struggle ensued. The dispute was unresolvable because, in Narey’s view, the Inspectorate used loosely defined methods, and he seemed to think the Chief Inspector ‘had an issue’ about London prisons. He had gone in knowing what he thought. The request to me was apparently straight-forward: the DG would like you and a team to go into Wandsworth, conduct a methodologically robust survey of prisoners, and tell him who’s right. I said I’d have to think about it – this could be research career suicide. In the end, I could not resist: here was a really interesting and clear question, with major significance to those running prisons, and we were offered whatever resources we needed to help. We were given 10 days. I gathered together a small team: a spare Governor under training, a few stray PhD students, and as it happens, Charles Elliott, who was then Dean of our College and had said something a few days before over dinner about wanting to visit another prison.

We happened to have a suitable survey questionnaire up our sleeves, as we had just finished a project evaluating the impact of a new policy of incentives and earned privileges in five prisons intended to improve prisoner behaviour. We had analysed the data, and had come to rely on seven key dimensions of prison life, represented in the questionnaire by a number of different questions. So ‘relations with staff’ included 7 or 8 questions that tapped different aspects of the quality of staff-prisoner relationships, and which held together in a reliable way. Never mind the fact that the Prison Service had responded pretty negatively to this
research report, which we had handed in a year earlier: as they put it, ‘the arrows are all pointing in the wrong direction’. Prisoner behaviour did not improve (except in one prison where internal fences were introduced), and in one prison it got worse. We had anticipated that there would be a relationship between the perceived fairness of prison life and compliance, as any good procedural justice theorist would tell you, and there was: the policy was seen as a great idea in principle but impossible to implement fairly and so, very briefly put, it backfired. What matters is that we had just created these composite measures of relevant areas of prison life: relations with staff, fairness, safety, and others – so we used only those questions that made up these composite variables, selected 100 prisoners randomly from Wandsworth’s population, interviewed them ‘appreciatively’ – that is, we asked them to ‘tell us about a time when you have been treated with respect here’, and so on, and invited them to fill in our tick-box questionnaire.

What we found is that prisoners generally did not feel safe from staff, they did not feel respected; they were not involved in constructive activities in the prison and they did not feel that they were being assisted to maintain contact with their families. One of the advantages of the method was that we could identify where the small pockets of respect were in Wandsworth, and how it operated. There was a very strong culture of intimidation in the establishment, and an amazing unwillingness by senior managers to acknowledge these difficulties. Staff-prisoner relationships were poor and there was an unhealthy preoccupation with ‘discipline’. Staff were suffering from high levels of sick absence, low morale and ‘a lack of direction’. Our report was treated with caution, it was handled in slightly mischievous ways, the Governor of the prison was removed and our results were not published, despite a real attempt at diplomatic presentation. We were however invited to engage in discussions about the quality of life in the establishment and some of the reasons for its distressing culture. We developed the study into a much broader project about measuring the quality of prison life, and those responsible for the management of the prison involved us in their decision-making about its future and in discussions about similar problems in other establishments. When the Home Office invented a new type of research grant later that year: an Innovative Research Challenge Award, for methodological innovation, we put in a bid to develop this work further, and we were successful.

We spent a year, then, in five prisons, conducting exercises aimed at discovering and articulating what the most important dimensions of prison life were and how you might measure them, using a mixture of
appreciative interviewing, workshop exercises, story-telling, remembered historical accounts of each prison’s development, and what I have come to think of as ‘strong evaluation’: the qualitative or chatty stage of the research was used to identify and then clarify the meaning of the dimensions, as they applied in prison. Respect always emerged first. Here are two prisoners talking about respect:

*Respect, right? It’s something about what I was saying with that cup of tea. An officer got me a cup of water at lock up so I could make myself one. Someone wanted to recognise that I’m a person. Do you know what I mean?* (Prisoner)

*When I first came in, I had no pillow. I approached two officers – they were chatting, so I waited. Eventually, one of them asked me what I wanted. He said, ‘You’re not entitled to a pillow’ and carried on chatting. They were not concerned about me. That seems minor, but it’s crucial. It can turn you into a different person* (Prisoner)

These were the dimensions that emerged:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Relationships</th>
<th>Regime</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Respect</td>
<td>• Fairness</td>
<td>• Meaning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Humanity</td>
<td>• Order</td>
<td>• Quality of life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Relationships</td>
<td>• Safety</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Trust</td>
<td>• Well-being</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Support</td>
<td>• Personal Development</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Structure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Power/authority</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Social relations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There was a consensus, between prisons, and also between prisoners and staff. Scholars often characterise relationships between staff and prisoners as oppositional: this is far too simplistic. Everything is possible, from the formation of romantic ties, or escalating involvement in the supply of drugs and now mobile phones, to bitter dislike. We converted all this thinking about what matters in prison – with considerable help from staff and prisoners – into a much improved and well-grounded ‘tick-box questionnaire’. The Prison Service’s Statement of Purpose says something about treating prisoners with humanity – we have tried to work out what
that means and then measure perceptions of how far prisoners feel treated according to those criteria. We have developed a similar questionnaire for staff, and I shall say a very brief word about that later.

The five prisons all had (more or less) the same function. One was privately managed. What we found was that there were significant differences in these areas of prison life and that there was quite a gap between the claims being made for the prison, and what prisoners were experiencing. To give you two examples:

**Personal development**

This was a term chosen by prisoners to reflect future-oriented work or activities that made sense of the time they spent in custody and were felt to help them prepare for their release. Prisoners often said that this concept was part of what it was to be treated humanely, and the two dimensions were closely correlated. Opposite are some answers to some of the items in this dimension.

One prison where we compared scores on relevant audit modules with prisoners’ evaluations showed that it was possible for a prison to get the highest score available for the module ‘sentence planning’ but for prisoners to feel their sentences were not planned and they were not being
helped in preparing for their release. The audit focused on ‘process’, that is, on the production of a convincing sentence plan, not its application to the prisoner’s sentence.

**How punishing and painful is prison?**

These items are from two different dimensions: one on power distribution and one on well-being. It is interesting to note that the feeling of being punished varies significantly between prisons. The experience of the painfulness of prison also varies significantly: with 16 per cent of prisoners at one prison agreeing that ‘my experience in this prison is painful’ compared to 51 per cent at another prison. Theories of proportionality in sentencing looks a bit dubious in this light: we calibrate punishment in time, but prisoners experience it in other ways, including depth (that is, security level) and weight (that is, psychological burden).

There were interesting relationships between the dimensions, so that we could see in the figures what explains differences in painfulness, we can see empirically what we already knew theoretically: that respect was central to fairness, and fairness improved order. Two of the prisons in this study went on to have, in one case, two escapes, and in another, a disturbance on one wing. We were able to link these events to levels of trust between staff and prisoners being too high in the private prison, and to levels of respect being too low, particularly on one wing, in the otherwise well-rated public sector prison. Theorists of compliance would say that prisoners’ compliance with the regime at this prison (Holme House) was instrumental rather than normative. When material provision declined
as the population grew, prisoners withdrew their consent. This did not happen in other prisons with a different style of authority used by prison officers.

Much of what I have said so far – the historical analysis of changing penal policies and the evolution and significance of the concept of moral performance – is represented in my book, published this year. What I want to do now is move briefly, and finally, to work beyond the book, which builds on these foundations.

Using MQPL in an evaluation of suicide prevention

As well as escapes and disturbances, the other major problem prisons face is the increasing problem of suicides. You may know that suicides in the community occur disproportionately: so that the fastest increase is amongst young men, particularly young men from low socio-economic groups. The steadiest decrease is among women aged 45–65 apparently, so the ratio between the sexes is widening, with men 3 times more likely to take their own lives than women. In prison, this is not the case – the rates for men and women are the same, the rate for women is increasing. The prison population is more or less a careful selection of the most at risk populations in the community.

Half of all prison suicides take place within one month of entering prison. Over the last three years, we have been evaluating the Prison Service’s new suicide prevention strategy, which is focused on local prisons with high suicide rates. There are 12 prisons in the study: we were funded to include ten. All ten had high suicide rates and were struggling prisons. Two held women and two held young offenders. The remainder held adult males. Half of them were given sums of around £3million each, with which they built new reception and induction facilities, health care centres, detox units, brought in mental health in-reach staff, and so on. The other 5 were not – although they understandably have not behaved as comparators. They have been trying to reduce suicides too, so some found money from the health service or elsewhere to make their own improvements. We included two additional prisons: two local prisons with unexpectedly low suicide rates but high risk populations, in order to disentangle better what was going on. One of these is Swansea prison, the other is Forest Bank, a private prison in Manchester. We have essentially compared each prison with itself over time, with a process evaluation study conducted in-between, so we know the prisons pretty well, and we have conducted interviews with staff and prisoners.

The findings look like this. I am going to show you six things.
Frequency of ‘imported vulnerability’ per prison, 2002

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vulnerability indicators</th>
<th>Percentage in each</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>attempted suicide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winchester</td>
<td>25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lewes</td>
<td>25.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastwood P</td>
<td>38.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Styal</td>
<td>36.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leeds</td>
<td>12.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liverpool</td>
<td>11.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wandsworth</td>
<td>18.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manchester</td>
<td>13.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feltham</td>
<td>12.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glen Parva</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swansea</td>
<td>17.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forest Bank</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

First: we made some attempt to measure imported vulnerability in each prison: that is, how much of the suicide risk in an establishment is accounted for by variations in the nature of the prison populations? The above table shows that imported suicide risk varies significantly between prisons of similar types, and our figures for 2004 suggest that these very high levels of imported vulnerability seem to be increasing.

Secondly, we have extended our quality of life or moral performance survey to include careful measures of prisoner distress, amongst other things – one of these measures is a short version of the General Health Questionnaire. We found a statistically significant relationship between mean levels of distress among prisoners in each prison, and moving average suicide rates for the relevant period. This is important, as it means instead of relying on changes in relatively small numbers of suicides, we are looking for more numerous reductions in overall prisoner distress in evaluating the policy. I want you to remember Eastwood Park here, which began as more or less the worst prison, but has accomplished a major transformation.

Thirdly, we can model distress: differences in feelings of safety account for a very significant proportion of the variation in levels of distress. Relational variables, and family contact matter too. Pre-existing vulnerability adds a little: it seems to be the case that people who have attempted suicide before are especially sensitive to fluctuations in safety, the quality of relationships, and so on. Interestingly, participation in offending
behaviour programmes is also significantly associated with decreased levels of distress, as measured by the General Health Questionnaire. This is a controversial finding apparently, I haven’t quite worked out why yet, but it might be something to do with single goals (like reducing reoffending) being more powerful in negotiations with the Treasury over funding than messy realities like, well it might help with reoffending and it might help with suicide.

Since safety is so important, let us look at what explains variations in safety: just as outside when we think about fear of crime, feelings of safety are not just about actual risks, but are better explained by concepts like trust in the environment. Fluctuations in the control of the drug supply makes a difference – so Forest Bank was a less safe prison in 2004 than it was in 2002, largely as a result of an influx of drugs and loss of control over supply.4

### Traditional Culture

#### 2002, 2004

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Traditional Culture</th>
<th>Very ‘Pro’ All Staff</th>
<th>Very ‘Pro’ Uniformed Staff</th>
<th>Very ‘Anti’ All Staff</th>
<th>Very ‘Anti’ Uniformed Staff</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Winchester</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>31.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>27.7</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>21.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lewes</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>27.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>24.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastwood P</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>13.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Styal</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>17.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>22.3</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leeds</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>33.5</td>
<td>47.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>25.8</td>
<td>39.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liverpool</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>37.7</td>
<td>52.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>49.6</td>
<td>57.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wandsworth</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>41.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>24.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manchester</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>51.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>15.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feltham</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glen Parva</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>27.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>29.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swansea</td>
<td>30.8</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>13.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>14.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forest Bank</td>
<td>32.6</td>
<td>27.9</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>21.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4 An officer went to the press having resigned.
The staff data shows us that dimensions like communication within and between staff groups, a sort of job satisfaction measure, an appropriate distribution of roles and responsibilities, and staff-senior management relationships all seem to matter a great deal. Our most interesting finding in the staff data is that very different results on a post-hoc measure of ‘staff culture’ explains much of the variance in levels of prisoner distress as well as the variance in speed and success of implementation.

If we just take Liverpool prison, we can see that there are small numbers of enthusiastic ‘pro’ staff in the establishment – although fewer of them in 2004 than 2002 – very few amongst the uniformed staff, but there are rather large numbers of staff who are anti-prisoners and anti-management. Wandsworth has not managed to increase its positive staff (0) but has, via an intense recruitment and training programme, managed to reduce the proportion of resistant staff by half. We found that answers to a single item in the staff questionnaire: ‘prisoners who attempt suicide are usually attention-seeking and trying to be manipulative’ was significantly related to levels of distress, and to suicide rates.

One of the 12 prisons in our study improved significantly by the end of the evaluation. This was the only prison to have finished implementing, and it also underwent a ‘performance test’ during the evaluation period. It had several suicides and extremely high levels of distress in 2002, and still has high levels of distress but hasn’t had a suicide for more than a year. Distress has reduced among the moderately distressed, but there is still a core of women at the very extreme end. The strategy can work in two ways: reducing distress at a general level and managing it better at an individual level.

This research is at a draft report stage and we are hoping to tidy it up and write the summary – I thought by Christmas, but I found out this week that a Ministerial Roundtable on Suicide meets in early December and would like it all by the 6th.

Finally, a word about privatisation. We have a paradox here. There have been no suicides in private (contracted out) prisons this year. The Prison Service currently uses a short version of our prisoner survey as part of their routine standards audit process. So there is an accumulation of data which they analyse and report back to establishments, but they also send it to us. We do various analyses, one of which is an investigation of public-private sector differences. It is the case that prisoner well-being is significantly higher in the two private prisons we have been able to match with other prisons than either good or poor public sector prisons. This is related to every aspect of the prisons’ quality of life, including feelings of safety, and to major cultural differences between
the two sectors. This is interesting but unfortunate for those of us who are nervous about the use of the private sector in this area of public policy.

The paradox looks like this: we have a public sector committed to certain values and ideals, unable to make prisons work in morally acceptable ways, and a private sector pursuing instrumental goals able to exert a form of moral/managerial control over their workforce. The moral performance of private sector custody officers is secured by, and therefore dependent on, the contract. There is a lot to be learned here, including about what happens when the contract doesn’t work or someone takes their eye off the ball: when things go wrong in the private sector, they go wrong much more spectacularly than in the public sector. We should be building up a ‘disinterested’ knowledge base in this important area of criminal justice practice. There may be greater interior legitimacy in some private prisons, but there are problems of exterior legitimacy to consider, as well as the relationship between the two.

Conclusions

I have four concluding comments to make. First, there is no question, in my mind, that we over use the prison. We expect miracles of it, and we see it as a simple solution to a deeply complex set of social problems. This is a mistake. Secondly, all of our best theories of suicide, are very similar to our best theories of crime, and it is of interest to us as criminologists that being a persistent offender is not psychologically healthy. Lacking self-control, not taking the others’ perspective, lacking affective and social capital, pursuing short-term need gratification, leaves people hugely psychologically vulnerable. There is scope for engaging offenders morally when we punish, but we should be clear about what kind of pain we intend to inflict. The pain prisoners express in the worst of our prisons is not often the pain of remorse or regret – it is more likely to be experienced as suffering, defiance and self-pity. Perhaps punishment should be about holding offenders accountable rather than inducing suffering. Thirdly, we have launched into a penal experiment with very little understanding of its interior effects or of its broader exterior implications. Prisons are complex institutions whose real effects are a long way from popular assumptions. Fourthly, offenders are disproportionately likely to be victims of crime, they are disproportionately likely to take their own lives, whether in or out of prison, and few of them fit the instrumental rational choice model of offending behaviour we now favour. They are very often afraid of their cell mates, who might be ‘real criminals’.
are of course some violent and persistent offenders in prison, but not 30,000 more than there were 12 years ago.

Finally, some things work better than others at reducing offending, and some prisons are better than others. One recent study has found that if you can keep an offender to the conditions of a drug treatment and testing order, those who make it to the end of the disposal, do quite well. The problem is, only 30 per cent make it to the end. Martin Narey is now turning his managerialist aspirations from the prison to the probation service. We have another criminal justice revolution on our hands.

(With the assistance of Sarah Tait, Annick Stiles, Linda Durie, Joel Harvey, Clare Barnish, and Ann Phillips.)

---

**Eden Oration, 4 December 2004**

Often referred to as the father of the College rather than its Master (1626 until his death in 1645), Dr Thomas Eden’s benefactions to the College have ensured that his name is not forgotten. In addition to land, he bequeathed a sum of money to endow a commemoration service in December each year, when one of the Fellows in turn would deliver from memory a Latin oration, lasting a full hour, in praise of benefactors and of the imperial and ecclesiastical laws of England. The tradition has been maintained throughout the years, but more recently it was agreed that the oration could be shorter, on a subject of the speaker’s choice, and spoken in English. It seems only appropriate that the efforts of the speaker should be shared with the wider Hall community, so now it is a tradition that the Eden Oration will be published in the Newsletter.

*Dr Simon Guest* is a Senior Lecturer in the Structures Group of the Department of Engineering, and a Staff Fellow in Engineering at Trinity Hall. His research interests concern structures that can deform and change their shape, and range from explaining the expansion of virus structures during the infection of a cell to the design of deployable structures that unfold from a spacecraft following launch.

The original requirements of Dr Eden’s foundation were that the Orator should prepare and recite from memory, for the entire space of one hour,
an oration in Latin in grateful commemoration of the Founder, other Benefactors, and in commendation of the Canon and Civil Law. I am very grateful that in 1968 the Governing Body decided that thenceforward the Oration should be in English, should last for up to twenty minutes, and the Orator should be given a much wider latitude in the choice of subject. I propose to give a Structural Engineer’s view of some of the structures that make up the physical embodiment of Trinity Hall – this feels particularly appropriate for a college that still takes its commonly used name from its physical fabric, and not from the Collegium, the corporate body of Master, Fellows and Scholars.

In many ways this oration would be much easier to give in the magnificence of King’s College Chapel, surrounded by interesting examples of Gothic structure, or if afterwards we were to dine beneath the fine sixteenth century hammer-beam roofs of St John’s or Trinity. However, not having such grand structures is part of the character of Trinity Hall, and I cannot imagine the present occasion without the intimacy that this Chapel brings. Nevertheless, I do propose to spend a little time talking about King’s College Chapel, and I do at least have the justification of one Trinity Hall link – according to Willis and Clark’s *Architectural History of the University of Cambridge*, it appears that Thomas Larke, our Master from 1520 to 1525, had previously been the surveyor of the King’s works in Cambridge during the later phases of the construction of the Chapel.

King’s College Chapel is a fabulous expression of Gothic architecture – and I believe it is impossible to have a good understanding of Gothic architecture without understanding its structural function. It is an architecture whose basic form is driven by its structural imperative – a form of building found by experiment, and trial and error, that allows stones to be piled on top of one another in such a way that vast windows can be built that allow light to flood into the building.

Trinity Hall’s finest example of medieval construction is on an altogether more domestic scale. On your next trip through North Court, stop for a while to examine the building on the south side of the court, the rear of the building that is on your right as you enter Front Court from the street. This range of buildings was old when King’s was constructed; it was certainly built before 1374, and some or all of it may well predate the founding of the College. The wall is mainly constructed of clunch, a very poor limestone that is the only building material that can be quarried close to Cambridge. However, the frailty of the material has not in the main proved to be a problem for its structural function. Since it was built, the wall has happily survived being pierced many times by the assorted styles of windows that are now visible, and indeed the wall now also
supports concrete first and second floors that were inserted in 1929. The poor quality of the clunch did, however, prove to be a problem externally at ground level. This wall was originally the Northern boundary of the college, onto Henney lane, and the passing of people, goods and animals between the town and the river badly wore away the clunch. When the College managed to move its boundary further north in 1545, and moved the right of way to the present Garret Hostel Lane, the damage to the wall was patched with bricks, which therefore predate the much more famous bricks of our Old Library.

The explicit structure of Gothic architecture disappeared with the Renaissance, and the coming of professional architects. It would be a while before these architects would be happy to show off their structural underwear in public. In Trinity Hall this change in style is most visible in the elegantly ashlared walls of Front Court, and between 1745 and 1891 it could also have been seen in the fine classical proportions of the Hall. While the original Hall, almost contemporary with the founding of the College, would have had exposed timbers supporting the roof, the Hall rebuilt in 1745, following the bequest of Nathaniel Lloyd, had a flat plastered ceiling hiding the roof structure. However, when the Hall was extended to the South in 1891, the roof was rebuilt as a ‘Tudor’ hammerbeam roof – a construction that was called “unfortunate and incomprehensible” by Pevsner. However, whatever our architectural views, it is clear that for the late Victorians, exposed structure, at least of the ‘correct’ Gothic style, was again fashionable.

By the 1960’s buildings with an explicit structure were certainly popular, and Trinity Hall has two fine examples from this period. I consider Arup Associates’ Boulton House to be a true piece of modern-day Gothic – its external concrete H-frames carrying the weight of the building, and allowing light to flood through the windows. Boulton House may not be the most visually popular Trinity Hall building; it was described to me by a former resident, here today, as ‘better from the inside’, but surely this is evidence of the success of its structural form. Trevor Dannant’s Senior Combination Room is the second 1960’s building with a clearly expressed structure, and again here the finely crafted concrete beams and columns show explicitly how the structure of the building carries its load.

My final example, that shows that exposed structure remains fashionable into the twenty-first century, can be seen in Freeland Rees Roberts’ refurbishment of the ground floor of the Old Library. I was delighted when this not only left exposed the ancient wooden beams supporting the first floor, but also the 1930’s steel that in turn supports these timbers.
It is customary that the Eden Oration should give a brief account of the changes in the Fellowship in the previous twelve months. This year we have seen many changes. Professor Clarke retired as Master, Mr Howes and Mr Pegler retired from the Fellowship, and Dr Bucher, Dr Cooper, Dr Harkins, Dr Kozah and Dr Slotboom moved on to fresh pastures. While we are sad to see them go, we are delighted to welcome their replacements: Professor Daunton has joined us as Master, and, Dr Ellwood, Mr ffolkes Davis, Dr Iannantuoni, Dr Jarrett, Mr Lang, Dr Richardson, Dr Runciman and Dr Tokieda have joined as Fellows. Dr Pullan has moved to a Fellowship from being a Fellow-Commoner, while Dr Williams has retired as a Fellow, but remains amongst us as a Fellow-Commoner. Justice Thomas has been elected as an Honorary Fellow, and Professor Prober was with us for only one term as a Visiting Fellow.

Finally, I would like to return to my theme. We should remember, particularly on this evening of the Eden Commemoration, that the building and upkeep of the material fabric of the College has depended crucially on the many benefactors of the College, from our founder onward. Indeed, I wish to mention, as the College is about to embark on perhaps the biggest building programme in its history, the generosity of an anonymous donor who has provided a benefaction of one million pounds towards the new buildings at Wychfield. I will conclude my oration in the hope that, as we enjoy Dr Eden’s ‘wine and diet’, we will all give thanks for the many benefactors, known and unknown, who have helped to provide the wonderful physical environment in which we are fortunate enough to find ourselves.

---

Research in College

Dr Juliet Fleming is a Lecturer in the Faculty of English at Cambridge and Staff Fellow at Trinity Hall, where she currently directs studies for Part II. She graduated from Trinity Hall with a BA in English in 1982; took her PhD at the University of Pennsylvania in Philadelphia; was a Junior Fellow at Harvard University’s Society of Fellows, and taught at the University of Southern California, Los Angeles, before returning to Cambridge in 1993.

In the Faculty of English my teaching straddles the two fields of English Renaissance literature and literary theory, while my research is an expression of this combination, and of the new areas for research it has opened.
My first book, *Graffiti and the Writing Arts of Early Modern England* (Reaktion Press) was published in 2001. I am currently working to complete a second, which takes up some issues left unfinished, or unsatisfactorily formulated, in the first. Both books draw from Derrida’s work on writing, as well as from the bibliographical study of Renaissance texts, to address the question ‘what is writing?’

*Graffiti and the Writing Arts* demonstrated that drawing and writing on walls was widely practised in Elizabethan and Jacobean England, and that it was sanctioned there in ways that have since been forgotten. In 1585 Samuel Daniel noted that we all ‘naturally take delight in pictures, and even little children, as soon as they can use their hands at liberty, go with a coal to the wall, endeavouring to draw the form of this thing or that’. Ten years earlier, John Hart had felt that the way to maximize the benefit of his new reading method was to inscribe its alphabet on as many walls as possible: ‘if the figures with their letters were drawn on the walls, pillars, and posts of churches, towns, and houses, they might much help and further the ignorant’ to read. But it was not only children and the educationally disadvantaged who availed themselves of the writing spaces provided by whitewashed walls. For early modern England was paper-short: as a result, everyone unconcerned with the extensive circulation and long survival of their *bons mots* wrote, with charcoal, chalk, diamond ‘writing rings’ and various marking stones, on walls, windows, ceilings, and pieces of furniture.

This argument has consequence for literacy statistics of the period (more people may have written than wrote with pen and ink); more importantly, it brings to attention a writing economy within which writing and drawing were not fully distinguishable, the page was no longer an important boundary, and the book was no longer the only ‘machine to think with’. *Graffiti and the Writing Arts* explored these issues through the topics of graffiti writing, tattooing, and writing on rings and crockery. As a student of Renaissance culture I try to remain as open as possible to what we do not know about the operating assumptions of that period – that is, to things that are often invisible from the perspective of our own knowledge, and to practices that, long-vanished in themselves, remain unsuspected by us because they differ so much from our own. *Graffiti and the Writing Arts* demonstrated the existence in the early modern period of a series of writing practices, and a number of writing practitioners, that had been largely forgotten within the annals of literary criticism. Its larger point was that the period had a way of understanding the relation of writing to the mind, and to the world outside it, that was not that of representation or reference, but was the product of a way of thinking that held
the natural and fabricated world to be structured by a non-propositional intelligence that was shared with the human mind.

A friend of mine, Peter Stallybrass, is currently publishing two articles describing his discovery, in the Folger Shakespeare Library in Washington DC, of three sets of early seventeenth-century ‘writing tables,’ that is, small, portable memoranda books similar to those Hamlet seems to use in Act I Scene 5: ‘My Tables, my Tables; meet it is I set it down’. There is a copy of this book in the Rare Books Room of Cambridge University Library: it comprises a twenty-four year almanac bound with some blank pages that have been shellacked, with something like varnish over plaster, to provide a surface from which ink and graphite are easily erased with water. Stallybrass is the first to point out that librarians and curators have long known of and commented on the existence of these books, and he concludes that literary critics should work more closely with such archivists, and be more attentive to the material evidence provided by books themselves. I agree whole-heartedly with this position: literary critics certainly profit from conversations with, as well as the books and articles of, numerous archivists and conservators, to whose disciplines, after all, we owe the survival of the archives themselves. Nevertheless, literary criticism is not library science, and library science cannot tell us why it matters that Shakespeare has his character make an erasable note to himself at a certain point in the play. That is, to find evidence for the historically specific form that such note-taking assumes in the early seventeenth century provides an opening for, but does not comprise, a consequential piece of literary criticism. To do that, one has to link the archival or historical fact to the period’s ways of producing meaning.

My second project is thus designed to address what I see as a theoretical shortfall in the ‘new materiality’ in Renaissance studies. Although my own name is increasingly associated with it, ‘new materiality’ seems to me to be in some danger of revealing itself to be nothing more than an old bibliographical study of a slightly expanded corpus of texts. I have always used theoretical questions to keep in play some of the uncertainties that more practical bibliographical work supresses; and the most resonant of these questions, one powerful enough to throw all our disciplines in doubt, is ‘what is writing?’ Tentatively called ‘Essays in Cultural Graphology 1550–1625’, this new project begins from a prospectus Derrida outlined in 1967, where he called for a new discipline in the human sciences to investigate the substances, instruments, and technologies of writing’s graphic forms, as well as the social, philosophical, and ethnographic contexts within which writing is produced and recognised as such. Derrida never pursued the project: my aim is to develop
the theory and protocols of ‘cultural graphology,’ and to demonstrate its potential and consequence for the study of literature, using as my test cases examples drawn from the English Renaissance.

Derrida listed three areas in which the work of cultural graphology could begin: a ‘psychopathology’ of writing broader and more attentive to slips of the pen than Freud himself had been; a history of writing that accorded full attention to non-alphabetic writing systems; and a literary criticism that undertook the analysis of literary signifiers rather than of ‘non-literary signified meanings’. My project engages topics in each of these three fields, in the specific location of early modern England: a first essay concerns printed errors (which Freud said may be regarded as ‘“writing mistakes” on the compositor’s part’); a second essay considers ‘printers’ lace’ as an example of a non-alphabetic, indeed non-semantic, ‘writing system’; while a third essay explores the relation of Renaissance literature to writing in its broadest sense. I hope these essays will demonstrate the rich consequence of combining such topics under the aegis of ‘cultural graphology’, and that together they may refine and expand our sense of the problems, possibilities, and rewards of the ‘new materialism’ that is currently dominating literary studies.

**David Runciman** is a Lecturer in Political Theory in the Faculty of Social and Political Sciences, and Staff Fellow in Politics at Trinity Hall. He took his PhD in History at Cambridge, and was a Research Fellow in the History of Ideas at Trinity College. He has also worked as a journalist, and currently writes about politics for the London Review of Books.

I started out as a historian, specialising in the history of ideas, but since becoming a lecturer in the Politics Department my research interests have moved towards contemporary politics. My main interest is in the idea of the state, and in tracing connections between the historical development of this idea and some current problems in thinking about the role of the state in domestic and international politics. I am working on three separate projects – at various stages of completion – that look at the history of the idea of the state and ask whether that idea is currently undergoing some fundamental changes.

My main research project is a book I am writing for Cambridge University Press about the concept of representation in modern politics, to be called *The Paradoxes of Political Representation*. The central purpose of this book is to challenge the widespread assumption among political theorists, politicians and others that democracy is the organising idea of
modern politics, and representation merely a qualification (hence the phrase ‘representative democracy’). The history of political thought suggests an alternative view: that the modern state was founded on the principles of representative government, which have subsequently been qualified by democratic ideas. The tendency to focus on democracy has meant that many political theorists have become preoccupied with a narrow range of often highly technical problems, which are sometimes called the paradoxes of democracy (these include the paradox of majority voting, which shows that majority decision-making is arbitrary in many circumstances). I argue that there is a more interesting and fruitful range of paradoxes to be explored if representation is placed at the centre of our understanding of politics. I concentrate on four paradoxes in particular: the paradox of presence (things need to be present in order to be represented, but they also need to be absent in order to be re-presented); the paradox of authority (groups need representatives in order to be able to take decisions, but they need to be able to take decisions in order to authorise their representatives); the paradox of responsibility (representatives both are and are not responsible for the actions that they undertake on behalf of those they represent); and the paradox of democratic representation (representative institutions were designed to be anti-democratic but have now become the vehicles of democracy). In my book I explore the historical roots of these different paradoxes, and examine the ways in which they might be resolved.

English-speaking political theorists have been particularly suspicious of paying too much attention to the idea of representation, because of a sense that it is a much more indeterminate concept than the idea of democracy. Many writers currently working in the sceptical, rational, analytical tradition of Anglo-American political thought believe that prioritising representation – which has literary, aesthetic, juristic and dramatic as well as political connotations – is a dangerously post-modern, or ‘continental’ way of proceeding. One of the things I want to argue in my book is that the paradoxes of representation are in fact classic problems of modern political thought, and I identify them with a series of writers in the sceptical, rational, analytical tradition, including one of the founding fathers of that tradition, the great seventeenth-century philosopher Thomas Hobbes. Democracy is in many ways a much more indeterminate idea than representation, because of the many internal contradictions that bedevil it. The best way to make sense of these contradictions is through the history of political thought, which shows how the idea of representation has enabled us to bypass some of the paradoxes of democracy.
The second project I am working on is a study of the relationship between state power and state debt. Again, my interest in this question stems from the history of political thought, and the ways in which state debt has been central to the development of the idea of the modern state. In the contemporary world, debt is often seen as a problem of weak states or ‘failed’ states. But in fact debt is integral to the functioning of all states, and is as often an instrument of state power as it is a limitation on that power. I have just co-authored an article with my colleague Helen Thompson in the Department of Politics, on the problem of how state debt impacts on the idea of state sovereignty. The puzzle here is that states need to be able to raise and service large loans to finance the political (and above all, the military) projects on which their sovereignty depends, but in doing so they hand a portion of control over their affairs to their creditors. How to square this circle is a problem that has troubled political theorists for hundreds of years, but it is also an acute problem for many current politicians, economists, bankers and lawyers. We look at the different ways in which debt can constrain states, but also at the ways in which creditors can be constrained in their attempts to recover what they are owed. We concentrate on a series of important recent legal and political confrontations between various Latin American states and their creditors, which suggest that although state sovereignty can be threatened by state debt, claims that states are no longer the dominant political actors in a world of globalised finance have been exaggerated.

Our long-term aim is to expand on this project, first with a conference, and then with a book, which would explore the wider historical and political implications of state debt for our understanding of how states operate. There has been little interdisciplinary work done on state debt, because it is a subject that tends to get treated either in a very technical way (by economists and lawyers) or in a rather moralistic way (by politicians and journalists). The conference and the book would be designed to compare how different kinds of states have coped with their debts, and to ask under what circumstances can states be obliged to pay their debts, and by whom – private creditors, banks, law courts, international financial institutions, the bond markets, other states? The hope is that these are questions that would be of interest to historians, political theorists and international relations theorists, as well as to economists and lawyers.

Finally, I have just finished the introduction and conclusion to a collection of essays I am hoping to publish later this year with Princeton University Press, under the title *The Politics of Good Intentions* (this is a collection of the essays I have written during the past three years for the *London Review of Books*). The central theme of these essays is whether
September 11th 2001 did, as is so often claimed, really change the world. Each of the essays looks at one particular aspect of recent politics (9/11 itself, the Iraq war, the falling-out between Europe and America, the forthcoming EU referendum) in a broad historical perspective, to ask what is new about politics at the beginning of the twenty-first century, and what is all too familiar. I also look at the ways that politicians in general – and Tony Blair in particular – have exploited the idea of a fundamentally changed international order to justify their own chosen courses of action. Recent general books about politics have tended to fall into one of two camps: either claiming some grand new vision of international politics for the post-9/11 world, or arguing that nothing has changed and the politicians are just exploiting the war on terror for their own, cynical purposes. In these essays I try to steer a path between these two. I do not believe it is possible to assess whether we have entered a new phase of politics without considering the ways in which politicians have tried to exploit such claims. Nor, however, do I believe that the fact that such claims can be exploited means that they are necessarily untrue.
Section Four

Student Activities, Societies & Sports
The JCR

One of the first questions that people tend to ask a JCR President is: “What are the greatest problems at your college?” In fact, at my first Presidents meeting at the University Student Union (CUSU), we were told to share our answer with the other Presidents. Likewise, when I was first confronted by the new Master and Bursar. My response is the same: We do not have great protests over the communist décor of our student bar as happened at our large-chapeled neighbours; nor do we have rent strikes as occurred at one of the modern establishments up Castle Hill with students sleeping in corridors in demonstration. My answer used to be “We don’t have enough washing machines on central site”. How trivial. This has since been rectified. So, not much to complain about – not many problems. That is of course not to say that we are living in some form of Cantabrigian Paradiso: of course there is the odd blip – an over-indulgent night in the bar here, a spilt coffee in the library there. But overall, we are doing alright.

Naturally there is then a danger to pat ourselves on the back too much. Yet I’d venture Bishop Bateman would be happy with the way things are. Members of the JCR still run some of the most active collegiate societies – a choir that is now regularly going to far flung continents on tour; a student computer team that appear to run the University; a first division rugby side; a boat eyeing head of the river once more AND a climb in the academic league tables. I could go on – you get the picture. To quote a Varsity editor: “I don’t know of a Cambridge College where people speak so passionately of their own as at Trinity Hall”.

I think it is fair to say that relationships with the staff are as good as ever – how could they not be with such an enthusiastic team here? Although Head Porters and Tutorial Administrators may come and go, I can’t think of a department with whom there has been any major difficulty. Some students were fortunate enough to take part in a telephone fundraising campaign that brought hundreds of alumni back in touch with the Hall, and also a few cheques, which among other projects will go to help the excellent student support network that is in place. As ever, sterling work by the Development and Alumni Office – a department that I feel is somewhat sadly overlooked by many current students. This went some way to change that.

We are blessed here with a listening Fellowship (frighteningly young, apparently); a sensitive and insightful new Master; a deeply enthusiastic and well humoured Bursar; a Domus Bursar who clearly loves Trinity Hall so much that if you cut him open you would see black and white blood flow out of him and a Senior Tutor who I know is the envy of so many other colleges.
But what of the JCR committee? Well the accounts are sound, the weekly College newsletter *The Hallmark* has been revamped and is as popular as ever; the welfare team is always there to offer support and we have Ents that still provide a fine nights entertainment including new Open Mic nights. What student body could ask for more? Well, the Freshers seemed to be impressed this year – the traditional first week of Michaelmas was certainly one to remember (although some may struggle), not only for a small “clothing problem”, (thankfully off College property) but also for College family meals when some students were certainly thrown in at the deep-end on the social scene.

The June Event was as popular as ever and one trusts will continue to be so. The Senior Tutor often comments as to how impressed he is with this evening. It certainly does show Trinity Hall at its best and underlines both of our unofficial mottos, “get involved” and “work hard, play hard.”

Sporting prowess, (or mediocrity – depends how you look at it), will no doubt be aided by the new Pavilion up at Wychfield (which will soon enough be joined by even more new buildings). Teams such as Football and Badminton look dead certs for promotion, and although a couple of teams may struggle – it’s the taking part that counts. We do surprisingly well for such a small community.

It is most likely “the done thing” for an article such as this to congratulate and compliment the whole College. That would probably be said even if it were not totally true. Nevertheless, it is true– we are doing well. Fare thee well all who continue to sail in the fine ship *Aula Trinitarum Cantibrigiana*. She is going steady, but watch out there may be a whirlpool around the corner. I doubt however you will find one if travelling in one of the soon to be refurbished JCR punts.

As my time at this wonderful place draws to a close, I must express a colossal thank you to all the people who have made my Trinity Hall experience so great. I say that partly as a JCR President, but moreover as a student who every time he walks through the Porters Lodge (always brilliantly greeted by the legendary folks in there) or whenever he sits on Latham Lawn with that little voice of the Jerwood calling, knows he couldn’t have had a better experience. Long may that continue for many more years to come.

*James Thomas (JCR President 2004–2005)*

JCR Committee: James Thomas (President), Rachel Price (Treasurer, Vice-President), Alex Rushmer (Secretary, Editor *The Hallmark*), Verity Ibbotson (External Officer, Vice-President), Helen Scott (Services Officer), Helen Craig (Academic Affairs Officer), Nick Reddall (Access Officer), Sima Varsani (Welfare Officer), Tori Hallet (Green Officer), Emma Harding (Ents President), Rumbi Moyo (Womens Officer), Bryony Dean (LGBT...
The MCR and Graduate Society

The MCR presently accounts for approximately 40% of the student population of Trinity Hall and as such finds itself in a position where it is now considered on a par with the JCR. The demographic of the MCR is also changing; whilst it maintains its international community with students from across the globe, there is an ever-increasing number of home-grown tit-hallers embarking on a second degree. An MCR–JCR agreement also now promotes 4th year undergraduate membership of both societies and in the past 4 months it has been a pleasure to notice the increase in the numbers making use of our facilities.

The new Master, Professor Martin Daunton, and Bursar, Mr Paul ffolkes Davis, are further welcomed to the College by the MCR and already in the short space of time they have been here, we have formed valuable and prosperous relationships. Dr Nigel Chancellor’s appointment to the newly created position of “Domus” Bursar is also welcomed and we hope to enjoy the benefits of having an MCR alumnus on the inside! It has also been a pleasure to welcome them, and several other members of the Fellowship to the popular institution of “Grad Hall”, for which we thank College for its continued generous support.

The MCR is at its busiest at lunchtimes, when much political discourse both internationally and College focused is provoked. Recent motions to be discussed at the MCR lunchtime forum have included the proposal for College to have its own cat. The Trinity Hall Moggy Campaign was not, however, received well in wider College circles; and the MCR animal development sub-committee has recently considered the TH Monochromatic Zoo (in college colours, you see). The killer whales have been earmarked for a flooded Front Court, pandas will bask away the day in the new forest development (complete with temperate climate) on Latham Lawn, whilst the cows have already taken to grazing the Wychfield playing fields (no joke – the last one happened!) The MCR President notes these suggestions but resolves not necessarily to submit them for agenda at the next Governing Body meeting!

We continue to exert a presence within the sporting arena, including many representatives within University teams and clubs. Domestically we operate a men’s football team and a cricket team, both of which have
proved successful in the last year. Our representation is also prominent in the College women’s football team, who recently beat the Caius squad 9–0, and on the river with rowers across all boats.

The main achievement of the last committee was to write and adopt a new constitution for the MCR, who had been acting against the out-dated version for many years. Thanks must be noted to all members of the sub-committee who took part and in particular, Mr Angus Johnson who devoted many hours to insuring the team produced a constitution of which the Governing Body would approve. One of the most useful new features is the mandate for committee members to write end of year reports on their position, hopefully ensuring a better corporate memory over the years to come.

The MCR committee now stands proud, numbering 24 and beating last year’s record of 18. The website continues to go through iterations but can be reached at http://www-mcr.trinhall.cam.ac.uk. It is now editable by several members of the committee and provides up-to-the-day(!) information. Its popularity is, however, surpassed by the “Ents Board” that dominates the MCR mantelpiece and receives many daily updates on where the latest fun, sorry, stimulating and thought provoking relaxation, can be had.

The Ents and Stewards teams must be congratulated for their efforts over the past year; from film nights and wine tasting to summertime BBQ’s and garden parties, every year they manage to exceed the benchmark set previously. The MCR once again provided a substantial and at times, very exhausting, Freshers Week but I am pleased to report, from unofficial and word-on-the-street sources- it’s now ranked as the best in Cambridge! Bops, themed dinners (such as Burns’ Night, complete with piper and whisky) as well as exchange grad halls with other Cambridge MCR’s, all combine to create a very special community that ensures members can relax from the rigours of study.

**Tim Lewis (MCR President 2004–2005)**

MCR Committee: Tim Lewis (President), Theo Bell and Carston Keller (Vice-Presidents), Kirsty van Ryneveld (Secretary), Alex Thom (Treasurer), Rui Cui (Vice-Treasurer), Leslie Shope and Cleantha Paine (Stewards), Kate Miller (Ents Officer External), Tugce Bulut and Rachel Posner (Ents Officers Internal), Rose Clark (External Officer), Tom Lidbetter (JCR Representative), Richard Irvine (Academic Officer), Matthew Johnson and Edwin Liu (Computing Officers), Dara Purvis (Women’s Officer), Jasmine Tsen and Katherine Rickus (Green Officers), Laura Harrison and Florence Marie (Welfare Officers), Veronika Musilova (International Rep), Roberto Carabeo and Imogen Gunn (LBGT Officers)
Asparagus Club

It was with quivering hands and a dry mouth that I inherited the brown and weathered suitcase from Mr Atkinson, the former President of the Asparagus Club. As I struggled to open the rusted clasps I felt the anticipation of the archaeologist knowing he is about to unearth some long forgotten treasure, a treasure that so epitomises the tenacity of tradition within the great walls of Cambridge. Even as we become firmly established within the twenty-first century, a society whose sole existence revolves around the shared appreciation of “the revered plant” continues to flourish and grow, as the plant itself does in the gently undulating landscape of the Fens.

And so, it falls upon myself to update all Asparagans, past and present, as to the events we have enjoyed over the last twelve months. A rather brief perusal of last years report informs that I should begin with the Lent term cocktails of 2004. Despite the impressive efforts of Mr Atkinson to invite members from earlier years the overall turnout comprised only of resident members but rest assured, many a drink was drunk in homage to all Asparagans of the past. As the evening wore on the cocktails became a little more adventurous with the appropriately named Tri-Al a successful favourite among all. Sadly the flaring ability on show was less Tom “Cruise” and more Tom “Windy Day on the North Sea” but at least the floor of the Leslie Stephen room received a vigorous clean.

The stress of the exam period ensured that when the time for relaxation arrived it was done in the traditional salubrious fashion for which the Asparagus Club is so famed. After relaxing on the Wychfield lawns and enjoying the spectacle of the Old Boy’s cricket match the spirit of competition was aroused in all: the croquet pitch was laid out and a fierce battle in all weathers ensued. As the sensible members raced to the dry safety of the Wychfield House veranda they were treated to a croquet spectacle that went down to the final hoop. A draw was the inevitable and gentlemanly outcome.

Michaelmas term brought with it the seemingly impossible task of election. On a cold October night the resident members met armed with blackballs aplenty and proceeded to debate who was deemed worthy of invitation. After many hours we were able to send the white smoke up the chimney and the subsequent Michaelmas Eating proved that the correct decisions were made all around. I would like to take this opportunity to thank all those that helped tremendously with the preparations that
ensured such a successful Eating. Mr Macleod proved to be a treasurer with skills to rival Gordon Brown, and Mr Hager and Mr Richardson as Wine Steward and Sommelier respectively ensured that all beverages complimented the incredible feast provided by the Head and Sous chefs Miss Lerner and Miss Varsani. Although the planned Yuletide festivities, sadly, did not take place, it is with great pleasure and anticipation that I look forward to the Lent cocktails and garden party of 2005.

Alex Rushmer (President)

Resident Members: Alex Rushmer, Tris Hager, Martin Macleod, Tom Richardson, Anna Lerner, Sima Varsani, Rona Smith, Richard Morrison, Frances Gardner.
New Members: Oliver Cummings, Jonathon Keane, Daniel Igra, Tom-Leo True, Helen Scott, Rhian James, Rumbi Moyo, Catherine Gunn.

Christian Union
Trinity Hall’s CU is an inter-denominational group of Christians who wish to share their faith with their friends. The group also provides a friendly and supportive atmosphere to help students live as Christians at university.

The group meets each week for prayer and Bible study. We study the Bible together in order to find out how to live for God and to encourage each other in this. We pray for College members and are involved in the Cambridge Intercollegiate Christian Union which runs talks and discussion groups giving everyone the opportunity to investigate the claims of Jesus Christ for themselves.

In the past year we have organised several events in the College; a May Week garden party, a welcome lunch for Freshers and a meal for international students at the beginning of Michaelmas term. The group also started the academic year with a house party in nearby Houghton, which was a great opportunity to relax and form friendships before the pressures of term began.

The group has really grown over the past year, with many Freshers joining and getting involved by helping with music and publicity. Next term promises to be an exciting one as we prepare for the University-wide event, ‘Direction’ – a series of talks examining Luke’s Gospel.

Catherine Malcolmson
**Crescents**

Quite simply, it has been an outstanding year for the club. While losing half our number to the big wide world in June was a bitter blow, the crescent-shaped hole they left behind has been admirably filled by the new recruits, who have conducted themselves with a missionary-like zeal. But the year has been spent doing a lot more than simply filling holes; for most of us. From Vietnam to India, we have sampled the gastronomic ‘delicacies’ of Cambridge in a Bacchanal daze, often accompanied by our good friends, Messrs Woodgate and Bowyer. But the club has endeavoured not to disappoint on a grander scale. The biennial dinner epitomised all that is good and pure in this world, while the annual garden party was a testament to our powers of organisation and musical taste: what could be better than serving cocktails in blue plastic containers ‘accompanied’ by the near-inaudible sound of an overpriced steel band?

More recently, the club decided to broaden its cultural horizons and pay a short visit to The Other Place. A few items had to be chopped off the itinerary, but there was still time to see a buffalo or two in between, eg touring the Bodleian, punting from the front, and accepting the company of a lady or two who promised to invite us back for Torpids in Hilary term. With a sight-seeing tour of the Circle Line planned for the end of term, things are looking good for the future. What’s more, the blazers have arrived from the good, if colour-blind, people at A&E Clothier. And the heads are turning with envy. So, with the off-pink and maroon firmly emblazoned on my top half, may I suggest a toast to a certain Irish playwright?

*In bibendi veritas arcadiae est.*

**Tristram Hager (Secretary)**

Members: Nathan McGarry (President), Ben Maude (Hon Vice-President), Tristram Hager (Secretary), James Thomas (Treasurer), Ian Anderson (Lodge), Oliver Cummings, Greg Davies, Chopper Duncan, Tom Ebbutt, Chris Gee, James Griffiths, Daffyd Ifan, Dan Igra, Tom James, Chris Jeffreys, Andrew Lang, Madhav Mani, Martin MacLeod, Sam Minors, Dr Ian Morley, Paul Murphy, Garret O’Reilly, Seb Oram, Robert Paton, Tom Richardson, Ashley Rowlands, Alex Rushmer, Thomas-Leo True, Louis Verdi.

**History Society**

The College History Society activities kicked into action well before term began with the launch of a new website. It’s located at http://hal.trin-hall.cam.ac.uk/history/ and is designed to advertise society events and display photos as well as providing a useful source of information for the College’s historians.
We began the term with a drinks party in the Master’s Lodge, for both graduate and undergraduate historians. We were delighted to see so many people there to get the term’s events off to a good start.

In terms of speakers, we’re keen to draw on people from outside the University and try to ensure the topics appeal to as wide an audience as possible. Our first speaker – a friend of our own Master and history Professor at UCL, David D’Avary, proved highly entertaining. His talk ‘Rationalities’ provoked heated debate that continued well into the evening! The week after, we welcomed former Trinity Hall student Philip Venning, the president of the Society for the Protection of Ancient Buildings. Preceded by a scrumptious Formal Hall, the talk was once again well-attended and was well received by an audience that clearly included some avid viewers of the BBC series Restoration!

Lent term’s line up began with a visit from the political cartoonist for The Times and Guardian Newspapers, Martin Rowson. Following Martin will be the Dean of St Paul’s, a former history student at Trinity Hall. He will be talking on the subject of his recent research – the poet John Donne. This too promises to be a popular event, hopefully attracting people from across the disciplines.

Projecting even further, we are currently negotiating with Terry Waite and hope to have him visit the society this year. We’re planning to round off the year with a black-tie History Society dinner, an annual social event that was started last year. Look out for details posted on the website.

Finally, we’d like to thank the Master and his wife for the use of the Master’s Lodge and Dr Jackson and the other History Fellows for their continued support (and help with the provision of drinks!) Thank you to Joseph and the kitchen staff for their continued patience and flexibility with regard to meal arrangements. Thanks also to the Bursar, Mr Paul ffolkes Davis for suggesting and contacting Martin Rowson and inviting him to talk. Special thanks must be made to Liz Pentlow, the Alumni Officer, who has been invaluable in providing alumni information and mailing out our letters to potential speakers – thank you!

Melissa Milner & Katie Beal-Preston (Co-Presidents)

Law Society
The Law Society began on a high note for the academic year 2004/05 after the success of the revived Garden Party last June. We welcomed the Law Freshers warmly with a drinks reception and more food than anyone could have hoped to eat. It was also an evening that allowed older
students, LLM students and Law Fellows to mingle and exchange news. In November, a Clifford Chance skills presentation workshop was held in conjunction with Trinity College. This was enthusiastically attended by a small number of our members. We believe our applications will feel the benefit of that evening.

We have a very exciting term ahead planned, consisting principally of the highlight of our calendar, the Law Society Annual Dinner. We are honoured this year to have Baroness Hale of Richmond as our Honorary President. We are also planning to reinstate the tradition of mooting at Trinity Hall, which we hope will be a success. In the pipeline are several informative talks by barristers and solicitors, and of course, the Garden Party. We hope that Trinity Hall Law Society will continue to meet and adapt to the needs of the excellent students here.

Kenneth Wong and Caroline Roberts (Vice-Presidents)

Corps Missionaria
Trinity Hall Missionaries Society for Sporting Graduate Gentlemen
2004 was an auspicious year for the Corps Missionaria, being the (1)10th Anniversary of the glorious refounding under the auspices of Esteemed Brothers Ebo, Scarfie and Mozza. In celebration of this the College kitchens sent out emissaries far and wide to bring the finest epicurean delicacies for the Anniversary Dinner on 17 September in the Graham Storey Room. Similarly sommeliers were dispatched to far corners of the antipodes to procure the finest libations to accompany such a feast. Brethren travelled from the all over the world, including the Antipodes and Americas, to attend this marvelous occasion. The weekend passed in an agreeable manner and no small amount of robust moral instruction was supplied to the ladies of Cambridge, as befits the society’s mission. Our gratitude is due to Bunda, Bigsy and Blinky who organised this joyous event. After an autumnal somnolence the brethren have re-girded their loins and anticipate a fruitful New Year, which commences with a home match with the delightful Magpies.

Ben Hardy

Music Society
This year has once again been a good year musically for the College, despite the unfortunate lack of new undergraduates willing to take on responsibility within the Music Society committee. The Music Society is always happy to receive offers of help from any musical student, no matter what their ability!
During May Week 2004 the Society staged a highly successful production of *Grease* jointly with the Preston Society in the Fellows’ Garden. Despite the inclement weather we were entirely sold out, and the Society’s bank account is now considerably better off! There are plans for another musical this year in May Week – watch this space! There are also plans for a series of Early Music recitals during the Easter term of 2005 to be given by visiting artists. These will include recitals of Baroque vocal and instrumental music given by students of the Guildhall School of Music, a recital of Baroque music for clavichord in the Chapel and hopefully a performance by early music experts the Orlando Consort.

We continue to hold our series of lunchtime recitals on Thursdays in the Music Room. In Lent and Easter terms last year we were entertained by a piano duet recital including Gershwin’s *Rhapsody in Blue*, a Tuba recital including the Vaughan Williams Tuba concerto as well as Cello, Song and Organ recitals. Continuing the series of professional concerts on a Sunday evening, we heard a recital of Bach and contemporary music given by the cellist Joseph Spooner, and the violinist Adam Summerhayes. We have a full complement of lunchtime recitalists for Lent term 2005 who we look forward to hearing.

The Society continues to hope that the funds become available for the purchase of a new Grand Piano to be placed in the Master’s Lodge or Senior Combination Room. This will make possible the holding of more regular College and professional concerts in hall, further enhancing the musical life of the College. We continue to be grateful to alumni for their support of the music appeal and wish to extend an invitation to attend any musical event in College. Details can be found on our website www.thmusic.co.uk

_Edd Capewell (Chairman)_

**Natural Sciences Society**

Still a fledgling society, in only its third year, the Natural Sciences Society is going from strength to strength. The Society was founded in 2002 when Liz Wilcox organised the first Annual Natural Scientists dinner, a tradition that is now well established.

The talks organised by the Society have again been a huge success this year. Thanks to the sterling work of Lucy Martin, the talks co-ordinator, we were privileged to have Simon Conway Morris give a fascinating lecture about convergent evolution. We also have a lecture from Malcolm Longair, head of the Cavendish Laboratory, to look forward to later this
term. Both are experts in their field and hugely charismatic speakers, allowing students the chance to learn some truly fascinating science.

Also instrumental in making the Society such a success are Victoria Hallett, the Society secretary, and Dr Bampos who has been a great help in organising the numerous events. With the continued support of students and Fellows alike, the Society can only compound on its success.

_Matt Pollock (President)_

_Penguins_
The Penguins have flourished throughout this year, maintaining an impeccable reputation and acquiring a new Honorary President – Mrs Claire Daunton.

The Penguins and Crescents biannual dinner was a remarkable event. The Penguins arranged the wine; the Crescents drank more than their fair share of it, and lots of banter, mingling and drunken antics ensued in typical Hall style! Such activity has continued with the introduction of many new Penguins including two Blues, who proved themselves worthy during their initiations at the Crescent’s cocktails (at the expense of the President’s sobriety!) Boxers and various other unmentionable items were retrieved from select unsuspecting boys, and an unprecedented keenness to sample bananas and cream was apparent!

The Penguins social calendar has been packed with formals. Thankfully, in the face of a varied calibre of opposition, the girls have always ensured that the evening is at the very least, memorable!

Whilst Sidney found it appropriate to accept our culinary skills with gifts of White Lightening (compare to the champagne reception provided by the Selwyn Bishops!), the Squires raised the tempo, using utmost charm and much alcohol to produce an enthusiasm for cross dressing, and demonstrations of dubious flexibility. Posing as the ballet girls, we have invited the Girton Monsters and Clare Crabs to sample Joseph’s offerings at the Hall’s Formal. The resounding success will hopefully mark the end of our affinity with the Curry King!

This term promises to continue in similar style – with, amongst other things, a Baptist-Penguins bowling extravaganza and the Invitation Dinner to look forward to.

_Anna Lerner (President)_

Honorary President: Mrs Claire Daunton
Maddy Gowlett (Vice President), Ellie Hyde (Secretary)
Preston Society
Trinity Hall has a wonderful reputation for producing some of the finest in Cambridge theatre, and this year has been no exception. Ben Wood fulfilled the role of Junior Treasurer on the ADC committee, and was President and Tour Manager of the European Theatre Group’s Winter Tour of ‘Much Ado About Nothing’, as well as being Deputy Stage Manager for ‘Hot Mikado’ (ADC Theatre) and ‘Little Shop of Horrors’ (Arts Theatre). Rachel Hughes played Maria in a production of ‘Twelfth Night’ that toured Vancouver in summer 2003. Neil Singh acted in the comedy written for the annual Hindu Society celebration, ‘Mastana’. Bethan Ferguson was Stage Manager for the Freshers’ late show, ‘Albert’s Bridge’ at the ADC and also produced ‘My Native Land’ at the Corpus Playroom.

Experimenting in ‘innovative comedy’, Roger Benson co-wrote and acted in ‘Other Leading Brand’. Jessie Turnbull designed some costumes for ‘The Balcony’ at the Corpus Playroom and also designed some clothes featured in the Cambridge University Fashion Show. Lois Parker-Smith was ADC College Contact for Trinity Hall, and one of her plays was shortlisted for the Harry Porter Prize, judged by Stephen Fry. Many Tit Hallers were involved in the biggest show of the ADC year, the Lent term musical, ‘Annie Get Your Gun’, including Lois Parker-Smith (playing Annie … having worked her way up from playing ‘Thug 2’ in her first show in Cambridge!), Michael Thompson (ensemble), Tom Lidbetter (Assistant Musical Director), Bethan Ferguson (Stage Manager) and Ben Wood (Production Electrician), as well as Michael Nabarro, ex-Tit Hall, and manager of the ADC (2003–04).

To top it all, Trinity Hall put on a May Week production of everyone’s favourite musical, Grease, in the Fellows’ Garden. The show was the brainchild of Ben Wood (Producer) and Tom Lidbetter (Musical Director), and was directed by Lois Parker-Smith and choreographed by Emily Mansfield. There were around forty people involved in the production, including a fantastic, dedicated cast led by Alasdair Jones as Danny and Charlotte Gray as Sandy. Rehearsal time for the show was limited to an incredible nine days, but nevertheless was performed without a hitch, except for the small rain shower. Plans are now underway for the next May Week musical.

Tom Lidbetter

THEFT – Trinity Hall Entertainment, Film and Television
THEFT has never been as popular, or as prolific, as it has been this year. From meagre beginnings, it has risen up to become a force to terrify Ents and make people question their very existence. Or not, as the case may
be. But despite this, THEFT has held some of the most popular College events that bring people from all walks of life together, sharing in the love and unity that is Trinity Hall.

The initial impetus behind restarting THEFT was the fact that noone had bothered with it for over a year, leaving it to rot in the annals of history (as was the case when I arrived) as merely a good idea that could have been. And so, being the young, naïve Fresher that I was, I, along with Tay Blyth-Kubota, undertook the task of resurrecting the great society of our ancestors of three years before. While little happened in the first term, it started to flourish in the second and third, when we decided the list of films to show weeks in advance (a crucial step) and we began announcing them through the power of email. But it was the start of a fresh academic year that caused the greatest stir in the THEFT woodwork.

With an entirely new team (Rob Severn) and the creation of the THEFT website (by Miles Loveday), we were set. Advertising, something severely lacking in the society before, became a lot easier, as we could incorporate far more material in our weekly film prescription. It was also far easier to compile information about the College and especially the films people enjoyed watching. The films we showed in the Michaelmas term of this year were predominantly mainstream classics, although many requests have been made for more ‘cult’ movies (mostly from me).

But we felt we were doing a big injustice to the remaining ET (Entertainment and Television) and so, to rectify part of this situation, we decided, in association with RAG, to branch out into the world of music and that most noble of social performances (after karaoke), the Open Mic Night. And what a night it was. The cream of Tit Hall talent came and performed in front of an awed audience. It was definitely a night to be remembered and will be repeated later on this term. All in all, a successful start of the year for THEFT.

*Oliver Bolland*
Badminton (Men)
This has been an excellent season so far for men’s badminton at Trinity Hall. Not only were the Men’s 1st team promoted to Division 2 but also, due to the large amount of interest, I was able to form a Men’s 2nd team. As the 2nd team are new, they began life in the University leagues at the bottom, in League 5B, and that is where they remain. Despite having a fairly strong squad including a couple of last year’s 1st team players, they were pitched against a strong St Ed’s side who ended up getting promoted. As expected, the 2nd’s were brushed aside, but picked themselves up for the next game, only to be knocked back down again. They ended up winning a few games towards the end of last term but it was too little too late, and they start the new term still in the bottom division.

Sadly, last season’s 2nd team captain Ian Abel, to whom I am most thankful for doing this job, has had to retire due to excessive card playing (University Bridge) and so now Jimmy Platt has taken over for the new term. Thanks must also go out to Daniel Bae for featuring in last term’s 2nd team, but he had to leave as his finals are just around the corner. Good luck to the rest of the 2nd team (listed below) in their quest for mediocrity (or maybe a little bit more this time).

The 1st team started off the term in League 3B. The return of Martin MacLeod from his year abroad, and also the arrival of Freshers Dave Merrick, Spiros Adams-Florou and Alex Dustan boosted the squad’s strength, and it soon became apparent we were pushing for promotion. After demolishing St John’s II and Queens’ II, we then played a King’s side who had beaten us for the last few years. However, we proved to be too good, and after a few closer wins against Caius and Girton, despite Martin being unavailable due to an ankle injury he picked up whilst playing rugby, (the second victory being a 5–4 win due to Spiros and Dave winning all 3 of their games), the league hinged on playing a Hills Road side that were late in starting their games. We travelled to Hills Road, only to be beaten by a side that, apart from their top pair, was much weaker than ours. However, we still had enough to clinch the league title in a nail-biting wait to see how the other results turned out.

This term we must be amongst the favourites to be promoted, this time into the top division. However, we must not let complacency slip into our game, and a good result against arch-enemies Trinity II on Friday 18 February should stand us in good stead for the remainder of the term. My thanks must go out this term to Spiros, Dave and Martin, for their great
advice and their on court performances, and also to Mike Brear, Mads Mani, Gareth Dobson and Alex Dustan who have all performed excellently on court, and have great devotion in training (especially Mike). Our success has come through great strength in depth, so every one of these players has been part of it, and should be proud that they are playing a lead role in one of the best clubs in the College.

Andy Palmer (Captain)

1st Team: Andy Palmer (captain), Martin MacLeod, Dave Merrick, Spiros Adams-Florou, Mike Brear, Mads Mani, Gareth Dobson, Alex Dustan.

Badminton (Women)
The arrival of a number of keen new players this year has ensured that the Women’s team has survived into its second year of existence. Our aim for the season was to be promoted out of Division 4, so that we might set up a second team without the two having to play each other. However, a series of unfortunate events meant that we just missed out on promotion last term, although with such a strong squad our hopes for the new season are high, as well as for our chances in this year’s Cuppers.

My thanks to the senior players who have all had a role in coaching the novices, and to all of the squad members (especially the Freshers) who have regularly shown up in force with an abundance of keenness and enthusiasm for the sport. Special thanks must go to Gill, who has single-handedly ensured that most of College are kitted out in our infamous hoodies, and has done a great job as club Secretary this year.

I hope that the Women’s squad will continue to grow in size and strength over the next few years, and feel sure that the potential of the squad to do well will serve to ensure success for the team in the future.

Helen Thomas (Captain)

Cuppers Team 2005: Helen Thomas (captain), Gill White, Tanuja Rudra, Kate Armstrong, Kate Halliday, Claire Dawkins.
2004 was a highly successful year for the rowing community in College.

The Lent Bumps 2004 saw a very committed men’s crew start 6th on the river behind Jesus. It all seemed easy and the first VIII cruised up two places bumping both Jesus and Lady Margaret on the way.

Over the Easter Vacation the club was well represented in the Boat Races against Oxford, with Doug Perrin captaining the Lightweights and Colin Scott rowing in Goldie.

The May term brought a mixture of luck for the men of the Hall. With the promise of Blues, ex-Blues and triallists all returning the future looked bright. Sadly under-23 trials and injuries meant the May VIII faced obstacle after obstacle. However, they went into Bumps with their hearts set on bumping Caius from the headship position. On the first day, knowing they could only exceed expectation, the first VIII held off old enemy Downing easily, although Caius rowed 4 lengths clear. With the knowledge that Downing didn’t pose a threat the next day was all about catching Caius; sadly Caius got away and rowed well clear as Downing got bumped by St Catharine’s.

The first VIII knew day three was going to be the hardest day yet and after an amazing start they were 2 lengths clear of St Catharine’s. Down the Long Reach St Catharine’s began to close the gap and after a fight we got bumped just before the Pike and Eel. The race showed amazing mental strength from the boys of the Hall and they went out on Saturday and rowed and fought hard but couldn’t catch St Catharine’s.

The second men felt they had something to prove and finally got a bump! It came in almost Hollywood fashion; on Saturday the marquee on Ditton meadow was full of parents, friends and tutors and as the second men rounded the corner they were gaining quickly on Clare II. The final bumping ten came just outside the marquee and the second men, for the first time in a few years went up in Mays!

The third men had a phenomenal set of May bumps and ended 7 places higher by Saturday! The rugby boat showed some great Hall spirit and all had a great time.

Michaelmas term got off to a rocky start for the men and results in the major races were disappointing. However, after a week’s intensive training on the Tideway the Lent term should prove more fruitful. The first VIII this term is likely to have a high number of new faces in it, but with commitment and determination I’m certain we can build a good Lent VIII
and start looking towards the Mays, when some of our four University triallists will return.

_**Ellie Berry (Captain of Boats)**_

**Boat Club (Women)**
The change in the success of women’s rowing at the Hall continues and things are looking up.

Lent bumps 2004 saw seven senior rowers returning – a big change around from the two of 2003! The crew trained hard on the ergs, the water and in the weights room and having had some good results at Peterborough and on the Cam through the term were confident going into Bumps. The crew bumped Churchill and Newnham emphatically on the Long Reach and were very unfortunate not to get a third bump on Lady Margaret; something we aim to avenge this year.

The May term saw all rowers returning with the same determination to go up in Mays. On the first day the Mays VIII were sat behind a Jesus boat stacked full of Blues and despite gaining on them from the start, we were startled by Clare who bumped us at Grassy. The next day a very much revitalised crew went out aiming to hit Clare back, having felt that we rowed nowhere near our best the day before. After another good start,
Clare started to pull away as we started to pull away from Churchill. After a long, hard and gutsy row we rowed 4–5 lengths clear of Churchill. Day three was a repeat of day two with Clare in front, Churchill behind and again the determination was needed as Churchill were pushed onto us by an amazing Girton crew. However we pulled clear and again easily rowed over. The Saturday of Bumps was always going to be a hard day, with a crew with their eyes on blades behind us. Their was a lot of guts in the row and no-one wanted to be bumped by the Girton crew but in the end a great push from them saw us bumped. Again, there’s some revenge to be got this year!

The second women had a phenomenal Bumps. The first day started in a mediocre fashion with a row-over but on day two great things started happening! Having been told to ‘not bump’ to prevent rowing over at the top of the division, the second women ignored the coach and promptly went on to bump Downing II. Then as sandwich boat they went and got bump number two of the day at the motorway bridge. Day three saw things continue with a bump on Homerton and finally on Saturday the second women won their blades, completing the set of four bumps! The third women had had an injury hit term, but showed great will power during Bumps!

Michaelmas term went well for the ladies of the Hall. With four trial-lists, things also look good for the Mays. Results in the Michaelmas didn’t quite show our ability as we went out of University IVs when Churchill crashed into us and a bizarre umpiring decision allowed them a win of half a length! However, with the heartache of Michaelmas behind us, training has started in earnest for the Lents. Term kicked off early with a Tideway training camp and then the first VIII have been taken under Martin’s wing. With six senior rowers (many of whom felt the pain of not hitting Maggie last year) Martin’s help and fierce determination, prospects for Bumps look good.

Ellie Berry (Captain of Boats)

Trinity Hall Boat Club 2004–2005

Captain of Boats: Ellie Berry
Secretary: Hayley Palmer
Junior Treasurer: Helen Thomas
Captains of Lower Boats: Flora Greenwood, Bertie Clayton, Alasdair Jones
First May Boat
Eddy Davidson (cox), Danny Rowlands, Bertie Clayton, Tom Robins, Miles Loveday, Louis Verdi, Colin Scott, Cameron Duncan, Ben Smith.

First Ladies May Boat

First Ladies University IV
Susan Hutchings (cox), Ellie Berry, Antonia Turrell, Lucy Martin, Flora Greenwood.

Fairbairns VIII
Katja Armstrong (cox), Tom Robins, Miles Loveday, Mark Abthorpe, Tom Bishop, Bertie Clayton, Alasdair Jones, Graham Thompson, Neil Birkett.

Fairbairns Ladies VIII
Helen Scott (cox), Flora Greenwood, Hannah Kilduff, Lucy Martin, Katherine Rickus, Fiorien Bonthius, Ellie Berry, Rachael Droog, Riccarda Torriani.

First Ladies Lent Boat
Cat Taylor (cox), Hayley Palmer, Flora Greenwood, Katherine Rickus, Theo Bell, Ellie Berry, Lizzy Jackson, Genna Macdonald, Hannah Kilduff.

Football (Men)
These are strange times for sport at Trinity Hall. As I write, there could be a shift in the traditional hierarchy of sporting activities, and rugby and rowing could be facing a new challenger for the top spot. Despite a history of staggering mediocrity, Trinity Hall Football Club are definitely on the up.

Last season saw a promising start descend into farce as 10 crazy minutes against Fitz in the Cuppers quarter-final effectively ended our season. We somehow managed to go from 1–0 up with 8 minutes remaining against a team in the top four of Division 1 to 3–1 down at the end. With the team’s confidence shot, we didn’t win again all season, and finished rather disappointingly just 1 place above the relegation zone. Although we were in fact 7 points clear of the drop, we came back this
year resolving not to suffer another season’s frustration at unfulfilled potential.

2004 was a historic year for Trinity Hall football in its own right, as for the first time in history we could boast three league teams, following the 2\textsuperscript{nd} XI’s demolition of all competition in Division 7 last year. We welcomed a number of new faces into the squad, with Dave ‘The Save’ Lewis-Smith in nets: a commanding presence in every sense of the word, and Dave Merrick and Tom Bennett coming in to improve the squad. Rob Severn decided to celebrate his 2\textsuperscript{nd} year by going to Sports World and buying a pair of boots, and was rapidly parachuted in to fill the right back berth.

The 1\textsuperscript{st} XI got off to the best possible start in October with an 8–0 drubbing of newly promoted Corpus, with Af displaying his trademark coolness in front of goal to bag 4. This was followed by an impressive 2–1 away victory at Girton II, the kind of game we might have forfeited in years gone by. This new-found grit was demonstrated again in a gutsy 2–0 win against Jesus II, which included an amazing two penalty misses by Jesus, with Dave ‘The Save’ in inspired form. A disappointing loss against an excellent Homerton in Cuppers was followed by a 1–1 draw at King’s and a fighting 3–1 win against Long Road, and our form has followed through into the Lent term with a 5–1 victory at Queens’. As it stands we are sitting at the top of the league with two matches left, and hopes of winning the Plate still alive.

This year’s success must be attributed to a fantastically talented and committed squad, and everyone involved has taken any opportunity handed to them. Will Turner simultaneously battled mumps and right wingers for half the term; JT came back from a long-term injury to give us some more ‘chat’ in the middle; Aled and Af have continued to put them away up front following the cultured play of Sami, Tobias, Garrett, Dan and Andy; and the defence has been tighter than Doug Ellis’s chequebook. There is a hunger and desire present this year that was lacking before, and this side really deserves the success we are all cautiously hoping for.

\textit{James Griffiths (Captain)}

Squad: James Griffiths (captain), Tom Bennett, Tobias Brandvik, Tom Georgiou, Callum Hind, Dan Igra, James Thomas, Andrew Lang, Dave Lewis-Smith de la Fuente, Sami Lua-ability, Dave Merrick, Garret O’Reilly, Afolabi Oliver, Andy Palmer, David Pfeiffer, Ashley Rowlands, Rob Severn, Tom True, Will Turner, Aled Williams, Tom Burrell (2\textsuperscript{nd} XI captain), Morgan French (3\textsuperscript{rd} XI captain).
**Football (Women)**

Women’s football continues to thrive at Tit Hall. Having avoided relegation at the end of last season with a stunning display of skill and teamwork against Pembroke, the first team have made an excellent start to the new season. Despite many dedicated players moving on, we had no problems recruiting many enthusiastic and nifty players, mainly drawn from the Freshers. This squad puts out two teams each weekend (and subs), which is extremely impressive given the size of our College! The range of the squad spans from age 17 to approaching 30 and several continents. Regular training sessions have created a stronger, fitter and more tactically minded squad.

This season our quest for victory has been relentless and often successful. At the end of October, we took part in a 5-a-side tournament and emerged runners-up. Most importantly we beat Trinity and showed the rest of the University our capability. We have also made a tremendous start to Cuppers with a 9–0 demolition of Caius II.

The second team is traditionally for those with no ball-kicking experience but tons of enthusiasm and fun. Seconds captain Mags has done a fantastic job of making every player count and has produced a very strong dedicated side. Most of these players join the first team and are instrumental in encouraging new players. Both teams continue to be very sociable on and off the pitch, making many new friends and never shying away from a drink or dinner invitation.

*First team with coach Malcolm Pearman*
A very special thank you from all of us goes to Malcolm the Porter, our
tireless coach, for all his help and support over the past two seasons. And
another thank you to Aidan and Morgan for their much valued refereeing
skills!

With Cuppers and several league matches remaining, the future of
women’s football is definitely black and white.

Helen Morgan (First Team Captain)

Squad: Helen Morgan, Margaret Carson, Alexis Barr, Victoria Copas, Ellie Berry, Ruthy
Fasham, Astrid Jenkinson, Gill White, Vicky John, Aly Pitts, Rachel Posner, Claire
Dawkins, Kate Miller, Lois Parker Smith, Theo Bell, Alice Swift, Isabella Kirkwood,
Laura Hough, Lucy Butcher, Amelia Sleht, Sophie Martin, Cerian Hatton, Clare Betney,
Catherine Gunn, Anna Lerner, Tanuja Rudra, Ksenia Germanovich, Jenn Tiu, Yeen
Tran, Jisa Prasannan, Theo Bell, Felicity Smith, Emily Hilton, Helen Thomas, Rachel
Simpson, Catherine Gunn.

Hockey (Men)
A successful end to last season saw the Hall scoop third place in Division 2 (nicely
avoiding a potentially humiliating promotion) and near-victory in the St John’s summer sixes tournament. For the team, some of whom
were only tempted along by the promise of a free burger and pint, an extra-
time defeat to Trinity in the semi-finals far exceeded anyone’s expectations
and showed just how good we could be on our day. With Vlad “The Wall”
Katunin hanging up his gloves, chest padding, helmet...etc and our star
striker Jay Brinsmead-Stockham also leaving for the real world, it was clear
that a new batch of talented Freshers would be needed to ensure similar
achievements this year. Whilst the season hasn’t exactly got off to the best
of starts, with only one league point to our name, there have been a lot of
encouraging things to draw upon. Dave Taylor has fitted in well under the
watchful eye of veteran centre-back Rob Harris and Robbie Hodgkinson
upfront has impressed with well-taken goals and a flair for dribbling (some-
times towards the goal). A first round Cuppers draw against Peterhouse
seemed like the perfect chance to show off our new talent but alas, it was
not to be. They say that one man cannot make a team but when that man
is a Blue that can run all day and drag flick harder than most people can
hit, I wouldn’t be so sure, our opposition relying solely upon him to clinch a frustrating victory. Hopefully things will click soon, because we’re
running out of matches, but even if things don’t we’ve had an enjoyable
season and look forward to doing some damage next year.

Ashley Rowlands (Captain)
Hockey (Women)

Narrowly missing promotion at the end of last year meant I arrived back in Cambridge with strong hopes for the next hockey season, and eager for some Fresher legs to add to our veteran squad. This year’s intake has been no less than could be hoped for, with a number of girls eagerly taking up the stick on behalf of the mighty Hall. With our new heroine Lizzy arriving to share goalie with Alzeena (whilst also being mean in defence) and additional Fresher champions Rachel, Jenny, Hattie, Alice, Kate, Genna and Ksenia all eager to ‘get keen’, I have been in the privileged position of being consistently able to put out a strong squad of players from all years, as testified by a number of victories in both league and Cuppers matches.

From the great turnout at our first practice back in October, Trinity Hall hockey has been going from strength to strength, even if this is not always reflected on the score sheet. Our first match together was a great effort and, considering that it was the first time we had all played together as a team and that people were shifting around into different positions it was an awesome performance. Unfortunately, echoing last season, we once again fell foul of Churchill’s traditional trick of refusing to play on astro in preference for their well-worn, well-known (by them) and lumpy pitch, which allowed them to steal an undeserved 2–1 victory despite our 1–0 lead for the majority of the game and the laudable efforts of our debut goalie, Lizzy. Our victory over Clare the following week, however,
provided a nice antidote to this defeat, with a triumph of three-nil secured by goals from Rumbi, Alice and Mel despite high winds and lots of rain.

In fact, our season so far has been testament to the teamwork and enthusiasm typical of Trinity Hall. Despite inclement weather, two frozen pitches and a goalie kit commandeered by cleaners so far combining to frustrate our chances to unleash our full potential on the league, we have nonetheless been having a worthy season. Episodes such as when Vicky (having come to cheer us on!) committed herself to playing a half after an injury to another player, keeping the energy of the team going until the final whistle despite having just played a full game of football, demonstrate just how dedicated and motivated we are as a squad.

With a number of matches carried over into the New Year as a result of bad winter weather we now have everything to play for. Although our pursuit of the Cup was thwarted by a kit mistake for which there can never be enough apologies, with spring on the way there should be no escape by the other colleges in our league from our strong attackers, speedy mids, concrete defenders and superior goalies. All in all, with an impressive start by a relatively new-found team, 2005 sees us in a very strong position to rise in the league. With games still to compete in, with a rested and more cohesive squad and with a new kit on the way we are, as ever, confident that this will be the year of our promotion.

Ellie Hyde (Captain)

Squad: Ellie Hyde (captain), Louise Boyns, Alice Turtle, Charlie Kendall, Rumbi Moyo, Rebecca Lee, Melissa Milner, Amelia Sleht, Lizzy Jackson, Rachel Phythian, Jenny Angell, Vicky Copaz, Tori Hallett, Caroline Roberts, Genna MacDonald, Alice Swift, Kate Armstrong, Alzeena Bata and Ksenia Germanovich.

Netball
The 2003–2004 netball season saw the continuation of our netballers sporting prowess with all teams doing well in their league divisions and commendable performances in the Netball Cuppers tournaments. In Cuppers, both ladies teams qualified from their groups, only to be drawn against each other in the following round. The seconds put up a good fight, but, as their name suggests, lost. Unfortunately, the first team went on to be beaten in the quarter finals by the teacher training college. The mixed team stormed through the rounds, but finally narrowly missed out to Trinity in the semi’s. Special mention should go to Henry Pinder who managed to sprain his ankle to elephant proportions in the first five minutes of the first match!
The 2004–2005 season had a traumatic start with all Trinity Hall teams being relegated to the bottom divisions due to an incompetent captain getting their league fees in a day late. Luckily, after some angry emails, the first teams were subsequently promoted to their rightful premier divisions.

The arrival of netballing first years has greatly helped the club, with at least three girls over 5ft 5 ensuring that we are no longer the shortest team in Cambridge. In terms of results, most teams are winning and losing with equal proficiency and we look set to do well at Cuppers. The post Christmas addition of rugby and rowing legends should again boost the mixed teams and the brand new courts at Wychfield will undoubtedly help our pursuit of victory. With half a season still left to play, there are still lots of matches to enjoy, and lots of colleges for our black and white army to beat.

_Madeline Gowlett (Captain)_
_Rhian James (Secretary)_


**Real Tennis**

Trinity Hall fielded two players to enter the 2004 Cuppers Tournament at the fabulous Real Tennis Courts on Grange Road. Jocelyne Poulton (Development Director) and Michael Webb (Graduate 1992) took to the courts in November. Excellent matches were enjoyed against our two opponents Corpus Christi and Sydney Sussex. Despite the inherent enthusiasm, skill and style of the Trinity Hall pair, we lost on aggregate points and so did not make it through to the Quarter Finals. Come on Trinity Hall students and resident Cambridge alumni! Having two courts on your doorstep is a rare opportunity to play this great sport – why not try a game? With more Trinity Hall players we might just win the Real Tennis Cuppers Tournament.

_Mrs Jocelyn Poulton_

**Rugby**

At last Trinity Hall RUFC has forced its way into the first division. A dramatic victory over Magdelene in a promotion playoff secured the path to glory for the men from the Hall. However, the departure of such stalwarts
as ‘Big’ Al Atkinson, and Maximillian ‘The Baron’ Von Etzdorf has seen a slight slump in the fortunes of the promotion winning team. New blood in the shape of Ollie Bournat, Ed Perry and Mike Mah has eased the transition to the top flight, but the team this year has struggled to make a mark in their new division. Memorable moments so far this year centred on a dramatic defeat by Jesus, and a two-try haul by Chris Gee in the face of the St John’s machine. Looking forward, the return of under-21’s flanker Louis Verdi, and Blue open side/Crescent’s President Nathan McGarry augurs well for a successful run in the Plate. All in all, despite injuries, retirements and general misfortune, Trinity Hall’s first XV will be aiming to reach Grange Road on 16 March.

Paul Murphy (Captain)

Squad: Ben Carlisle, Ed Perry, Ollie Bournat, Mike Mah, Thomas Cock, Nathan McGarry, Daniel Igra, Chris Parr, Chris Gee (secretary), Ben Maude, Nick Rumney, Thomas Barnes, Tristram Hager, Tom Richardson, Christopher Jeffrey, Louis Verdi, Martin MacLeod, Carlos Monroy Aceves, Dafydd Ifan, Adedayo Banwo, Tay Blyth, Morgan French.

Table Tennis

This year started hopefully with the introduction of many new faces allowing for the creation of a 2nd team, which entered in Division 3, one league below the 1st team, which remained in Division 2 after last season. My thanks has to go out to Tom Lidbetter for agreeing to captain the newly formed 2nd team, which are now looking odds on for promotion into Division 2. Despite losing to Trinity III (yes, they lost to Trinity) and barely losing to Robinson, victories against Queens’ II and Caius has seen the team rise into third with the bottom two teams still to play. Spiros Adams-Florou, Blake Sherwin and Jamie Munk have played a large part in this success, but Ben Wylie (who should be in the 1st team but can’t make Tuesdays) has so far been the star. Best of luck to the 2nd team in their quest for glory, masterminded by captain extraordinaire Tom, who when faced with another teeth-gnashing, terrifying match, sends his team off to an almost certain 3 hour evening shift, and returns to the bar to have a pint (only joking).

The introduction of Adias Gerbaud and the return of Tom Burrell into the 1st team meant that at the beginning of the season we were hopeful of promotion. However, in our first game, we came against stiff opposition in the form of Girton who had a Blue as one of their three players, and we lost 5–4. We responded well against Trinity II despite not having
Tom B, but Spiros Adams-Florou stepped into his shoes at the last minute and, with Adias in superb form despite not having played before this term for 3 years, we won 5–4. Our final match of last term came against King’s, and had we had our best team available on the day, I feel sure we would have won. However, with Adias ill, Tom Lidbetter kindly stepped in at the last minute, but we lost 6–3.

However, revenge is sweet, and having been drawn against King’s in the first round of Cuppers, we smashed them 5–0, with both Tom B and Ben Wylie playing very well indeed. We have now been drawn against a strong Jesus side in the next round on Monday 14 February, but I feel confident that we can win if we all play as well as we are capable. A couple of wins could also see the 1st team clinch second spot in the league, which would mean promotion into Division 1. If we can get our strongest side out for the next few games there is no reason why this cannot be realised, so Adias get a phone so I know whether you can make a match or not!

_Andy Palmer (Captain)_

Team: Andy Palmer (captain), Adias Gerbaud, Tom Burrell, Ben Wylie, Spiros Adams-Florou, Tom Lidbetter (2nd team captain), Blake Sherwin, Jamie Munk.

_Tennis_

Trinity Hall women’s Tennis team flourished throughout the summer term, even whilst mourning the loss of our pristine grass courts. Immense skill demonstrated by key exam-term-procrastinators more than compensated for a slight lack in numbers, allowing us to dominate in our Cuppers match against Sidney Sussex. An unfortunate draw put us playing Newnham in the next round – the eventual winners.

The reticence of Trinity Hall women to mission it to courts further away than Market Square was far from noticeable when we were called upon to strengthen the men’s reserves! Stunned male opposition were almost ready to play left handed at the sight of female specimens approaching the net – and perhaps they should have done to save face when retelling the tales of their astounding defeats. (Special thanks to Cath Gunn!)

With such good Fresher recruits, and the wonderful new facilities at Wychfield, Trinity Hall Tennis team has a promising season ahead – and may even be ready to challenge the girls in 2005!

_Anna Lerner (Captain)_
**Ultimate Frisbee**

OK, I realise most of you will need an introduction to ultimate frisbee!

Millions play all over the world, and it is constantly growing in popularity. The game is like a cross between netball and American football, played with a flying disc. The pitch is rectangular, with an end zone spanning the pitch at each end, and each team tries to pass the disc up the pitch to score by catching the disc in the opposition’s end zone. Like netball: no running with the disc; like American football: the scoring, and a system of turnovers whenever a mistake is made. Ultimate is mixed and self-referred, promoting a spirit of fair play. And we are out on Jesus Green whatever the weather, not just in the summer! For the last two years, Trinity Hall has been on the verge of great things in the college league, with one problem: not having enough players. This year after a vigorous recruitment campaign, our numbers have swelled enormously. We have won 2 and lost 6, but I am not in the least concerned. The defeats were all close matches, and although we are looking rough round the edges, the team is playing with an irresistible flair, pulling off spectacular diving catches and occasional patches of flowing play that simply overwhelm the opposition. The new recruits are progressing with alarming alacrity. I predict big things for next year.

*Niall Sayers*

**Waterpolo**

The team started the 2004/2005 season with high expectations. Almost all of last year’s experienced players were still at the Hall, but we had also gained new talent in the form of Graham Thompson and Graeme Spence (Euan’s younger brother and another excellent swimmer). We looked forward to improving on last year’s solid performance.

As the season progressed we saw that our confidence had been fairly well placed. We took up position at the top of the league and defended it with emphatic victories over the women’s Blues team, St John’s and Robinson.

Our later matches proved more difficult and have threatened our crown. An epic battle with St Catharine’s ended 2–2 but we lost heavily to Addenbrookes, traditionally a very strong side.

Despite these late setbacks, we are still at the top of the table at the time of writing. We have, however, played more matches than our strongest rivals. All is to play for as we head towards the last match of the season against the Leys school.

*Chris Parr (Captain)*
Trinity Hall Representatives

The following represented the University in 2004:

**Basketball**
- Fenna van Marle
- Grace Yip

**Croquet**
- Asif Arshad
- Wes Hill
- Charlie Rome
- Ben Wylie

**Cross-Country**
- Matt Armstrong
- Nicolas Casini
- Oli May
- Emma Pooley

**Cycling**
- Emma Pooley

**Fencing**
- Nicholas Vanston-Rumney

**Hockey**
- Ashley Rowlands

**Rowing**
- Doug Perrin (Lightweight)
- Colin Scott

**Rugby Union**
- Chris Gee
- Nathan McGarry

**Sailing**
- Bethan Carden (Captain)
- Jonathan Pinner (Captain)

**Swimming**
- Euan Spence
- Graeme Spence

**Tennis**
- Oliver Cummings
- Alex Dustan
- Marsali Grant
- Catherine Gunn (Captain)

**Triathlon**
- Emma Pooley

**Ultimate Frisbee**
- Tido Eger

**Volleyball**
- Stephan Diestelhorst
- Quentin Ramasse
- Ellen van Baak
Section Five

The Gazette
The Master, Fellows, Honorary and Emeritus Fellows and Fellow-Commoners

Elections, Resignations & Retirements

Professor Martin Daunton was elected Master of Trinity Hall with effect from 1 October 2004

Dr Martin Ellwood was elected as the Walter Grant Scott Research Fellow in Zoology with effect from 1 October 2004

Mr Paul ffolkes Davis was elected as Staff Fellow, Bursar and Steward with effect from 1 October 2004

Dr Giovanna Iannantuoni was elected into a Staff Fellowship with effect from 1 October 2004

Mr Andrew Lang was elected as the Gott Research Fellow in Development Law with effect from 1 October 2004 after completing his PhD at Trinity Hall

Dr David Runciman was elected to a Fellowship in Politics with effect from 1 October 2004

Dr Tadashi Tokieda was elected to a Fellowship in Mathematics with effect from 1 October 2004

Dr Martin Bucher left to take up a Professorship in Paris after 4 years as the Stephen Hawking Fellow in Mathematics

Dr Sarah Cooper left to take up a Lectureship at King’s College, London after 6 years as Staff Fellow in Modern and Medieval Languages

Dr Andrew Harkins left to take up a Research Fellowship at Imperial College London after 3 years as the Walter Grant Scott Research Fellow in Mathematics

Dr Mario Kozah left to teach in Lebanon after 3 years as the Gott Research Fellow in Oriental Studies

Dr Dirk Slotboom left to take up a Lectureship in the Netherlands after a year as Junior Research Fellow in Biochemistry and Structural Biology

Professor Peter Clarke retired as Master of the College after 4 years, and moved to Canada to pursue his research and writing

Mr Graham Howes retired from his position as Director of Studies in Social and Political Studies and Picture Steward after 35 years as a Staff Fellow and has become an Emeritus Fellow

John Pegler retired after 4 years as Bursar and Steward

Senior Scholars

Dr Carmel McEniery was elected as a Senior Scholar with effect from 1 October 2004

Dr Jaswinder Sethi was elected as a Senior Scholar with effect from 1 October 2004
Honours, Appointments & Personal News

Professor Martin Daunton was appointed President of the Royal Historical Society from November 2004

Professor Colin Austin was invited to address the Annual Conference of the Classical Society of Japan at Ritsumeikan University (Kyoto) in June 2004, and also lectured on greek comedy in Tokyo and Kanazawa.

Dr Peter Hutchinson produced an article on Friedrich Dürrenmatt and edited a volume on German comedy, which will be published later in the year. He also translated various poems by Philip Larkin for a German film on the poet.


Dr Simon Guest has published papers in both the International Journal of Solids and Structures and the Proceedings of the Royal Society.

Dr Mike Hobson and wife Rebecca welcomed daughter Tabitha Lucy Elizabeth Hobson on 9 November 2004

Professor John Clarkson became Professor of Engineering Design from 1 October 2004. He has published Design Process Improvement: A Review of Current Practice, with Claudia Eckert (eds) and Design for patient safety: a scoping study to identify how the effective use of design could help to reduce medical accidents, with Dr Jerome Jarrett et al.

Dr Vasant Kumar was the invited Lecturer in International Conferences at the Canadian Metallurgical Society (Hamilton, August 2004); the Euro Ionics Conference (Patras, September 2004); and Rare Earths (Nara, November 2004). He has been appointed External Examiner for the undergraduate Materials Course in KUKUM, Malaysia from September 2004 and published 10 papers in the Scientific Journal in 2004


Dr Louise Haywood published A Companion to the ‘Libro de Buen Amor’, ed with Louise Vásvari (London, Tamesis); a volume in memory of G B Gybbon-Monypenny, an alumnus of Trinity Hall.
Dr Jan-Melissa Schramm was appointed to a Newton Trust Lectureship in the Faculty of English for five years, commencing on the 1 January 2005. She has also published “‘The Anatomy of a Barrister’s Tongue’: Rhetoric, Satire and the Victorian Bar in England’ in Victorian Literature and Culture (2004), 285–303

Revd Dr Jeremy Morris was appointed a member of the Faith and Order Advisory Group of the Church of England from January 2004, and was appointed the Gore Memorial Lecturer at Birmingham Cathedral for 2005. He has published FD Maurice and the Crisis of Christian Authority (Oxford University Press), People of the Book: Revivalism in the Modern World (John Hunt Publishing) and has written ‘The Spirit of Comprehension: Examining the Broad Church Synthesis’, for Anglican and Episcopal History (forthcoming)

Dr Richard Baker’s recent music (published by BMIC/Contemporary Voices) includes the song cycle Slow passage, low prospect, for baritone and piano, commissioned by the Aldeburgh Festival 2004; Angelus, for two percussionists, and But where shall wisdom be found? commissioned by the Fellows of Exeter College, Oxford for the installation of Frances Cairncross as Rector. To keep a true lent is published by OUP in April. Conducting engagements included several studio recordings and live broadcasts for BBC R3, and an appearance with Cologne-based ensemble musikFabrik. The Pebble in the Pond – a collaboration with composer Michael Zev Gordon, writer Eva Hoffman and producer Anthony Pitts for BBC R3 – was awarded a Prix Italia in Cantania, Sicily. In September 2004, Richard was appointed Professor of Composition at the Guildhall School of Music and Drama.

Dr Richard Miles and wife Camilla welcomed daughter Jessamey on 18 February 2005

Dr Matthew Conaglen was awarded his PhD in July 2004 and was appointed University Lecturer in Law from October 2004. He has also written a new chapter on “Fiduciaries” in Snell’s Equity (31st edition, 2005) 145–195 and “The Nature and Function of Fiduciary Loyalty” (2005) 121 Law Quarterly Review (a forthcoming article that has been accepted for publication)

Dr Sandra Raban published A Second Domesday?: The Hundred Rolls of 1279–80 (Oxford University Press, 2004)

Revd Dr John Polkinghorne published Science and the Trinity, SPCK/Yale University Press, 2004

Lord Howe of Aberavon received an Honorary Doctor of Laws (LLD) from London School of Economics on 16 December 2004 and also from the University of Glamorgan on 20 December 2004

Dennis Avery was admitted to the Guild of Benefactors of Cambridge University by Prince Philip on 1 November 2004

His Honour Alan King-Hamilton celebrated his 100th birthday on 9 December 2004
## College Staff
### Arrivals & Departures

#### Appointments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jefferson Lee Morgan</td>
<td>House Porter</td>
<td>23 February 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colm Sheppard</td>
<td>Gardener</td>
<td>8 March 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johnny Molina Garcia</td>
<td>Weekend Domestic Assistant</td>
<td>26 March 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ray Wenham</td>
<td>Carpenter</td>
<td>29 March 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nigel Flack</td>
<td>House Porter</td>
<td>5 April 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matt Gruby</td>
<td>Carpenter</td>
<td>5 April 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emily Streetly</td>
<td>Bedmaker</td>
<td>4 May 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sharon Wilders</td>
<td>Bedmaker</td>
<td>4 May 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stuart Passey</td>
<td>House Porter</td>
<td>1 June 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dian Brown</td>
<td>Bedmaker</td>
<td>21 June 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Francesco Gadaletta</td>
<td>Kitchen Porter</td>
<td>22 June 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ivynlyn Mullings</td>
<td>Bedmaker</td>
<td>5 July 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christine Milner-Moore</td>
<td>College Accountant</td>
<td>16 August 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Scott</td>
<td>Payroll Accounts Assistant</td>
<td>23 August 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wu Ren</td>
<td>Bedmaker</td>
<td>27 September 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hui Shen</td>
<td>Weekend Domestic Assistant</td>
<td>1 October 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jurij Popel</td>
<td>House Porter</td>
<td>11 October 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vicky Mills</td>
<td>Admissions Officer</td>
<td>25 October 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jodie Sellen</td>
<td>Bedmaker</td>
<td>6 December 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don Dawson</td>
<td>Porter</td>
<td>6 December 2004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Departures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stephen Hipkiss</td>
<td>Kitchen Porter</td>
<td>23 February 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs Sue Stearn</td>
<td>College Accountant</td>
<td>28 February 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sarah Munemo</td>
<td>Bedmaker</td>
<td>12 March 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jefferson Lee Morgan</td>
<td>House Porter</td>
<td>29 March 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sharon Wilders</td>
<td>Bedmaker</td>
<td>6 May 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ting Chen</td>
<td>Bedmaker</td>
<td>14 May 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nigel Flack</td>
<td>House Porter</td>
<td>18 May 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emily Streetly</td>
<td>Bedmaker</td>
<td>21 May 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs Janet Adams</td>
<td>Payroll Accounts Assistant</td>
<td>18 June 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johnny Molina Garcia</td>
<td>Weekend Domestic Assistant</td>
<td>20 June 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs Helen Abbott</td>
<td>Human Resources Officer</td>
<td>1 July 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhongfeng Wu</td>
<td>Bedmaker</td>
<td>27 August 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angela Eason</td>
<td>Admissions Officer</td>
<td>17 September 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ivynlyn Mullings</td>
<td>Bedmaker</td>
<td>27 September 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stuart Passey</td>
<td>House Porter</td>
<td>24 October 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alan Cay</td>
<td>Porter</td>
<td>29 October 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dian Brown</td>
<td>Bedmaker</td>
<td>29 October 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mike Hill</td>
<td>Academic IT Officer</td>
<td>12 November 2004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Departures (continued)

Tracy Williams  
Bedmaker  
12 November 2004

Frank Dickson  
Head Porter  
17 December 2004

Fran Sutton  
Tutorial Administrator  
31 December 2004

Retirements

David Ingrey  
French Polisher

Long Service Awards

Pat Collier (20 years)  
Bedmaker  
3 October 2004

Beryl Evans (20 years)  
Bedmaker  
October 2004

Raffaela Marasca (30 years)  
Bedmaker  
14 October 2004

Derek Harradine (40 years)  
Senior Gardener  
1 June 2004

Nigel Fletcher (30 years)  
Kitchen Manager  
30 September 2004

Death

Sadly, Abdo Mohammed died on 17 September 2004
Other Members of Trinity Hall
Honours, Distinctions and Awards

1956  Peter Morgan received an MBE in the Foreign Office List in the Queen’s Honours 2003

Professor William Parish was made a Doctor of Science by Cambridge University on 1 November 2003

1961  Dr John Gill MRSP was appointed Honourary Fellow of the Society for Radiological Protection on 31 March 2004

1964  John Ray has been elected a Fellow of the British Academy.

1965  Sir David Bell was awarded a Knighthood in the Queen’s Birthday Honours.

1964  Sir David Green CMG was awarded a KCMG in the Queen’s Birthday Honours.

1971  The Hon Mr Justice David Bean was appointed a High Court Judge in July 2004

1974  Professor Andy Hopper FREng was awarded the Mountbatten Medal for work in electrical engineering in 2004

1976  Mark Dennis was inducted as one of the four living Fellows of Scottish Heraldry on 1 May 2004

1981  Dr Paul Griffiths was awarded an ARC Federation Fellowship on 1 September 2004, Australia’s most prestigious individual research award. The Fellowship will allow him to create a team of postdoctoral Fellows and research students at the University of Queensland.

1993  Dr Rebecca Macfarlane (née Ebsworth) passed the MRCS exam in January 2004

FORMER FELLOWS

Professor Geoffrey Best was elected Fellow of the British Academy and appointed Senior Research Fellow of the British Academy.

Professor Steven Mithen was elected a Fellow of the British Academy.

Recent Appointments

1952  Sir Charles McCullough was appointed Visitor at Loughborough University in March 2003

1954  Professor Anthony Bailey GCSJ was appointed Chairman of All Faiths Community Appeal, Melbourne and made a Trustee of the Music Foundation, St Paul’s Anglican Cathedral, Melbourne.

1956  Edward Lyndon-Stanford was appointed President of the CNIPA (Committee of the National Patent Attorney Institutes of Europe)

1968  Dr Peter Handford was appointed Professor of Law at the University of Western Australia in November 2004
1969  **Rear Admiral Roger Ainsley** RN was promoted to Rear Admiral and appointed Flag Officer Sea Training on 27 April 2004

1970  **Professor Bob Grant** was appointed Professor of Cultural & Political Thought, Department of English Literature, Glasgow University in August 2004

**George Murdoch** was appointed a member of the Editorial Panel of English Language Teaching Journal (OUP/The British Council)

1971  **John Ellard** was appointed Lawyer of Counsel at Shearman & Sterling LLP on 1 November 2004

**Thomas Sharpe QC** was made a Bencher, Lincoln’s Inn on 1 November 2004

**Colonel George Young** was appointed Senior Military Adviser for the UK Delegation to the OSGE, Vienna for six months. He is now Senior British Liaison Officer at the French MOD in Paris, from September 2004

1972  **Dr Anthony Ward** was appointed Dean of the University of Kent, Medway on 1 May 2004

1973  **Professor Tony Narula** MB, FRCS, FRCS(ED) was elected to the Council of the Royal College of Surgeons of England 2004–2010

1974  **Rick Bacon** was appointed Executive Vice President International at PRG Schultz Inc.

1975  **Dr Stephen Warren** received PPARC Senior Research Fellowship.

1977  **Professor John Bowen** was appointed Visiting Professor at the University of California for June and July 2004

**Professor Alex Danchev** FRSA was appointed Professor of International Relations at the University of Nottingham on 1 August 2004

1978  **Penelope Barrett** was appointed a Criminal Cases Review Commissioner for 5 years in May 2004

**Andy Sparkes** was appointed HM Ambassador to the Democratic Republic of Congo (resident Kinshasa) and also Ambassador (non-resident) to the Republic of the Congo in July 2004

1983  **Dr Tim Barringer** was appointed to a Senior Fellowship at the Getty Research Institute, Los Angeles 2004/5

1985  **Justice Air Commodore Vijay Rajah** SC was appointed Judicial Commissioner of Singapore on 2 January 2004 and was appointed Judge at the Supreme Court of Singapore on 2 January 2004

1987  **Ms Kate Holmes** was appointed Development Officer at the Action Learning for Managers Project.

1988  **Chief Inspector Mark Anstiss** was promoted to Chief Inspector of Hong Kong Police on 26 July 2004

**David Ehinger** was appointed Counsellor (Economic) to the Canadian Embassy in Berlin, September 2003

**Ms Anna Garland** was appointed Advocate for Juvenile Prisoners, Voice for the Child in Care, July 2004

116
1992  **Dr Judy Boughey** (née Szemere) was appointed Fellow in Breast Surgery & Surgical Oncology at MD Anderson Cancer Centre, Houston, Texas beginning July 2005

**Mrs Sarah Matthews** (née Webster) was appointed Fellow of the Australian College of Veterinary Scientists in Equine Surgery.

1997  **Rajan Jain** qualified as a solicitor of the Supreme Court of England and Wales in March 2004 and was appointed US Attorney & Counselor-at-law, State Supreme Court of New York in September 2004

**Michael Ottolenghi** was elected Editor-in-Chief of the *Fordham Law Review*, Volume 73 (April 2004)

1998  **Professor Jim Passamano** was appointed a Captain in the Texas State Guard, 4th Air Wing, 447th Support Group as a Staff Judge Advocate by the Texas Adjutant General on 1 February 2004

2000  **Vladimir Kara-Murza** was appointed Washington Bureau Chief, RTVI TV Channel, Russia in April 2004

**FORMER FELLOWS**

**Dr John Lennard** was appointed Professor of British & American Literature, University of the West Indies, Jamaica.

**Professor Alain Wijffels** has been made an Associate Member of the Royal Academy, Brussels in December 2004

**Recent Publications**

1931  **Professor Geoffrey Stagg** MBE published “The Curious Case of the Suspect Epistle” *Cervantes*, vol XXII, no 1, 2003


**Philip Scowcroft** published *Railways in British Crime Fiction*, CADS, 2004


1955  **Professor John Adair** published *Creative Church Leadership* with John Nelson, SCM-Canterbury, 2004

1958  **Dr Chris Penn** FRCR published ‘Military Doctors’, *Journal of Medical Biography* 12, pp126–7, 2004 and ‘Sir William Burnett, Professional Head of the Royal Naval Medical Department and Entrepreneur’, *Journal of Medical Biography* 12, pp141–146, 2004
1960 **Professor Donald Wesling** published *Balltin and the Social Moorings of Poetry*, Bucknell University Press, 2003

1961 **Professor Geoffrey Pridham** published *Designing Democracy: EU Enlargement and Regime Change in Post-Communist Europe*, Palgrave Macmillan, 2005


1965 **Professor Tony Griffiths** published *Scandinavia at War with Trolls — a Modern History from the Napoleonic Era to the Third Millenium*, Palgrave Macmillan, New York, 2004

1968 **Dr Peter Handford** published *Limitation of Actions: the Australian Law*, Lawbook Co, Sydney, September 2004


1975 **Neill Fraser** published *Bentley Beauty*, a book covering the coachwork of Derby Bentleys 1933–1940, June 2004


1983 **Dr Tim Barringer** published *Men at Work: Art and Labour in Victorian Britain*, Yale University Press, 2005

1987 **Ms Kate Holmes** published *Action Learning Matters*. ISBN 0 953994 04 X

1989 **Biko Agozino** published *Counter-colonial Criminology*, Pluto, London and was co-editor on *Pan-African Issues in Criminology*, Ashgate, Aldershot.

1997 **Dr Frank Bleckmann** published “Victim offender reconciliation: Penal sanction or alternative to the penal law?” *Zeitschrift Fur Rechtssoziologie*, vol 25, no 1, pp79–106


2002 **Mrs Elizabeth Tomlinson** published “Same-sex relationships: going with the grain or judicial vandalism?” *Cambridge Law Journal*, November 2004

**FORMER FELLOW**

**Dr John Lennard** published *The Poetry Handbook*, 2nd Ed, OUP, 2005
Marriages, Engagements and Anniversaries

1937 Michael Thornely and Jennifer celebrated their golden wedding anniversary on 5 April 2004

1940 George Calvert and Sheila celebrated their diamond wedding anniversary on 27 October 2004

1942 Derek Curling and Carol celebrated their ruby wedding anniversary in December 2002

1961 Chris Ennals and Birgitta celebrated their ruby wedding anniversary in 2004

1962 Nicholas Balmforth and Victoria celebrated their ruby wedding anniversary in 2004

1967 Bill Webb married Ying Xu on 21 February 2004

1986 Tim Jervis married Claire McDougall on 17 December 2004

1988 Ade Odunsi married Sasadi Boothe on 16 August 2003

1991 Gordon Dunfield-Prayero married Danielle Bolton (1992) on 1 June 2004


Kirsty Colman married David Huxley on 1 July 2004

1993 Gareth Webber married Shannon Gaffaney on 30 April 2004


David Cassidy married Hilary Russell (1994) on 5 June 2004


1996 Ian Glover married Julie Sadler on 29 May 2004


Ilona Klimova married Duncan Alexander (1995)

Irena Nikolic married Justin Jacinto (1999) on 2 July 2004

1997 Juliet Rolls married Richard Stafford Bostock in Corpus Christi College on 21 August 2004

Simon Halliwell married Emma Waring (Newnham) in Trinity Hall Chapel on 17 July 2004

Tobias Jung married Alexandra Maier on 18 December 2003

1999 Claire Mawhinney married Stephen Evans on 10 September 2004

Justin Jacinto married Irena Nikolic (1996) on 2 July 2004

Emily Taylor married Alexander Wilkinson (Queens’) on 3 July 2004

2000 Chris Balmer married Jenny Trapp on 11 September 2004

Vladimir Kara-Murza married Evgenia Kulikova in February 2004
Births

1967  Bill Webb and Ying Xu welcomed son Robert Hugh on 26 November 2004
1975  Dr Stephen Warren and Helen welcomed George Fitzgerald, a brother for Charlotte, on 12 January 2004
1977  Dr Anne Louise Jennings welcomed daughter Imogen Rosalind, a sister for Jack, on 15 August 2004
      John McKernan and Kate welcomed son Edward on 14 July 2004
      Mrs Elizabeth Mellen Ikose (née Mellen) welcomed son Patrick Emmanuel
1979  Jonathan Darnborough and Claire welcomed daughter Rebecca on 7 July 2003
1980  Dr Ann Brown (née Cheesman) and Andy welcomed daughter Emma Louise on 2 August 2004
      Dr Donald Robertson and Ms Christobel Kent (1981) welcomed daughter Beatrice Evelyn Garnet Robertson on 3 November 2003
1981  Ms Mary Hockaday welcomed Torin Alex, a brother for Florence, on 4 May 2004
      Ms Christobel Kent and Dr Donald Robertson (1980) welcomed daughter Beatrice Evelyn Garnet Robertson on 3 November 2003
1983  Richard Coghlan and Sarah welcomed daughter Eleanor on 6 November 2003
      Andrew Dowden and Jocelyn welcomed daughter Naomi on 31 May 2004
      Chris Stuart and Anna welcomed son Thomas, a brother for Alexander and Ben, on 8 September 2003
1984  Ms Jane Pepperall and Graham Teskey welcomed twins Geordan and Molly on 6 July 2004
1985  Adam Isaacs and Miriam welcomed son Benjamin Samuel Peter on 22 June 2004
      Michael Walkington and Mrs Lynn Walkington (née McCamley, 1989) welcomed twins Phoebe and Grace on 23 May 2004
1987  Ms Kate Holmes and Paul welcomed daughter Willow Laura Davis-Holmes on 9 April 2004
      Andrew Munn and Rosemary welcomed son Steffan Hywel David on 2 April 2004
1988  Ms Anna Garland and Roger Cookson welcomed daughter Loveday, a sister for Rowan, on 3 September 2004
      Mitch Reynolds and Amanda welcomed daughter Jessica on 22 November 2004
      Ms Fiona Sandeman Hall (née Stewart Sandeman) and Matthew welcomed son Brodick on 12 August 2004
1989
James Matanky and Aviva welcomed son Eugene Jonah Samet (Jo) on 10 March 2004
Laurence Townley and Cecilia welcomed son Vincent James, a brother for Francis, on 2 September 2004
Mrs Lynn Walkington (née McCamley) and Michael Walkington (1985) welcomed twins Phoebe and Grace on 23 May 2004

1990
Nick Jamieson and Sophie welcomed daughter Pippa, a sister for Alister, on 5 September 2004
Dr Sarah Ogilvie (née Humber) and husband Paul welcomed son William on 23 August 2004
Dr Anna Shrimpton (née Wilson) and Daniel Shrimpton welcomed daughter Eva Ruth Ellen on 11 November 2003

1991
Iain Drayton and Mariko welcomed daughter Annabel Mariko Sophia on 29 March 2004
Chris McKinlay and Fiona welcomed daughter Cassidy Jane on 1 April 2004
Sarah Pitt welcomed son Solomon on 6 November 2004

1992
Dr Sarah Barrett Jones (née Barrett) and Lindsay Jones (1995) welcomed daughter Rianna Mary on 7 November 2004
Dr Judy Boughey (née Szemere) and Andy welcomed son Jonathan in 2004
Uday Nidumolu (Gurazada) and Bhanu welcomed daughter Shreya on 24 June 2004
Andrew Powell and Alison welcomed daughter Chloe in March 2003
Ms Wendy Russell Barter (née Russell) and Gary welcomed daughter Alice May Barter on 8 December 2004

1993
Mrs Emily Church (née Jones) and Pete Church welcomed daughter Evelyn Lucy on 9 August 2004

1994
Brian Moss and Jennifer welcomed daughter Emeline Moreland, a sister for a thrilled brother Will, on 17 February 2004

1995
Lindsay Jones and Dr Sarah Barrett Jones (née Barrett, 1992) welcomed daughter Rianna Mary on 7 November 2004

1996
Birgitte Boonstra and Wilfred Booij welcomed daughter Lillian Elene on 9 April 2004

1997
Dr Frank Bleckmann and Paula welcomed third son Anselm Bartholomaus on 7 August 2004

1998
Donna Smith and Declan Murphy welcomed daughter Aoife Victoria, a sister for Caitlin, on 28 October 2004

1999
Dr Christof Lessenich and Benedetta Chiodini welcomed son Jakob on 15 July 2004
Dynasties

The following resident students are related to Trinity Hall alumni:

**Steven Cooper** (2002) is the son of **Andrew Cooper** (1971)
**James Griffiths** (2003) is the son of **Paul Griffiths** (1976)
**Sam Hole** (2003) is the son of **Roderick Hole** (1958)
**David Lewis-Smith** (2004) is the son of **Dr Paul Lewis-Smith** (1967)
**Miles Loveday** (2001) is the son of **Colin Loveday** (1967)
**Zoe Lunnon** (1997) is the daughter of **Bob Lunnon** (1970)
**Rachel Mundy** (2003) is the daughter of **Dr Keith Mundy FRCP** (1971)
**Euan Spence** (2001) is the brother of **Graeme Spence** (2004)
**Alice Turtle** (2003) is the brother of **William Turtle** (2000), daughter of **Trevor Turtle** (1971), niece of **Tim Guest** (1971) and granddaughter of **Gordon Guest** (1941)
**Ben Wylie** (2001) is the son of **Bartow Wylie** (1969), nephew of **Malcolm Wylie** (1967) and grandson of **Shaun Wylie** (Fellow 1939–1958, Honorary Fellow 1980–)

Deaths

1928  **Bernard Matthew Bacon** died on 8 October 2003
     **Denis George Richards** OBE died on 25 November 2004
1929  **Guy Hamilton Clarke** CMG died on 20 June 2004
1930  **Victor Montgomery Cannon Brookes** died on 18 April 2004
1931  **Professor Geoffrey Leonard Stagg** MBE died on 10 November 2004
1932  **Leslie Frank Newbold** died on 22 February 2004
1934  **Peter Francis Milling** FBA died on 31 October 2004
1935  **Dr Nelson Berkoff** died on 28 April 2004
     **Revd Derrick Meridyth Brookes** died on 21 January 2003
     **Ronald William Poulton** died on 12 November 2001
1936  **Mark Graham Holloway** died in September 2004
     **David Grantham Page** died on 29 July 2004
1937  **Professor Peter Fitzgerald-Moore** MC died on 5 May 2004
1938  **Frank Patrick Cook** died in 2004
     **Dennis Everard Walker** died on 17 October 2004
     **Jack Dallin Yelf** died in 2003
1939  **Charles Robinson Ditchfield** died on 26 May 2004
1940  **Dr (Jack) John William Hay Elder** MBE MRCGP died on 10 May 2004
     **(Jim) John Herbert Wyndham Wilder** CBE died on 13 April 2004
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date of Death</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1941</td>
<td>B David James Walsh TD</td>
<td>11 June 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1942</td>
<td>E Russell Bailey</td>
<td>24 October 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Andrew Harold Browne</td>
<td>7 August 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Robin Hugh Mellish</td>
<td>5 March 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1944</td>
<td>Colin Lloyd Evans</td>
<td>9 May 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Michael Donald Rutnam</td>
<td>9 May 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1945</td>
<td>Sir David John Charlton Meyrick Bt</td>
<td>6 February 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1946</td>
<td>J Norman Scott CBE</td>
<td>3 June 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1947</td>
<td>John Anthony Sanderson Hall DFC QC</td>
<td>5 January 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D John Morris</td>
<td>2 April 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1949</td>
<td>Charles Page Barsted</td>
<td>25 September 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ian Douglas Hood</td>
<td>25 April 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1950</td>
<td>Lieutenant Colonel Alan Henry Blanford</td>
<td>2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1951</td>
<td>John Michael Shearman TD</td>
<td>2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1954</td>
<td>Arthur Samuel Miller Clarke</td>
<td>8 March 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>John Frederick Ewing</td>
<td>7 July 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Professor (Ned) Edwin Noel Perrin</td>
<td>21 November 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1955</td>
<td>Dr Murray Goodman</td>
<td>1 June 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1956</td>
<td>Professor Mordechai Folman</td>
<td>19 October 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1957</td>
<td>David Walton Horne</td>
<td>7 November 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1963</td>
<td>Stephen Cranston Roberts</td>
<td>28 March 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1964</td>
<td>Professor Albert David Hutter</td>
<td>25 November 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1965</td>
<td>Paul Stephen Shrank</td>
<td>24 October 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1967</td>
<td>E G Paul Clairmonte</td>
<td>12 July 2004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Former Fellow**

Professor George Heard Hamilton died on 29 March 2004
Obituaries

Denis Richards OBE (1928)

Denis George Richards was born on 10 September 1910 in London. He was educated at the Northern Polytechnic and Owen’s School, from where he won an exhibition to Trinity Hall. There he took a first in both parts of the History tripos.

In 1931 he obtained a post at Manchester Grammar School where for the next eight years he taught history and English. In 1936 he got his first commission for a book, which became An Illustrated History of Modern Europe, published in 1938. It was well reviewed but sales were checked when publishers Longmans lost their premises in the London Blitz in 1940. In the meantime, in 1939 he had been appointed senior History and English Master at Bradfield College, where he remained for the next two years.

In 1941 he was called up into the RAF where he trained as a wireless operator. He was subsequently offered a post with the Air Historical Branch, and in 1943 he was sent out to North Africa, from where the Germans had just been expelled, to safeguard the historical records of the campaign. After the end of the war Richards, with co-author Hilary St George Saunders, became involved with a popular history of the RAF, starting work on the first volume in 1947. The work was completed in 1950, but Saunders died before he could read the proofs. The three volume Royal Air Force 1939–45 was published in 1953 and 1954.

In 1949 Richards was appointed a principal in the Air Ministry, but the Civil Service did not suit him. And even though An Illustrated History of Modern Britain became a staple in schools the prospect of devoting all his energies to writing textbooks did not excite him. So when, in 1950, the principalship of Morley College became available, he applied for it and spent the next 15 years happily at the helm of this South London adult education institute.

The tasks facing him were formidable. A parachute mine had wrecked the concert hall in 1940, so his major objectives were to maintain the variety and high standard of the classes, and eventually to rebuild the college. It was not until 1954 that enough money was available for this and the building work was completed in 1958. While at Morley, Richards organised new classes, public lectures and summer schools and the staging of concerts, operas and plays. He also became a successful impresario. Many artists, particularly musicians, found Morley a valuable vehicle for establishing their reputations.
In the meantime he continued to write. In 1947 he had promised Longmans a multivolume history of Britain, and with help of collaborators the books now started to appear. He was the sole author of *Britain under the Tudors and Stuarts* (1958). *Britain 1714–1851* was a collaboration with Anthony Quick; *Britain and the Ancient World* (1963), with JA Bolton; *Britain 1851–1945* (1967) and *Twentieth-Century Britain* (1968) with Quick; and *Medieval Britain* (1973) was written with AW Ellis.

In 1965 Richards had retired from Morley College to have more time for writing, and for the next three years he held the specially created Longman Fellowship of History at Sussex University. In 1990 he was appointed OBE and *It Might Have Been Worse: Recollections 1941–96*, appeared in 1999.

Among other activities, Richards was chairman of the Women’s League of Health and Beauty; treasurer of the English Centre of International PEN, and chairman of the governors of the Purcell School for Young Musicians.

He is survived by his wife of 64 years, Barbara, and by four daughters.

---

**Guy Hamilton Clarke CMG (1929)**

Guy Hamilton Clarke was born on 23 July 1910, the third of four children, to Dr and Mrs Clarke of Leicester. Tragedy hit Guy’s family in 1915 when his father caught TB from a patient and died, leaving his mother with little means. The children were for a time brought up by relatives and friends.

He went, in quick succession, to a number of schools before settling at Wyggeston Grammar School for boys. Here he excelled, became Head Boy and was a guest of the future King, the Duke of York, at a camp in New Romney in Kent. He gained an exhibition in Classics to Trinity Hall, where he obtained a first class degree.

University was a time to flower and Guy read widely, writing poetry and articles; his love of the classics and Trinity Hall were to be the bedrock of his language skills which he was to use so widely in the future. On leaving the Hall he acquired further language skills in French and German, both of which he spoke fluently, and in 1933 he joined the consular services, coming 10th in the national listings and being appointed Vice Consul in the Levant Consular Service.
His consular service took him to Beirut, Turkey in 1936 (where we understand he was influential in keeping Turkey neutral in the Second World War); Corfu, Adana and Baltimore. He also served in Washington, Los Angeles, Bangkok, Jeddah, Kirkuk and Baghdad. He was Ambassador to the Republic of Liberia and the Republic of Guinea, a member of the United Kingdom Delegation to the United Nations General Assembly in New York in 1960 (he was present when Khrushchov thumped the table at Harold Macmillan’s speech); HM Consul General and then Charge d’Affaires in Damascus and finally Ambassador to Nepal, 1962–3 when he retired early through ill health at the comparatively young age of 53.

He was awarded the Most Distinguished Order of St Michael and St George and this is commemorated by a plaque in the special Chapel in St Paul’s Cathedral as he was, at the time of his death, the oldest living holder.

He retired to Newmarket and then in 1981 he moved to Winchester and devoted a great deal of time to Winchester Cathedral translating Latin, Greek and Arabic texts on tombstones and ancient globes.

He remained living on his own, totally independent, until loss of sight forced him to move for the last six months of his life to Borrovere in Alton, where he was wonderfully cared for and the perfect gentleman to the end. He loved beautiful things, especially fine china, listening to Radio 3 and the great classical musical quartets.

Clarke’s was a life well lived, he was a generous and private man who, without fuss, went about doing good.

Taken from the funeral address given by John Ellison FCA.

Victor Cannon Brookes (1930)

Victor Montgomery Cannon Brookes, a solicitor, was the last survivor of those who attended the Council of the Special Operations Executive, the formidable body that directed the subversive Secret Service’s work.

He was born on 24 May 1911, the younger son of a London solicitor. His mother died in the Spanish flu epidemic of 1919 and he was brought up in East Anglia. From Bilton Grange preparatory school, he went to Sherborne before he moved on, by family tradition, to Trinity Hall, where he read Modern Languages and Economics. In 1933 he went to stay in south Germany, to improve his knowledge of the language, and was thus
able to observe at first hand the early stages of the Nazi revolution at work in German society.

Returning to England, he took up his career as a family solicitor and in 1935 he married Nancy Markham Carter, the cousin of a Cambridge friend, his devoted wife for almost 60 years (she died in 1994). Early in the Second World War he received an invitation to join the Ministry of Economic Warfare, where he did much for the Finns during their winter war against the Soviet Union in 1939–40. At MEW he again met Harry Sporborg, a former colleague; and in late summer 1940 Sporborg invited him to join the ministry’s secret appendix, the Special Operations Executive.

In those early days, SOE had to recruit on the old-boy net; its members invited those they knew and trusted already to join them. Sporborg’s choice of Cannon Brookes was fully justified: as a solicitor he understood the need to keep his mouth shut, he had a clear, trained head, he knew something about the enemy, he was prepared to work irregular hours and his personality was stable. He never made an indiscretion, and nothing surprised him.

Cannon Brookes held a series of medium-ranking posts in SOE, with a galaxy of cover initials, mainly as assistant to Sporborg, who rose to be one of the two deputies on whom General Colin Gubbins, SOE’s last executive head, chiefly depended. They dealt mainly with the delicate problem of relations with the governments in exile in London.

In the winter of 1943–44, after Gubbins had taken overall charge, Cannon Brookes succeeded Sporborg as principal private secretary for SOE affairs for the Earl of Selborne, whose cover was that he was Minister of Economic Warfare. As such, it was Cannon Brookes’s duty to attend SOE’s Council. It was his business to summon the members, keep and circulate the minutes, and ensure that Council’s decisions were carried through: no light task, but one calmly and efficiently performed.

On SOE’s abrupt winding-up in January 1946, Cannon Brookes went back to the family law firm Cannon Brookes & Odgers, and picked up as best he could the threads of the business and family affairs he had been running before the war. He was a trustee, and for 26 years a governor, of Bilton Grange, his preparatory school. As a devout Christian, he worked hard for the Society for the Promotion of Christian Knowledge, reorganising its finances.

The Foreign Office kept in touch with those few SOE members who it regarded as entirely reliable; he was one of them. His Christian faith made him sternly anti-Stalinist; and the research of Peter Lashmar and James
Oliver for their 1998 book *Britain’s Secret Propaganda War*, revealed that Cannon Brookes was the front man for several news agencies and publishing firms, ostensibly quite independent, which spread round the Near and Middle East notions of how the free world should run. In fact, he soldiered on for nearly 30 years more, well into the Cold War, while preserving his public face as a genial, safe, reliable family man.

He is survived by two sons.

Taken from *The Independent* (13 May 2004).

**Professor Geoffrey Stagg OBE (1931)**

A pre-eminent scholar of the works of Cervantes, Professor Stagg began his academic career with a BA from Trinity Hall, graduating with a double first in the Medieval and Modern Languages Tripos in both French and Spanish. He attended Harvard University on the Joseph Hodges Choate Memorial Scholarship (recommended by the Chancellor of Cambridge University) and earned an MA in Romance Philology. Returning to England, he was appointed Modern Languages Master at Taunton School and King Edward School, and Lecturer in French and Spanish at Birmingham University.

During the Second World War he served in the British Intelligence Corps in England, Gibraltar, North Africa and Italy. Winston Churchill described his contribution to the war effort as “splendid”; he was commended by the British Foreign Office and in 1945 he was decorated as a Member of the Order of the British Empire.

After the war, he was posted to Vienna, where he helped track down suspected war criminals. He was offered the position of Deputy Chief of Denazification, but chose to return to academic life and an appointment as Lecturer in Nottingham University’s Department of Languages. In 1954, he was asked to head the university’s newly-created Department of Spanish.

He married Amy Southwell, and in 1956 he took his young family to Canada. There he became a Professor and Chairman of the Department of Italian, Spanish and Portuguese at the University of Toronto, a department he guided to international stature. After his retirement in 1977, he
continued to make significant contributions to the Cervantine scholarship, and even during his last hospitalisation, he fretted about the articles that remained unfinished on his desk.

He died in Toronto, aged 91, after a lengthy illness.

**Professor Peter Fitzgerald-Moore MC (1937)**

Peter Fitzgerald-Moore was born in London on 28 May 1919 and died in Calgary on 25 May 2004.

Fitzgerald-Moore was educated at private school in Switzerland and England (Marlborough) before entering the University of Cambridge from where he obtained a Master’s Degree. During the 1939–1945 war he served with the Royal Artillery, being decorated with the Military Cross while engaged as a Forward Observation Officer in Italy with the Eighth Indian Division.

After the war he entered the service of the Royal Dutch Shell group of companies for whom he worked for 39 years as a geologist in various countries. The trilobite species *Pseudodechenella petermorrei ormiston* was named after him. At one time he was Chief Geologist for Shell Canada Ltd. After his retirement, he undertook contract work for the Geological Survey of Canada. He then joined the Faculty of General Studies at the University of Calgary, developing the course ‘Science, Technology and Contemporary Society’, which he taught for 12 years before retiring in 1998 with the rank of Adjunct Associate Professor.

Fitzgerald-Moore had a strong sense of public service, which led him to stand for election in the then independent town of Bowness, of which he became Mayor in 1958; his modernisation of the town’s garbage and policing services were featured in *Time* magazine. He held several public offices such as President of the Calgary branches of the Humanities Association of Canada, and of the Council of Canadians and, for three years held the office of Senator of the University of Calgary. His most controversial activity was as Chairman of the Metric Commission’s Sector Committee for the Oil and Gas Industry. The plans and activities of this committee were partially crippled by the americanisation of Canadian industry, a trend to which he was strongly opposed. He was a fixture at every activist event and cause in Calgary.
He started a personal salon, Conversazione, as an informal follow-up to meetings of Calgary’s Apeiron Society for the Practice of Philosophy. Conversazione, dubbed a drinking group with a philosophy problem, met every second Tuesday at a local pub, a decent beer selection being a must. No matter the topic – economics, philosophy, science, literature – he could effortlessly wrap historical context around new developments with boundless curiosity and breadth of learning. Rational understanding and teaching were his raisons d’être. He excelled at clarifying complex ideas with linguistic brevity and crispness of thought, never using language to intimidate, only to expose. In the last two years of his life he helped restart yet another venue for reflective public dialogue, a monthly Philosophy Café.

He is survived by his wife Marlene, two daughters and a son.

Charles Ditchfield (1939)

Charles Robinson Ditchfield was an outstanding scientist of the very highest calibre. At the early age of 9 he passed the scholarship exam and gained a place at Morecambe Grammar School, where he became a prefect and eventually the Head Boy. He then moved to Cambridge University, where he studied Natural Science.

After graduating he was directed to the Ministry of Defence. He worked for almost 40 years at the Malvern Research Establishment, which has been successively named TRE, RRE, RSRE, DRA, DERA and QINETIQ. Ditchfield was one of the radar pioneers, working on both ground and airborne radars during the war years.

After the war he joined a new division at TRE, which was set up to exploit the hitherto unused millimetre wave region in the electromagnetic spectrum. At an early stage in this work Ditchfield invented a unique plug-in waveguide mixer. He was awarded a British Patent for this invention, which was manufactured in large quantities and gave Britain a world lead in the millimetre wave radar field.

Ditchfield next turned his attention to millimetre wave radiometers – to measure weak random noise signals. In 1954 he was the leader of a team sent to Norway to measure the 8mm radiation from the sun during the total eclipse of 30 June. During the next 2 years he supervised the
development of a device code-named ‘green minnow’. This was the world’s first multi-channel airborne radiometer. It was installed in a Hastings airliner and half-filled the passenger area inside the plane. Work on this type of system has continued at Malvern for many years, and a smaller, modern version of ‘green minnow’ is now being used at the French end of the Channel Tunnel to detect illegal immigrants hiding inside canvas-sided lorries.

A new revolutionary type of low noise amplifier was invented in America in 1957. The MASER is so sensitive it enables radio contact to be maintained with a space probe right out to the edge of our planetary system. Ditchfield was put in charge of a new division to investigate the MASER and in 1958 he devised a system which enabled the MASER to work at a temperature of 77K – much more easily obtained than the 4K needed by the original MASER. Next he moved to the Airborne Radar Department to work on a secret project.

In 1968 international markets demanded Britain developed national standards for calibration certificates for microwave equipment. Ditchfield was put in charge of one of two teams to design and produce the standards that covered all frequencies of interest. With the help of Jim Taylor and Bob Wormauld he produced what was undoubtedly the world’s most accurate microwave piston attenuator. He left RSRE in 1981 and continued to work part time for the next 10 years as an independent assessor and consultant for the British Calibration Service.

He found the time to cope with huge amounts of paperwork, help his colleagues and encourage those who were striving for further qualifications as well as being a dedicated family man. He was a DIY enthusiast and greatly enjoyed holidays in Spain and the Balearic Islands.

He is survived by his wife Brenda, a son and daughter from his first marriage and two stepsons.

From his daughter, Karen Hill.

Sir David Meyrick Bt (1945)

David John Charlton Meyrick was born on 2 December 1926, into a family that had lived in Pembrokeshire since the 16th century. The eldest of six children born to Colonel Sir Thomas Meyrick, 3rd Bt, and his wife Ivy, he was brought up at the family home of Bush House.

David was educated at Eton, where he excelled at rowing, and then at
Trinity Hall, where he took a degree in estate management. He rowed for the latter in the Head of the River race in 1947 and the following year was part of the Cambridge crew that defeated Oxford. The 1948 win set a Boat Race record that stood for more than a quarter of a century. The Leander eight were selected to row for Great Britain in the London Olympics a month later, again at Henley; they won the silver medal, with the gold going to the United States.

After coming down, Meyrick became resident land agent on the Earl of Coventry’s Croome Estate in Worcestershire and in 1962 he married Penelope Marsden Smedley. Seven years later they and their three young sons moved to Pembrokeshire, where Meyrick began farming. His thoroughness, detailed knowledge and high standards soon created a well-run enterprise, which was highly regarded within the Pembrokeshire farming community.

David Meyrick served for many years on the Council of the Royal Agricultural Society, as the representative for South Wales. He was also a conscientious steward at the Royal Show at Stoneleigh, and an active member of the National Farmers’ Union, the Country Landowners’ and Rural Business Association in Pembrokeshire. As chairman of the NFU’s milk committee, he was admired for his incisive mind and sound judgement. He was also a member of the Narberth Grassland Society, a founding member of the local branch of Farming, Forestry & Wildlife Advisory Group and served on the local committees of many other countryside organisations.

He succeeded in the baronetcy and inherited the present family home at Gumfreston on the death of his father in 1983. He renamed it Bush House, in honour of his childhood home; and as a memorial to his father, he and his brother Richard commissioned and published a history of the Meyricks. As befitted a man whose family motto was *Heb dhu, heb dhim, dhu a digon* (Without God, without anything, God is enough), Meyrick had a strong Christian faith and was a regular worshipper at St Mary’s Church, Tenby. In the family tradition he was also a Freemason, and as Master of the Loyal Welsh Lodge in Pembroke Dock he occupied a position previously held by his grandfather.

David Meyrick was a quiet and dignified man, with a nicely judged sense of humour and a discerning enjoyment of the good things in life. He was dutiful, loyal and known for his integrity. Sailing, riding and walking with his family were among his greatest joys.

He is survived by his wife and three sons.

Taken from *The Daily Telegraph* (25 March 2004)
John Hall DFC QC (1947)

John Anthony Sanderson Hall, who has died aged 82, prospered during 50 years at the Bar, having previously come to prominence for destroying two German bombers attacking London on the night of January 21/22 1944.

He and his navigator, John “Jock” Cairns, had been scrambled in their Mosquito. They shot down a Dornier bomber over the sea and later a Junkers 88; this double success the first achieved by their squadron. On landing, Hall and Cairns were whisked off to London to meet the press, and the Sunday papers spread their photographs and details of their triumph across the front pages, dubbing the pair “the Fighting Tigers”.

John Hall was born in Oxford on Christmas Day 1921. His father was William Glenvil Hall MP and from him John inherited a belief in practical action rather than ideology, along with a sense of decency and respect for the rights of individuals.

He attended the Quaker co-educational Leighton Park School, Reading, then worked briefly as a publisher’s reader and studied at the Sorbonne before returning to this country and joining the RAF at the height of the Battle of Britain. After training as a night-fighter pilot, Hall joined No 85 Squadron, and then excelled on an air gunnery course. He became an instructor at No 51 Operational Training Unit and likened the problem of the basics of air-to-air shooting to shooting game birds when an estimate of “range, line and deflection” held the key to success.

Hall joined No 488 Squadron of the Royal New Zealand Air Force in November 1943 and was crewed up with Cairns, an experienced radar operator. After shooting down their fifth German bomber in May, both Hall and Cairns were awarded the DFC. Hall scored his last kill on 27 March 1945. He pressed home the attack at such close range that his Mosquito was hit by debris from the enemy bomber. Hall managed to crash land on an airfield in Holland; his aircraft destroyed. A few days later he received a Bar to his DFC.

Jock Cairns wrote of their experiences in Owls and Fools Fly at Night (2003). He recalled that, on being alerted to unexpected incoming tracer fire, “my revered buddy metamorphosed from his languid observer role into the world’s finest night acrobatic pilot”.
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After leaving the RAF at the end of 1946, Hall went up to Trinity Hall to read Law. He was called to the Bar by Inner Temple in November 1948. With a young family to support, he initially spent his evenings libel reading at the Daily Mirror, but he eventually built a successful common law and commercial practice in London and on the Western Circuit. He took Silk in 1967 and shortly afterwards became head of chambers.

Hall was Deputy Chairman of Hampshire Quarter Sessions from 1967; Recorder of Swindon from 1971 and Recorder of the Crown Court until 1978. Active within the Inner Temple he became a Bencher in 1975. He served on the Bar Council, the Senate of the Four Inns of Court and the Bar, The Council of Legal Education and as UK delegate to the Consultative Committees to the Bars and Law Societies of the EEC. He was also a partner in a legal practice in Paris.

In 1979 he left the Bar. He served as a member of the Foreign Compensation Commission from 1983 to 1991. He returned to the Bar as an arbitrator in the new field of financial services as a founder and director of the City Disputes Panel, and chairman of the Securities and Futures Panel of Arbitrators.

Generous of spirit, Hall took great interest in the development of young people, and was a trustee of the Ross McWhirter Foundation, giving awards to those who had shown outstanding courage. From 1967 until 1988 he served as a governor of St Catherine’s School, Bramley, where he gave energetic support for its development, including a wing known as Hall House.

Hall was an avid reader of history, an excellent shot and an accomplished fly fisherman.

He is survived by his second wife Elizabeth, by the son and two daughters of his first marriage, and by two stepchildren.

Taken from The Daily Telegraph.

Professor Ned Perrin (1954)

Noel Perrin, a widely read scholar, essayist and critic, died on Sunday at his home in Thetford Center, Vermont. He was 77.

At his death, Mr Perrin was Emeritus Professor of English and an Adjunct Professor of Environmental Studies at Dartmouth, where he had taught for more than four decades.

Well known as a book critic and as a contributor to The New Yorker, Perrin wrote more than a dozen books. He was best known for his collections of autobiographical essays about the pleasures and occasional perils
of life on a Vermont farm, beginning, in 1978, with First Person Rural. As the series progressed, his work became the benchmark against which other aspirants to the rural-writing genre were measured. What he was really writing, in chronicling the ebb and flow of everyday farm life, was a social history of the modern rural experience.

Reviewing the next installment, Second Person Rural, in The New York Times Book Review in 1980, Roy Blount Jr. wrote: “This is a dangerous book. It almost made me decide to go ahead and get pigs.”

Perrin, always called Ned, was born Edwin Noel Perrin in Manhattan on 18 September 1927. He received a BA in English from Williams College in 1949, an MA from Duke in 1950 and, after army service in the Korean War, an MLitt from Cambridge University in 1958. In 1959, he joined the faculty at Dartmouth, where he specialized in modern poetry, in particular the work of Robert Frost.

Several of his books, while accessible to a general readership, were scholarly in nature. Among them were Dr Bowdler’s Legacy: A History of Expurgated Books in England and America (1969) and Giving Up the Gun: Japan’s Reversion to the Sword, 1543–1879 (1979). An ardent environmentalist, he also wrote Solo: Life With an Electric Car (1992), a chronicle of a cross-country trip that turned out to involve very little driving and abundant towing.

Perrin also explored the pleasures of reading itself. A Reader’s Delight (1988) was a collection of rhapsodic essays about his favorite books, all obscure, most long out of print. Several of the titles were reissued as a result. His other books include A Child’s Delight (1997),Third Person Rural (1983) and, in 1991, when he had run out of grammatical possibilities, Last Person Rural.

He is survived by his wife, Sara Coburn, two daughters from his first marriage, four stepchildren, one grandchild and four step-grandchildren.

Taken from the New York Times (25 November 2004)

Dr Murray Goodman (1955)

Murray Goodman, Professor of Chemistry and Biochemistry at the University of California, San Diego, and a pioneer in the field of peptide chemistry, died from pneumonia on 1 June 2004 in Munich, Germany, while on a lecture tour. He was 75.
Born and raised in New York City, Goodman received a BS degree from Brooklyn College in 1950. He earned a PhD from UC Berkeley in 1953. After graduation, he conducted postdoctoral research at Massachusetts Institute of Technology and later at Cambridge University. Goodman began his work in peptide chemistry, then an emerging science, during this time.

In 1956, Goodman joined the faculty at the Polytechnic Institute of Brooklyn, eventually becoming director of the school’s Polymer Research Institute. Goodman joined the UC San Diego faculty in 1970 as a Professor of Chemistry and served as chair of the department for six years. In addition to his academic duties, he served as editor-in-chief of the journal *Biopolymers* from 1963 until his death.

He published nearly 500 scientific papers and, according to his colleagues, his research influenced fundamental chemical science and the pharmaceutical industry. With his collaborators and other researchers, he tested the biological effectiveness of man-made peptides, the study of how their structure contributed to their function and used the information to make enhancements. His work at UCSD, considered to be on the cutting edge of peptide synthesis, involved applying the latest molecular imaging techniques to determine peptide structure. The work’s practical applications included the development of anti-cancer drugs, pain medication, artificial sweeteners and artificial growth hormones.

“One of the things that was so refreshing about Murray was that he didn’t just stick to the same familiar laboratory techniques,” said Clifford Kubiak, chairman of UCSD’s Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry. “He was always learning and pioneering new methods. The younger people in peptide chemistry have been deeply influenced by Murray.” Joseph Taulane, Dr Goodman’s assistant and laboratory director, said Dr Goodman took pride in mentoring and launching the careers of young scientists.

For his achievements, Goodman was honoured with numerous awards. He was elected as a Fellow of the American Association for the Advancement of Science in 1984 and as a foreign member of the Russian Academy of Sciences in 1999. He was recently honoured with an endowed professorship in his name, the Goodman Chair in Chemistry.

In his personal life, Goodman was active in San Diego’s Jewish community. He served as president of the Congregation Beth El synagogue in La Jolla and was on the board of the Hillel Foundation and the La Jolla County Day School.
Goodman is survived by his wife, Zelda of 53 years, three sons and six grandchildren.

**Professor Mordechai Folman (1956)**

Professor Mordechai Folman was born in Lodz, Poland in 1923. During World War II he was in the Nazi concentration camps. After the war he completed his MSc studies in chemical engineering at the polytechnic school of Lodz in 1950. He then immigrated to Israel with his wife. He continued his studies in the Chemistry Department of the Technion and was the first student there to be awarded a PhD in chemistry. He then went to Cambridge to receive another PhD from the Department of Colloidal Chemistry. He returned to the Technion as a Faculty member and was promoted to full Professor in 1967. He held the Yaacov Dori Chair, and served as Dean of the Faculty of Chemistry in 1976–1979. He was also involved in establishing the Institute for Solid State research and acted as its first Chairman.

Folman was an excellent teacher who educated many generations of students in physical chemistry, surface chemistry and thermodynamics. He was the mentor of over 30 PhD and MSc students, most of them holding major positions in industry and academy. He established the area of surface chemistry in the Technion as a new subject of basic interdisciplinary research, with far reaching applications especially in heterogeneous catalysis. This was a new area of research that did not exist in any other academic institution in the country.

The combination of originality and long range outlook in choosing research subjects attracted outstanding students to his group. In the early 80’s, he established together with other scientists, the Laboratory for Surface Research in the Institute of Solid State in the Technion. This Laboratory was unique in the whole country and was designed to service various research groups in the academy and in industry. It was also a center for basic research and it widened the scope of basic catalysis research to new and exciting directions, using the very advanced analytical tools of the Laboratory.

Folman had a wide outlook on scientific research. He was an outstanding scientist and a perfect human being. During his tenure as Dean of the Faculty he succeeded in attracting bright young faculty members and was a role model for all of us.

From Professor Ehud Keinan, Dean of the Department of Chemistry, Technion, Israel.
Professor George Hamilton

George Heard Hamilton, former Director of the Sterling and Francine Clark Art Institute and Professor of Art, Emeritus, at Williams College, died on the 29 March 2004 in Williamstown. He was 93.

Considered one of the foremost interpreters of early modern art, his work widely influenced scholarship in the field. His nine books included Manet and His Critics (1954), Painting and Sculpture in Europe, 1880–1940 (1967), and Nineteenth and Twentieth Century Art: Painting, Sculpture, Architecture (1970). He taught from 1936 to 1966 at Yale University and served as associate director of the Yale University Art Gallery.

In 1966 he moved from New Haven to Williamstown to join the Sterling and Francine Clark Art Institute. He founded and served as the first director of the Graduate Program in the History of Art, a master’s degree program run jointly by the Clark and Williams College.

“As one of the foremost historians, critics and scholars of the 20th century, George left an indelible impact on the Clark and the museum world” said Michael Conforti, director of the Clark Art Institute. “He is one of the few notable art historians of the last half of the 20th century who chose to oversee an art museum. He founded the Clark’s art history library, and he established a graduate program in the History of Art, which has become one of the leading programs of its kind in the United States”.

Hamilton also initiated the Clark’s full-scale exhibition program in 1976. Under his leadership, an important building addition housing the library, auditorium, and exhibition galleries was completed in 1973. His noteworthy acquisitions include Monet’s Rouen Cathedral, two major print collections and a 1968 gift of twelve Northern Renaissance paintings.

Hamilton served as a trustee of the Museum of Modern Art in New York, vice-chair of the Hirshhorn Museum and Sculpture Garden in Washington, and vice-president of the Hill-Stead Museum in Farmington, Connecticut. He had also been president of the College Art Association of America, a trustee of the Association of Art Museum Directors, and president of the American section of the International Association of Art Critics.

In 1970 Hamilton was elected to the American Academy of Arts and Sciences and in 1973 he was named a Fellow of the Royal Society of Arts.
in London. He was selected as Slade Professor of Art at the University of Cambridge in 1971 and as the Samuel H Kress Professor in Residence at the National Gallery of Art in 1978–79. He earned Yale Graduate School’s Wilbur Lucius Cross Medal and the Association of Art Dealers of America’s Award for Distinguished Accomplishment in Art History. Williams awarded him an honorary degree in 1977 and the Graduate Program in Art History initiated The George Heard Hamilton Lecture Series in 1989.

He retired from Williams in 1975 but continued to teach until 1985 in the Graduate Program. He retired as director of the Clark in 1977.

Professor Hamilton was born on 23 June 1910. He grew up in Pittsburgh before earning his BA, MA, and PhD at Yale in 1932, 1934, and 1942. He leaves his wife, Polly, a daughter, a son, and two grandchildren.

Taken from The New York Times (1 April 2004)
Trinity Hall Year Representatives

1935  Michael Page MC
1936  Bevis Sanford
1937  Dr Robert Miller FRCP
1938  Dr Peter Trier CBE FREng
1940–  d’Arcy Orders MBE
1947  Dr Michael Mynott
1948  Roy Barter
1949  Ian Romer
1950  Bob Ely
1951  His Honour Angus Macdonald
1952  Dr Keith Humphreys CBE
1953  John Russell
1954  Dominic Brooks
1955  Miles Halford
1956  Michael Shipley
1957  Martin Wolferstan
1958  Peter Hill RD
1959  Richard Devitt
1960  Julian Ebsworth
1961  Andrew Medlicott
1962  Colin Hayes
1963  Dr Edward Pank
1964  Dr Tom Bigge RD
       & Frank Conley FRSA
1965  David Bell
1966  Martin Williams
1967  Chris Angus
1968  Henry Poole
1969  Simon Jones
1970  Tim Stevenson
1971  John Ellard
1972  Geoff Gardiner
1973  Roy Warden
1974  Guy Brannan
1975  Nick Eastwell
1976  Rob Highmore
       Andrew Burr
1977  Mrs Lizzie Iron (née Shaw)
1978  Christopher Grigg & Dr Fionna Grigg (née Stirling)
1979  Roger McKinlay
1980  Dr Geoff Parks
1981  Sarah Webbe
1982  Tim Steele
1983  Mrs Cathy Staveley (née Kendall)
1984  Andrew Moore & Mrs Sue Whalley (née Harrison)
1985  Jackie Horne
1986  Tim Bennett
1987  New Year Rep Wanted
1987  (Grads) Dr Harry Bradshaw
1988  Mrs Helen Powell (née White)
1988  (Grads) Mrs Rosalind Lane
1989  Mrs Arwen Johnson (née Handley)
1989  (Grads) Dr Andrew Pauza
1990  New Year Rep Wanted
1991  Paddy Doris
1991  (Grads) Dr Mark Field
1992  Chaz Dheer

1993  Miss Lee Davidson
 & Liz Phillips

1993  New Year Rep Wanted

1994  Chris Hancock

1994  (Grads) Dr Sarah Barrett Jones

1995  Nick Forbes

1995  (Grads) Dr Alex Schroeder

1996  Helen Barraclough
 & Mary Wilson

1996  (Grads) Dr Eric Breton

1997  Kirsten Etheridge
 & Dan Smith

1997  (Grads) Bent Grover

1998  Laura Jeffery
 & Richard Morrison

1998  (Grads) Dr Marina Terkourafi
Amy Burchell & Tim Nixon (Grads)
Dr Andrew Lennon
Ann McKay & Jill Goodier

2001 Ben Rawlings & Frances Denniss

2002 (Grads) Jenny Ifft

REGIONAL REPS:
John Edwards
Jackie Horne
Keeping in Touch
Booking Forms
Keeping in Touch

If you are, or have ever been, a graduate, undergraduate or Fellow of Trinity Hall, you are a member of the College. The Development & Alumni Office, together with your Alumni Association, the THA, seeks to keep all members in touch with the College and with each other by sending out publications, organising events and maintaining a database and website for all members, friends and staff of Trinity Hall.

If you therefore have a change of address or job to report to us, or if you would like to get involved in the Careers Network, or if you have any news suitable for the next Newsletter, please use the forms on the following pages to let us know. Alternatively, send your news via email to alumni@trinhall.cam.ac.uk, or through THAlumni.net

Remember that your news can also be included in Black & White News in Front Court magazine. Please contact your Year Rep or the Alumni Officer if you have any interesting news to tell your year group. THAlumni.net also provides a forum for sharing information and keeping alumni informed of events and news within your year.
Trinity Hall Association Annual Gathering
Saturday 2 July 2005

The planned programme for the Annual Gathering this year is as follows:

11.00am AGM (with coffee) in the Graham Storey Room
Coffee in the Master’s Lodge for those not attending the AGM

12.30pm Buffet Lunch

2.00pm–5.00pm Events in College to include:

LECTURES

Dr John Pollard FELLOW IN HISTORY

Dr Tadashi Tokieda FELLOW IN MATHEMATICS
“The Science of Toys”

MUSIC
Performance by the Choir in the Chapel

WINE TASTING
by Cambridge Wine Merchants
(£5 a ticket)

Silver on display & the Old Library

There will be limited accommodation available at the Wychfield Site at a cost of £18.75 (RO). Please book early to avoid disappointment.

Please note that parking is not available in College. The Park & Ride scheme runs all day on Saturdays and is very reliable.

We hope that as many members (and their guests) as possible will attend the Annual Gathering. Please return the reply slip to the Alumni Officer as soon as possible, and no later than Friday 24 June 2005.

This programme is correct at the time of going to print, but may be subject to alteration.
Trinity Hall Association
Annual Dinner
Saturday 24 September 2005

The cost to members will be:

For dinner only
£20 for members and their guests matriculating in and since 1997
£35 for all other members and their guests

Dinner with room and breakfast (1 night B&B = £24.30)
£44.30 for members and their guests matriculating in or since 1997
£59.30 for all other members and their guests

Guests (one per member) are welcome to attend the Dinner in College.
The reception will commence at 7pm with dinner at 7.30pm.

Dress is black tie/smart.

Please return the reply slip to the Alumni Officer by
Monday 19 September 2005.
Trinity Hall Association Annual Gathering Reply Slip
Saturday 2 July 2005

☐ I should like to attend the Annual Gathering

Name: (please print) .................................................................

Matriculation Year: ..............................................................

Address: (if recently changed) ..............................................

................................................................. Postcode: .................

Contact email/telephone number: ...........................................

☐ I expect to bring ........ guest(s) up to a maximum of 3

Guest name(s): .................................................................

.................................................................

*Tickets cost £20.00 each (for alumni and their guests)*

Booking Activities

(Please tick the events that you would like to take part in. We will endeavour to meet all your requirements, but you will be contacted if this is not possible.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Member</th>
<th>Guest 1</th>
<th>Guest 2</th>
<th>Guest 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trinity Hall Association AGM at 11.00am</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lunch</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr John Pollard’s lecture on his research into Vatican Finances</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr Tadashi Tokieda’s lecture “The Science of Toys”</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wine Tasting (£5 a ticket &amp; over 18s only)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Choir Recital</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost</td>
<td>£20.00</td>
<td>£20.00</td>
<td>£20.00</td>
<td>£20.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

☐ I require ........ room(s) at Wychfield RO (£18.75)

☐ I enclose a cheque made payable to “Trinity Hall” for £ .............

Please return this completed form with payment as soon as possible, and no later than Friday 24 June, to the Alumni Officer, Trinity Hall, Cambridge, CB2 1TJ.
Trinity Hall Association Annual Dinner Reply Slip
Saturday 24 September 2005

☐ I would like to attend the Annual Dinner

☐ I would like …… rooms in College

☐ I will be accompanied by ........................................

☐ I enclose £........... (please refer to the notice for prices) made payable to “Trinity Hall”

Name & Year: ..........................................................

Address: .............................................................

................................................................. Postcode: ..............

Contact email/telephone number: .................................

Guests (one per person) are welcome to attend the Dinner.

The reception will commence at 7pm with dinner at 7.30pm.

Dress is black tie/smart.

Please return this completed form with payment as soon as possible, and no later than Monday 19 September, to the Alumni Officer, Trinity Hall, Cambridge, CB2 1TJ.
Trinity Hall Membership Update Form 2005

Full Name: ........................................................................................................

Titles & Distinctions: (i.e. Mrs, Ms, Dr, QC, OBE, PC etc) ...........................................

Preferred First Name: .............................................................................................

Previous Names: (if applicable) ............................................................................

Home Address: .....................................................................................................
..............................................................................................................................
.............................................................................................................................. Postcode: ............................................

Home Tel: .......................................... Fax: .....................................................

Email: ...................................................................................................................

Matriculation Year: ...............................................................................................-

Degree subject: .....................................................................................................

Business Address: ..............................................................................................
..............................................................................................................................
.............................................................................................................................. Postcode: ............................................

Business Tel: ...................................... Fax: .....................................................

Business Email: ...................................................................................................

Occupation: ...........................................................................................................

If you would like to be involved in the Careers Network, please tick the box. You will be contacted about this at a later date. 

My preferred address is:  

home   business

Clubs, Societies & Sports you took part in while at Trinity Hall:
Information for the Newsletter

In this section, please only include information regarding news which took place after 1 January 2005.

1. **Honours, Distinctions and Awards** *with dates*

2. **Appointments** *with dates*

3. **Publications**
   (For each journal article, please indicate: the title of the article, the journal in which it was published and the volume in which the article appeared).

   (For each book, please indicate: the title, the year of publication, name of publisher and the city in which the publishing company is located).

4. **Personal News**
   **Marriages:** (Please state the date of the wedding, your spouse’s full name and, if your spouse is a Cambridge graduate, his or her college).

   **Significant Anniversaries:** (Please provide the date, your spouse’s name and the number of years you have been married).

   **Births:** (Please indicate the baby’s date of birth, gender and full name).

   **Other News:**
The Hidden Hall

The Hidden Hall has now been published and is a wonderful book filled with fascinating essays and illustrations of Trinity Hall throughout the ages. It unveils a lot of ‘hidden’ facts and interesting information about the Hall that all members should know!

So, if you would like to buy a copy please fill in the form below and send to Neil Burkey at the publishers Third Millennium Information (address at bottom of page). Alternatively you can order via their website www.tmiltd.com or if you are in Cambridge, please come to the Development Office and you can take away a copy for £35.

Prices for posted copies are charged at UK – £39.50, Continental Europe – £44.50 (airmail) and Rest of the World – £43.50 (surface mail).

I would like to order ............. copy/ies of The Hidden Hall.

Payment details

I enclose a £ sterling cheque for ........ made payable to Third Millennium Information – Trinity Hall (to include postage as appropriate – see above.)

My credit card details are:
Visa / MasterCard / Switch / Delta  (delete as appropriate)
Card number: .................................................................
Card starts: .............................. Card expires: ..............................
Switch number: ......................... Amount to debit card: .................

Card holder’s signature: .................................................................

Card holder’s details
Name: .................................................................
Address: .................................................................
Postcode: ......................... Country: .................................................................
Telephone: .................................................................

Publication delivery details if different

Name: .................................................................
Address: .................................................................
Postcode: ......................... Country: .................................................................
Telephone: .................................................................

Please send form to:
Neil Burkey, TMI, Farringdon House, 105–107 Farringdon Road, London EC1R 3BU
Supporting Trinity Hall with a Gift

Thank you for your interest in wishing to make a donation. All donations of whatever size make a real difference to the College. If you would like further information on any of the fundraising projects, please tick the relevant boxes and a Gift Form, details on the fund, and tax-efficient ways of giving will be sent to you. Alternatively, if you would like to contribute now to any of the funds, please tick the appropriate box, and enclose your cheque made payable to “Trinity Hall”.

SPECIAL PROJECTS

☐ Student Accommodation
  • Wychfield Development
  • Regeneration of the main College site

☐ Student Support
  • The John and Danielle Lyons Fund for Student Support (access bursaries)
  • Graduate Student Support
  • Undergraduate Student Support
  • Overseas’ Student Support

☐ Fellowships, Scholarship and Research

☐ Sports Pavilion – provision of gym equipment

☐ Other

ANNUAL FUND

All gifts received during this year will be spent immediately to enhance student facilities.

☐ College Discretion (to meet the most pressing needs of the College)

☐ Library/Archives

☐ Chapel

☐ Music
  • Choral Scholarship Scheme
  • New Grand Piano

☐ Information Technology

☐ Sport

☐ Other

LEGACIES

☐ Please send me Legacy information

If you would like your gift to remain anonymous, please tick here: ☐

If you would like your donation to be covered by the Gift Aid scheme, which will increase the value of your gift by 28% at no extra cost to you, please tick here: ☐

Name: ........................................ Matriculation Year: .........
Address (if changed recently): ...........................................

Trinity Hall is an exempt charity, number X146
BEAST

trinity hall june event 2005
wednesday 22nd june
www.thjuneevent.com
Transformation

Alumni Weekend 2005
23–25 September

Opening Reception with private view of the Cambridge Illuminations one of the world’s richest collections of medieval illuminated manuscripts

The Vice-Chancellor, Professor Alison Richard in conversation with David Frost

Lectures include: Claire Tomalin on Pepys
Come and Sing Haydn’s Nelson Mass
and much much more...

UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE
Contact Details at Trinity Hall

College Telephone Switchboard and Mail Address
Trinity Hall, Cambridge, CB2 1TJ
Tel: +44 (0)1223 332500; fax: +44 (0)1223 332537; website: www.trinhall.cam.ac.uk
Note: telephone messages for Students, Staff and Fellows may be left on this number and all mail should be sent to this address.

Master’s Office
Mrs Ginny Swepson, PA to the Master, Professor Martin Daunton
Tel: +44 (0)1223 332540; email: master@trinhall.cam.ac.uk; fax: +44 (0)1223 765157

Tutorial Office
Dr Nick Bampos, Senior Tutor
Tel: +44 (0)1223 332510; email: nb10013@cam.ac.uk
Mrs Jackie Harmon, Tutorial Officer
Tel: +44 (0)1223 332564; email: jh355@cam.ac.uk
Doreen Kunze, Tutorial Administrator
Tel: +44 (0)1223 332518; email: dk295@cam.ac.uk

Undergraduate Admissions
Dr Richard Miles, Tutor for Admissions
Tel: +44 (0)1223 332524; email: admissions@trinhall.cam.ac.uk
Vicky Mills, Undergraduate Admissions Officer
Tel: +44 (0)1223 332535; email: admissions@trinhall.cam.ac.uk

Graduate Office
Dr Christopher Padfield, Tutor for Graduate Students
Tel: +44 (0)1223 332532; email: cjp1000@cam.ac.uk
Julie Powley, Graduate Officer
Tel: +44 (0)1223 332517; email: jp219@cam.ac.uk

Development Office
Mrs Jocelyn Poulton, Development Director
Tel: +44 (0)1223 332563; email: development@trinhall.cam.ac.uk; fax: +44 (0)1223 765157
Mrs Victoria Fangen Hall, Development Assistant
Tel: +44 (0)1223 766345; email: gifts@trinhall.cam.ac.uk
Mrs Laura Ley, Data Administrator
Tel: +44 (0)1223 332555; email: data@trinhall.cam.ac.uk

Alumni Office
Mrs Liz Pentlow, Alumni Officer
Tel: +44 (0)1223 332567; email: alumni@trinhall.cam.ac.uk; fax: +44 (0)1223 765157

Bursary
Paul ffolkes Davis, Bursar
Tel: +44 (0)1223 332531; email: pffd2@cam.ac.uk; fax: +44 (0)1223 462116
Ros Cole, Bursarial Officer
Tel: +44 (0)1223 332531; email: bursar@trinhall.cam.ac.uk

Conference & Housekeeping
Mrs Josephine Hayes, Conference & Domestic Manager
Tel: +44 (0)1223 332533; email: jfh31@cam.ac.uk
Mrs Kerry Eady, Conference Administrator (contact for booking accommodation)
Tel: +44 (0)1223 332554; email: kat26@cam.ac.uk

Buttery
Ms Sara Rhodes, Butler
Tel: +44 (0)1223 766333; email: ser44@cam.ac.uk
Joseph Risino, Manciple
Tel: +44 (0)1223 332 506; email: gr241@cam.ac.uk